



G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)

Curriculum Employer Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name: Mahesh Gali

Date: 29/04/23

Name of the Organization/Institution: EPAM

Designation: Lead S/W engineer

Higher Education:

Experience: 13 years

Mail ID: maheshkumargali@gmail.com

Phone No: 9759076063

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

Exposure to Full Stack Dev is very good.

Exposure to Scripting Languages & Software Testing methodologies is very important. Currently both are part of one elective.

Overall, course structure is very good and covers variety of areas.

Keeping students employability in view,

both Scripting languages & Testing methodologies

can be made mandatory

Signature:



G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

AUTONOMOUS

(For Women)

Curriculum Faculty Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2022-2023

Department: CSM
 Program Name: B-Tech
 Name of the Faculty: Y. Rajyalaxmi
 Designation: Asst. Professor

Date: 26/4/2023

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you)
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
 No Burden Moderate Burden High Burden Over Burden
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
11. Any other suggestion(s):
- Better to plan for Guest Lectures to students
- Industrial visits can be planned for real time exposure

Rajyalaxmi
Signature



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Parent Feedback (for GNR-¹⁸ Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2022-2023**

Name of the Student: B. SRI PRIYA

Date: 21/04/2023

Email: sripriya.bs@gmail.com

Father's Name: B. Balaji Naik

Mobile No: 984860413

Occupation: worker (AC fittings)

Mother's Name: B. Anandi

Mobile No: -

Occupation: Housewife

Permanent Address: Mahabubabad

Year/Semester: IV (II sem)

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): B.Tech

1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, caste, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation

Excellent Very Good Good Average

5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

6. Rate the Outcomes that your ward has achieved from the course.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards' self-growth.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

B. Balaji Naik
Signature

- New courses related to current industry requirements can be introduced.



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)**

**Curriculum Student Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Department of Computer Science and Engineering**

Name of the Student: **K. JOY PRINCY**

Date: **20/4/2023**

Email: **Joy.princy@gmail.com**

Academic Year: **2020 - 2024**

Roll Number: **20251A6646**

Mobile No: **9654321456**

Year/Semester: **IV yr - I Sem**

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): **B.Tech.**

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

- more practical knowledge can be included.
- more workshops can be included.

Signature