G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2022-2023

Department: CSE

Program Name: B.Tech

Name of the Faculty: R. Pallavi Reddy

Designation: Asst Professor

Date: 27/4/23

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you)
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   - [x] No Burden
   - [ ] Moderate Burden
   - [ ] High Burden
   - [ ] Over Burden

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

11. Any other suggestion(s):
    more guest lectures can be included

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Student Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Name of the Student: Madavedi Soujanya

Date: 28/4/2023

Email: Soujanyamadavedi25@gmail.com

Academic Year: 2019 – 2023

Roll Number: 19251A05F6

Mobile No: 8333086356

Year/Semester: IV year – II semester

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): B.Tech

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   - ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   - ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   - ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.
   - ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

5. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   - ☑ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   - ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   - ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   - ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   - ☑ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

Any other suggestion(s): It would be better to update the syllabus with new technologies.

Soujanya.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Student Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Name of the Student: Ch. Honica Choudary   Date: 15|4|23

Email: chmonicas002@gmail.com

Academic Year: 2019 - 2023

Roll Number: 19251A0516

Mobile No: 9100992856

Year/Semester: IV - 1

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech):

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

5. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [x] Good
   - [ ] Average

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [x] Average

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [x] Average

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

Any other suggestion(s):

New courses can be included as per latest technologies.

Monica
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)

Curriculum Alumnae Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2022-2023

Department : CSE

Name of the Alumnae : J Sushma

Father's Name : J Satyanarayana

DOB (DD/MM/YYYY) : 01/10/2000

Year of Passing : 2022

Permanent Address : H-NO: 2-2-1118/3, street no 6, New Nallakunta, Hyderabad, 500044

Email-ID : sushma.janamanchi@gmail.com

Mobile Number : 6301920714

Present Organization Name : S&P Global

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/

Other: MNC

Designation : Associate Software Engineer

Present Location : Hyderabad

Field of Working : Core/ Inter-Disciplinary/IT Industry ✓/

Administration/other_____

Higher Education : Master's Degree/Ph.D/Not Applicable ✓/ : Other:

Bachulor's degree (B-Tech)

Year of Admission : 2018

Name of the Programme : B-Tech

Institute Name : G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology & Suence

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Average

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Average

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Average

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Average

5. Rate the unstitute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
    ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Average

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
    ☐ Excellent ☑ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
    ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☑ Average

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
    ☐ Excellent ☑ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Average

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
    ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Average

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    ☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☑ Good ☐ Average

Any other suggestion(s): Curriculum is good according to new advancements.

Sushier
Signature

Name: Ele Naveen Kumar   Date: 10-5-2

Name of the Organization/Institution: Eduquus Technologies

Designation: Marketing Manager.

Higher Education: post graduation - M.Tech

Experience: 5+ years

Mail ID: elenaveenkumar@eduquistech.com

Phone No: 8309072617

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
   ☑ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
   ☑ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
   ☐   ☑   ☐   ☐
   Excellent   Very Good   Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):
Keeping in view, students employability new courses can be included.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Parent Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name of the Student: Ch. Senithi   Date: 27/04/23
Email: Senithichukka465@gmail.com
Father's Name: Ch. Muthalah   Mobile No: 9866587193
Occupation: Farmer
Mother's Name: Ch. Devena   Mobile No: 8106683189
Occupation: Housewife
Permanent Address: Chennaram (v), Kondamallepally (M), Nalgonda (10)
Year/Semester: IV/II
Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): CSE

1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, caste, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

6. Rate the Outcomes that your ward has achieved from the course.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ VeryGood   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards' self-growth.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

Signature Ch. Muthaniff

- Overall circulum is good.