	Curri	culum_Stud	lent Feed	back (Gr	R-10
De	partment		: CST	- 30.	
Pro	gram Name		B.Te	ch	
Va	me of the Student		G. Ak	hila	
٩c	ademic Year		: 62020	-2025	4)2023
ľe:	ur/Semester		· III *	nd (sem)	and the second
ło	ll Number		: 2025	51A3611	
	Rate how challenging wa	s the syllabus offere			
	(a) Excellent (b) Ver	y Good (c) Good			
2	Rate the appropriateness (a) Excellent (b) Ver	of the sequence of the sequenc			iculum.
3.	/				s expected by industry/c
	global scenarios.				
	(a) Excellent (b) Ver	y Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
ι.			courses.		
	(a) Excellent (b) Ver	y Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
5.	Rate the adequateness of	the textbooks and m	ference books n	entioned for t	he course.
			(d) Average	(e) Poor	
5.					
	(a) Excellent (b) Ver	y Good (e) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
7.	Rate the design of the cou	urses in terms of ext	ra learning or se	lf-learning	
2	Rate the flexibility in cho	osing the electives i	n relation to tecl	brology advan	cements
					cements.
).	Rate the percentage of the	courses offering L	AB components		
ä	THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE REPORT OF T				
10.	Discipline core, Disciplin (a) Excellent (b) Ver	e elective, Open ele y Good (c) Good	ctive, project etc	2.?	eering science, Human
		the second se		10 10 10 10	
	Remove fer	subjects	and Anc	lude r	Deb-
	development and front-e	labs, Inc and develop	Jude Re	labs.	Jango labs
	Pro Nai Ac: Ye: Rol 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 5. 7. 8.	 (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the appropriateness (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the depth of the sylliglobal scenarios. (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the sequence of the r (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the adequateness of (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the offering of electi (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the design of the cou (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the flexibility in cho (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the percentage of the (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the percentage of the (a) Excellent (b) Ver Rate the composition of Discipline core, Disciplin (a) Excellent (b) Ver 	Program Name Name of the Student Academic Year Year/Semester Roll Number 1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offere (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses global scenarios. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and re (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and re (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to ter (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of ext (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives is (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 8. Rate the percentage of the courses offering L (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering L (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 10. Rate the composition of the courses in ter (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 10. Rate the composition of the courses in ter (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 10. Rate the composition of the courses in ter (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 10. Rate the composition of the courses in ter (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 10. Rate the composition of the courses in ter (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 10. Rate the composition of the courses in ter (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 11. Any other Suggestions (please specify)	Program Name B. Ter Name of the Student G. Ak Academic Year G. D. 200 Year/Semester TII Roll Number 20.205 1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provi (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the global scenarios. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books and (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advance (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or set (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advance (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average	Program Name B. Tech Name of the Student G. Akhilg Academic Year G. Akhilg Year/Semester III. Roll Number 20251A3611 1. Rate how challenging Was the syllabus offered by the courses. (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curre (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies global scenarios. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing



De	partment	Curriculum Stud	Comput	a Science & lechnology
			orrey	u Science & Pechnology
Pro	ogram Name		: Bitton	1 holomi Sri
Na	me of the Stude	nt _k	: Kohir	ausia
Ac	ademic Year		: 2020	-2023
Ye	ar/Semester		: 10 PI	lakihmisin -2023 Ind 2nd Sun
Ro	ll Number	••	: 2025	1A3619
1.	Rate how chall	enging was the syllabus offer	ed by the courses	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (e) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
2.	Rate the approp	priateness of the sequence of	the courses provi	ded in the curriculum.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
3.	Rate the depth global scenario	of the syllabus of the courses s.	in relation to the	e competencies expected by industry/cur
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
4.	Rate the seque	nce of the units/modules in th	e courses.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
5.	Rate the adequ	ateness of the textbooks and	reference books n	nentioned for the course.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	€ Poor
6.	Rate the offerin	ng of electives in relation to t	echnology advan	cements.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
7.	Rate the design	of the courses in terms of ex	tra learning or se	elf-learning
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
8.	Rate the flexib	lity in choosing the electives	in relation to tec	hnology advancements.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(mp)
9.	Rate the percer	tage of the courses offering	LAB components	5.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (e) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
10.		oosition of the courses in , Discipline elective, Open e (b) Very Good (c) Good	lective, project et	
	Any other Sug	gestions (please specify)		1
	and the second se		dge rathe	than bookish knowledg
	11000	the second produced	and again	The second s
			1.4	latures

O Scanned with OKEN Scanner

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

	Fiber and a second second	AND STATES AND A REAL PLAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A
D	epartment	: CST
p	rogram Name	: B. Tech
N	ame of the Student	RENULA PUTTAPOGU
٨	cademic Year	: 2020-2023
Y	ear/Semester	: Ill'yr II semister
R	oll Number	: 20251A3652
1	Data have shall an along more the culled	and the state of
Ĵ	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c)	Good (d) Average (e) Poor
2.	Rate the appropriateness of the sequ (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c)	ence of the courses provided in the curriculum. Good (d) Average (e) Poor
3.	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the global scenarios.	courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c)	Good (d) Average (e) Poor
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modu	lat in the environment
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c)	
5.	Rate the adequateness of the textboo (a) Excellent - (b) Very Good (c)	oks and reference books mentioned for the course. Good (d) Average (e) Poor
6.	Rate the offering of electives in relat	
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (o)	Good (d) Average (e) Poor
7.	Rate the design of the courses in terr	ns of extra learning or self-learning
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (e)	
8.	Rate the flexibility in choosing the e (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c)	lectives in relation to technology advancements. Good (d) Average (e) Poor
	(a) Enternant (je) rely coor (c)	deed (d) Aretage (c) 1001
9.	Rate the percentage of the courses of	fering LAB components.
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c)	
10.	Rate the composition of the cours Discipline core, Discipline elective, ((a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c)	
	Any other Suggestions (please spec	
	weed to peovide more	options in electives.
	need to give inde per	options in electives. ference for opted elective
		Renela.


Signature

		Curriculum Stud	lent Fe	edback (GNR-18)
De	partment			CST
Pro	ogram Name		: B	.Tech
Na	me of the Studen	nt	: K.	Jahnavi
Ac	ademic Year	*	: 20	22-23
Ye	ar/Semester		: 3	ird year 2nd scmester
Ro	II Number		: 20	0251A3615
1,	Rate how chall (a) Excellent	enging was the syllabus offer (b) Very Good (c) Good		age (e) Poor
)2.	Rate the approp (a) Excellent	priateness of the sequence of t		provided in the curriculum. age (e) Poor
3.	Rate the depth global scenario (a) Excellent	of the syllabus of the courses s. (b) Very Good (e) Good	in relation t	to the competencies expected by industry/current age (c) Poor
4.	Rate the seque	nce of the units/modules in the	e courses.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (e) Good	(d) Avera	
5.	Rate the adequ (a) Excellent	ateness of the textbooks and r (b) Very Good (c) Good		oks mentioned for the course. age (e) Poor
6.	Rate the offerin (a) Excellent	ng of electives in relation to te (b) Very Good (c) Good	chnology ad (d) Avera	
7.	Rate the design (a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (e) Good		or self-learning age (e) Poor
8.	Rate the flexibi (a) Excellent	ility in choosing the electives (b) Very Good (c) Good		o technology advancements. age (e) Poor
9.	Rate the percer (a) Excellent	ntage of the courses offering L (b) Very Good (c) Good		nents. age (e) Poor
10.		position of the courses in to Discipline elective, Open elective (b) Very Good (e) Good	ective, projec	asic science, Engineering science, Humanities, ect etc.? age (e) Poor
		gestions (please specify)		
	More More	real-time exa focus on indus	mples try - le	evel training can be made.
			1	t Jahnavi. Signaturo



outer recuback forthe zo	Curricul	um Student	Feedback	(GNR-18)
--------------------------	----------	------------	----------	----------

De	partment		: CST		
Pre	ogram Name		: B.Tec	h	
Na	me of the Stude	nt	: Lasyo	h n prúya N	
Ac	ademic Year		: 2029-	23	
Ye	ar/Semester		: 3rd ye	23 ar 2 nd semester	
i' Ro	oll Number		: 20251		
1	Pate how shall	anoing was the cullabor offer			
	(a) Excellent	lenging was the syllabus offer	(d) Average		
)2.	Rate the annro	priateness of the sequence of	the courses meaning	ided in the curriculum	
	(a) Excellent	له) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
3.	Rate the depth global scenario	of the syllabus of the courses	in relation to the	e competencies expected by i	ndustry/current
	(a) Excellent	ره) Very Good (c) Good (d)	(d) Average	(c) Poor	
4.	Rate the seque	nce of the units/modules in th	e courses		
	(a) Excellent	(6) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
5.	Rate the adequ	ateness of the textbooks and r	eference books n	nentioned for the course	
- 20,	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
6.	Rate the offeri	ng of electives in relation to te	chnology advand	remente	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
ر ر	Rate the design (a) Excellent	n of the courses in terms of ex (b) Very Good (c) Good	tra learning or se		
8	Pate the flexib	ility in choosing the electives	in relation to tea	halogy advancements	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
9.	Rate the percer	ntage of the courses offering L	AB components	1	
120	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
10.		position of the courses in t b, Discipline elective, Open elective (b) Very Good (c) Good		c.?	e, Humanities,
	Any other Sug	gestions (please specify)			
	Mars T	ime to be focused wing courses	on Extra-	leaving house and	
	incore li	me no ac found		and they are	
	program	wing courses			
	, 0				lur.
				1.	Matal
				P	inter .
			1.2.1生	Sign	ature



CST Department B. Tech Program Name A. Deepika Name of the Student Academic Year 2022-2023 37.9nd Year/Semester Roll Number : 20251A3631 1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. (6) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent (d) Average (e) Poor Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios. (K) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent (d) Average (e) Poor Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses. (d) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent (d) Average (e) Poor 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course. (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent (d) Average (e) Poor Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. (6) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent (d) Average (e) Poor Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components. (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.? (a) Excellent (6) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor Any other Suggestions (please specify) Reduce the syllabur of wT and as. Offer the curses which improve 3-tudent problem solving skills. A. Deeptha ionature Scanned with OKEN Scanner

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

	"Tel Manufacture of the local day	
D	partment	: CST
Pr	ogram Name	: B.Tech
N	me of the Student	: AYYAGARI SIRI REDDY
	ademic Year	: 2022-23
28	ar/Semester	: III rd year 2-nd semester
0		
R	oll Number	: 20251A3632
1.	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offe (a) Excellent(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
	Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
3.	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course global scenarios.	es in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
4.	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	he courses. (d) Average (e) Poor
5	Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	reference books mentioned for the course. (d) Average (e) Poor
6	Rate the offering of electives in relation to (a) Excellent . (b) Very Good (c) Good	technology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor
7	Rate the design of the courses in terms of e (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	extra learning or self-learning (d) Average (e) Poor
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	s in relation to technology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor
9	Rate the percentage of the courses offering (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	LAB components. (d) Average (e) Poor
1	Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open e	terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities elective, project etc.? (d) Average (e) Poor
	Any other Suggestions (please specify)	
	Need to focus on entr	a learning syllabus.
	and the second of	

O Scanned with OKEN Scanner

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18) : Computer science and technology Department B. Tech . Program Name :M.V. Dimasri Name of the Student : 2022 - 2023 : 3rdyear and ser Academic Year Year/Semester :212 SSA360 !' Roll Number Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. (d) Average (e) Poor (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios. (b) Very Good (c) Good (e) Poor (d) Average (a) Excellent 4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses. (d) Average (e) Poor (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course. (d) Average (e) Poor (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent 6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning (d) Average (e) Poor (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent 9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components. (d) Average (e) Poor (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.? (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent Any other Suggestions (please specify) More electives, Minor degrees, want Lyberse cubility as subject need more help Add honors for projects pursinger



		Curriculum_Stud	lent Feed	back (GNR-18)
D	epartment		: 057	
	ogram Name		: B. Jeck : Mugh : 02-23	
	1.		march	erre
N	ame of the Studer	nt	: Wiel	
A	cademic Year		: 02-23	
Ye	ar/Semester		: 2 mind ((sem) razbor
R	oll Number		2125	5A3605
		- sing was the cullabor off-		
`	(a) Excellent	enging was the syllabus offen (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	s. (e) Poor
-				
2.	(a) Excellent	priateness of the sequence of t (b) Very Good (c) Good	the courses provi (d) Average	(e) Poor
3.	Rate the depth global scenario		in relation to the	e competencies expected by industry/current
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
4.	Rate the sequer	nce of the units/modules in th	e courses.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very.Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
5.	Rate the adequ	ateness of the textbooks and r	eference books n	nentioned for the course.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
6.	Rate the offerin	ng of electives in relation to te	chnology advan	cements.
0	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
7.		of the courses in terms of ex		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
8.		ility in choosing the electives		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(c) Poor
9.		tage of the courses offering I		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
10				science, Engineering science, Humanities,
	Discipline core (a) Excellent	 Discipline elective, Open el (b) Very Good (c) Good 	ective, project et (d) Average	
			(u) / tretage	(6)1001
	Any other Sug	gestions (please specify)	1.0	A 4 19
	Reunon	ic tero Meta c	and Ir	1 and gold subject
	like, h	Locock chain, 1	when, c	and potol dats like.
	I A JAL	Janep Norths.	U	and gold subjects and pool dats like.
	- may	Junge war	1.2	(M)
				Signature

-

and the state of t	

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

					4
Depar	tment		6	Science	opTechnolog' ohna 19508 improve quality of the programme.
Progra	am Name		: (0m)	pura scrime	ď
	of the Faculty		: B.Tec	h. V.	hoa
			: Dr.A	1. Visaya Mi	<u> </u>
	mic Year		: 2022-	2023 0	5 1 C G 1
Design	ation		: An	sistant frot	enor
Please	rate your valua	ble feedback on the cu	rriculum for re	view of syllabus/to	improve quality of the programme.
1.	Rate the stru a)Excellent	octure of the curriculu b) Very Good	m framed for c) Good	the entire program	n. e) Poor
2.	Rate the appr a)Excellent	opriateness of the sec b) Very Good ~~~	quences of the c) Good	courses provided d) Average	in the curriculum. e) Poor
3.	Rate the dept industry/Curr	h of the syllabus for t ent global scenarios.	he Program is	n relation to the co	mpetencies expected by
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
4.	Rate the sequ a)Excellent	ence of the units/mod b) Very Good 🦯	lules in the co ′c) Good	urse. (Taught by y d) Average	vou). e) Poor
5.		ibution of credits in th b) Very Good	ne Program. c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
6.	Rate the adequ	uateness of text books			d in the syllabus.
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
7.	Rate the poten	tial of the students in	understandin	g the course object	ctives.
		b) Very Good			
8.	Rate the syllab	us content for the gou	urses in terms	of burden on stud	lents.
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
9.	Rate the experi a)Excellent	iment list in stimulati b) Very Good 📈	ng the interes c) Good	t of students in th d) Average	e project. e) Poor
10.	Rate the contril a)Excellent	bution of the courses b) Very Good 🗸	in terms of pr c) Good	ofessional core a d) Average	rea. e) Poor
	Any other su		3.51		wtoy Expectations
	-	03-2023	to upgrad	le with Ind	Signature of Faculty

O Scanned with OKEN Scanner

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

		ment		: CST			
Pr	ogra	m Name		: B.TE	cH.		
N,	ame o	of the Faculty		Y. RA	THREMAINT		
A	caden	nic Year		: 2022	- 2023 .		
D	esion				PROFESS	OR	-
PI	ease	rate your valual	ble feedback on the (curriculum for rev	lew of syllabus/to in	prove quality of the	programme
	1.	Rate the stru a)Excellent	cture of the curricu b) Very Good	lum framed for ا Good (عر	the entire program. d) Average _	e) Poor	
	2			File	courses provided it	the curriculum.	
	4,	Rate the appr a)Excellent	opriateness of the s b) Very Good	equences of the	courses provided in d) Average	e) Poor	
	3	Based and		the Program in	relation to the com	petencies expected	by
		industry/Curr	h of the syllabus to ent global scenario:	s.			
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	-c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	4	Data di successi		odules in the co	urse. (Taught by yo	u).	
		a)Excellent	by Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	-
		a)Excellent	ibution of credits in الطي Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	6	Pote the oder	unteness of text bo	oks and reference	e books mentioned	in the syllabus.	
	0.	a)Excellent	Jay Very Good	c) Good	d)-Average	e) Poor	
)	7	Data the notes	atial of the students	in understandin	g the course object	ives.	
	1.	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	0	Deter des cullo	hus content for the	courses in terms	s of burden on stude	ents.	
	0,	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	boood	d) Average	e) Poor	
	0	Date the expe	riment list in stimu	lating the interes	st of students in the	project.	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	10	Rate the conti	ibution of the cours	ses in terms of p	rofessional core ar	ca.	-
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
		Any other	suggestion(s):	80 - 00 - 0 0			C 25
		For	Practical	Knowled	ge and rea	el tême expe	2 siences
		cla	uses & Ses	sione has	to be pla	inned and 9	mplemen
					1	Y	
		13.0	el. l.			E	
		Date: /	5 6 2023			Signat	ùre of Faculty

and the second se		

G. Naravana	Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
anayanamma	Institute of rechilology Lichip, 18)
Curric	ulum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

part	ment			8		
ogra	m Name		: (3)	ala		
			: 8.10	en al a	J.	
ade	of the Faculty		: K 1	Nordhu		
			2022-0	3		
			: Asst	. perotessar		
ease	rate your valua	ble feedback on the	curriculum for re	view of syllabus	/to improve quality of th	e programme.
	Rate the stre					
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	_cl-800d	d) Average	e) Poor	
2.	Rate the appr	opriateness of the	sequences of the	courses provi	ded in the curriculum.	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
3.	Rate the dept	h of the syllabus for	or the Program i	n relation to the		d by
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	ct Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
4.	Rate the second	ance of the units/m	odules in the co	urse. (Taught)	by you).	
22	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
5.	Rate the distr	ibution of credits in	n the Program.		8.2	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c).Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
6.	Rate the adeo	uateness of text bo	oks and referen	ce books menti	oned in the syllabus.	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
7.	Rate the note	ntial of the students	s in understandi	ng the course o	bjectives.	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c] Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
8	Data the culls	we content for the	courses in term	s of burden on	students.	
0.	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
9.	Rate the expe	riment list in stimu	lating the intere	st of students i	n the project.	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
10	. Rate the contr a)Excellent	ibution of the cour b) Very Good	ses in terms of j cl Good	professional co d) Average	re arca. e) Poor	
	Any other	suggestion(s):	52 10 - 1824			
		should	include	Some	mble news	technologic
		in the cus	viculum.			
	•	1 0				
	Date: 9	2/2/22			e le	Mell
	Date. of	~ 12/20	24.2		Signa	ture of Faculty
	ogra ader sign ease 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	 Rate the strugalExcellent Rate the appralExcellent Rate the deptindustry/CurralExcellent Rate the sequalExcellent Rate the sequalExcellent Rate the distralExcellent Rate the adeq alexcellent Rate the poteralExcellent Rate the sylla alexcellent Rate the experalExcellent Rate the contralExcellent Rate the contralExcellent Rate the contralExcellent Rate the contralExcellent 	Ogram Name Ime of the Faculty ademic Year rsignation Pase rate your valuable feedback on the 1. Rate the structure of the curriculalExcellent a) Excellent b) Very Good 2. Rate the appropriateness of the salExcellent b) Very Good 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for industry/Current global scenarios a)Excellent b) Very Good 4. Rate the sequence of the units/malExcellent b) Very Good 5. Rate the distribution of credits in a)Excellent b) Very Good 6. Rate the adequateness of text boo a)Excellent b) Very Good 7. Rate the potential of the students a)Excellent b) Very Good 8. Rate the syllabus content for the a)Excellent b) Very Good 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulalExcellent b) Very Good 10. Rate the contribution of the courralExcellent b) Very Good 10. Rate the contribution of the courralExcellent b) Very Good Any other suggestion(s):	OBFRAM Name :: CS 1 Ime of the Faculty :: BTTC ademic Year : 2002 rsignation : Australian ease rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for reference 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for a)Excellent 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for a)Excellent b) Very Good 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the a)Excellent b) Very Good 3. Rate the cepth of the syllabus for the Program is industry/Current global scenarios. a)Excellent a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good 4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the coralExcellent b) Very Good c) Good 5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good 6. Rate the adequateness of text books and referem a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good 7. Rate the potential of the students in understandia a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good 9. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good 9. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good	OBRAIN Name : CS 1 Intre of the Faculty : BTECh ademic Year : 2022-23 *aise rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire progalExcellent 1. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provialExcellent b) Very Good c) 4600d d) Average 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provialExcellent b) Very Good c) 4600d d) Average 3. Rate the ciepth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the industry/Current global scenarios. a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average 4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught I a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average 5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program. a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average 6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mential a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average 7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course o a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average 9. Rate the contri	OBFAIN Name : CS 1 Ime of the Faculty : K Malhavi ademic Year : 2022-33 risignation : Atth PDOFERSU Pase rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of th 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of th 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of th 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of th 1. Rate the structure of the syllabus for the Program. a)Excellent b) Very Good a)Excellent b) Very Good b) Very Good c) Good c) Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you). a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Rate the distribution of credits in the Program. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 5. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 6. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. a)Excellent b) Very Good a)Excelle

O Scanned with OKEN Scanner

E

-1



0

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

-						
Dep	artment					
	ram Name		: IT			
Nam	e of the Faculty		: C 5T			
Acad	emic Year		: Pri	L-Switha		
	nation		: 202			
leas	A rate		: Acd	Pof		
00800	e rate your valua	ble feedback on the	curriculum for	milaw of a flat /a.	improve quality of t	
- 1	· Rate the man			eview of syllabus/to	improve quality of t	ne programme
	alexcellent	cture of the curric b) Very Good	ulum framed fo c) Good	r the entire progra d) Average	m. e) Poor	
775				10) U.S.252	10.000	
2	Rate the appr	noria e e			in the curriculum.	
	a)Excellent	optiateness of the	sequences of th	e courses provided	in the curriculum.	
				al contrage	e) roor	
3	Rate the dent					
	industry/C.	n of the syllabus fo	or the Program i	in relation to the co	ompetencies expect	ed by
	a)Excellent	ent global scenario	os.		1	
	e desient	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
4	Pate at		42			
	reate the sequ	ence of the units/m	odules in the co	ourse. (Taught by	(uov	
	Accellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
5					477001	
3	Rate the distri	bution of credits in	the Program.			
- 8	Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	a) Deer	
2	2000 00 00		55)	A CALCENSING STREET	e) Poor	
9.	Rate the adequ	ateness of text bo	oks and referen	ce hooks mentione	d in the syllabus.	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	o in the syllabus.	
-	531	-	-,	a) werage	e) Poor	
7.	Rate the poten	tial of the students	in understandi-			
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c)-Good	ig the course object		
)			00000	d) Average	e) Poor	
8.	Rate the syllab	us content for the				
	a)Excellent	us content for the b) Very Good	courses in terms	s of burden on stud	dents.	
8		of very boou	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
9.	Rate the even	man Part in the				
- C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C	a)Excellent	ment list in stimul	ating the interest	st of students in the	c project	
	alexcenent	b Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
10	Data d					
10.	Nate the contrit	bution of the cours	es in terms of p	rofessional core as	rea	
	a)Excellent	blery Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	Any other su	Presticale).			e) Poor	
		the second se				
	Add	new technol	jes sich	ted subjects	· Shpiecta like	L LOT, ME
	should.	be in before	years Solk	at It will be h	010	spile
	Date: 21 -	4-23			(R
						ture of Faculty



G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

D	epart	ment		: cs			
P	rogra	m Name		: B.T	zch		
N	ame	of the Faculty		· K-R			
		mic Year			2-2023		
		ation		501M0038	Prot		
			le feedback on the ci	rriculum for re	view of svilabus/to	improve quality of the pro	gramme
			ture of the curriculu				
	750	a)Excellent	by √ery Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
5	2.	Rate the appro a)Excellent	priateness of the se b).⊀€ry Good	quences of the c) Good	courses provided d) Average	in the curriculum. e) Poor	
	3.	industry/Curre	ent global scenarios.	en angerto		mpetencies expected by	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	ç) 600d	d) Average	e) Poor	
	4.		ence of the units/mo			Sector Contraction and	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	5,	Rate the distri a)Excellent	bution of credits in t	the Program. c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	6.	Rate the adequ	ateness of text bool	cs and reference	e books mentioned	in the syllabus.	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
>	7.		tial of the students i				
		aJExcellent	b) Very Good	c) G000	d) Average	e) Poor	
	8.		ous content for the c				
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	9.	Rate the exper a)Excellent	iment list in stimula b)Vety Good	ting the interes c) Good	t of students in the d) Average	e project. e) Poor	
	10.	Rate the contri a)Excellent	bution of the course b) Very Good	s in terms of p c) Good	rofessional core ar d) Average	ea. e) Poor	*
	2	Any other s	uggestion(s):				
		Bette	a tood few	more cow	ces that can	help students de	<u> </u>
		bette	a in software	jotes .		2	

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department	CST
Program Name	: R. Tech
Name of the Faculty	: P. Mounilca
Academic Year	: 2022-2023
Designation	: Asst. prof.
	/ 0

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

	1.	Rate the str	ucture of the curric	ulum framed for	r the entire program	m				
		a)Excellent	b Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
0	2.	Rate the app	ropriateness of the	sequences of the	e courses provided	l in the curriculum.				
		a)Excellent	لله Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
	3.	Rate the dep industry/Cur	th of the syllabus for	or the Program i os.	n relation to the co	ompetencies expected b	у			
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
	4.	Rate the sequ	ence of the units/n	nodules in the co	ourse. (Taught by	vou)				
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c)-600d	d) Average	e) Poor				
	5.		ibution of credits i	n the Program.						
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Bood	d) Average	e) Poor				
	6.	Rate the adeq	uateness of text bo	oks and reference	ce books mentione	d in the cullabus				
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	ct Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
D	7.	Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.								
8		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
	8.	Rate the syllal	Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.							
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	_c}Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
	9.	Rate the exper	iment list in stimul	lating the interes	st of students in th	e project.				
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	erGood	d) Average	e) Poor				
	10.	Rate the contri a)Excellent	bution of the cours b) Very Good	es in terms of p c)-Good	rofessional core a d) Average	rea. e) Poor				
		Any other s	uggestion(s):	1		erroor				
		Pleas	ye veriby	the dist	hibuhan of	- hopics in al	I			
		the	units.							
			(•)				ile			
			13/23			20	willer			
		Date: 16	13123				. I Facult			

Signature of Faculty

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: Information Technology : Giri. Sri poofisha : G. Venkata Ramana	
Name of the Alumnae	: Giri. Sri poofitha	
Father's Name	: G. venkata Ramana	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	18/06/2000	
Year of Passing		
Permanent Address	: 2022 H:NO-3-6-90, opp to Nalanda deg callege, vaninagaer, Jagual, 505 Sripeojithagirilles @ gmail, com	327
Email-ID	college, vaninggale, angel, com	
Mobile Number	= 70 13 442097	
Present Organization Name	: Accenture	
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/	
and a later of the	Other: pVt ftd. Advanced Application Software : Hyderabad	Engîne
Designation	i Nudee le A	
	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/	
Field of working	Administration/ Other:	
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:	
Year of Admission	:	
Name of the Programme		
Institute Name	:	
1. Rate the adequateness of the cour (a) Excellent (b) Very Good	(c) Good (u) Average (c) Food TB	
 Rate the sufficiency of syllabus co (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	ontent to bridge the gap between academia and industry. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [Ц]	
	your current professional standards.	
 Rate the curriculum in relation to (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [6]	
 Rate the skills acquired from the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [6]	





5.	Rate the instit (a) Excellent	ute's laboratory an (b) Very Good	d equipment a (c) Good	idequateness f (d) Average		posure. IS	I
6.	Rate the offering (a) Excellent	ng of electives in re (b) Very Good	(c) Good	nology advance (d) Average		٢Þ	1
7.	Rate the desig (a) Excellent	n of the courses in (b) Very Good	terms of extra (c) Good	(d) Average		lb	1
8.	Rate the traini	ng offered by the F	lacement cell	in getting amp	le placement o	pportunities	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		ıb	I
9.	Rate the comp (a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	t offered by th (c) Good	e Faculty. (d) Average	(e) Poor	۱b	1
10	Rate the instit (a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	contribution fo	or the overall d (d) Average	evelopment of (e) Poor	students I L	1
		ggestions (please					
	Very E	good "excited	n" 'Skills ^{zak} l.''.	Provided &	y college.		

2

٠

11

3

. ..

.

4 program Signature

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Name of the Alumnae:Rayeesa RuhiFather's Name:DOB(DD/MM/YYY):Year of Passing:Year of Passing:Permanent Address:Email-ID:Mobile Number:Present Organization Name:Deloi He		200000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 [77]	
Father's Name : DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 26/2/2001 Year of Passing : 207.2. Permanent Address : Hydrowabad Email-ID : ray cusa surfic agmail-com Mobile Number : 9347604265 Present Organization Name : Delsi He Present Organization Type : Self Employed / Public Sector / Academic Designation : : Present Location : Hydroabad Field of working : Core / Inter-Disciplinary / TIndustry / Administration / Other: Higher Education : Master's Degree / Ph.D. / Not Applicable / Other: Year of Admission : . Name of the Programme : . Institute Name : . 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. [(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academias and indu (a) Excellent [. . 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. <td></td> <td>Department</td> <td>: Kayeesa Kulu [-11]</td> <td></td>		Department	: Kayeesa Kulu [-11]	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 26/2/2001 Year of Passing : 207.2. Permanent Address : Hydewabad Email-ID : ray eusa subil Egymail.com Mobile Number : q347604255 Present Organization Name : Delsi He Present Organization Type : Self Employed/Public Sector/Academic Designation : Present Location : Hydewabad Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/Trindustry/ Administration/Other: Higher Education : Master's Degree/Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other: Year of Admission : Name of the Programme : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and indu (a) Excellent [b) Very Good [c] Good 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. [a) Excellent [b) Very Good [c] Good 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem		Name of the Alumnae	: Rayersa Kuhi	
Year of Passing : 202.2 Permanent Address : Hydwabad Email-ID : ray eus a subi equal		Father's Name		
Present Organization Name : Deloi He Present Organization Type : Self Employed / Public Sector / Academic Other: Designation : Present Location : Present Location : Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/Administration / Other: Higher Education : Year of Admission : Name of the Programme : Institute Name : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. [(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 3. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem		DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 26 2 2001	
Present Organization Name : Deloi He Present Organization Type : Self Employed / Public Sector / Academic Other: Designation : Present Location : Present Location : Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/Administration / Other: Higher Education : Year of Admission : Name of the Programme : Institute Name : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. [(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 3. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem		Year of Passing	: 2022	
Present Organization Name : Deloi He Present Organization Type : Self Employed / Public Sector / Academic Other: Designation : Present Location : Present Location : Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/Administration / Other: Higher Education : Year of Admission : Name of the Programme : Institute Name : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. [(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 3. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem		Permanent Address	: flyderabad	
Present Organization Name : Deloi He Present Organization Type : Self Employed / Public Sector / Academic Other: Designation : Present Location : Present Location : Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/Administration / Other: Higher Education : Year of Admission : Name of the Programme : Institute Name : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. [(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 3. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem		Email-ID	: rayersa such ogman	
Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academic Other: Designation : Present Location : Judwabad Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/Other: Higher Education : Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other: Year of Admission : Name of the Programme : Institute Name : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards, (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem		Mobile Number	: 9347604255	
Other:		Present Organization Name	: Deloitte /	
Present Location : Judwabad Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/Other: Higher Education : Master's Degree/Ph.D./Not Koplicable/ Other: Year of Admission : Name of the Programme : Institute Name : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [3. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and induction (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. [4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem		Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:	
Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/Other:		Designation	 A set of the set of the set of the set 	
Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/Other:		Present Location	: flyderabod	
Year of Admission : Name of the Programme : Institute Name : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and indu (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirent (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (c) Good		Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/	
Name of the Programme : Institute Name : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and indu (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem		Higher Education		
 Institute Name : 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and indu (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (e) Poor 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (e) Poor 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirent 		Year of Admission	:	
 Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and indu (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements 		Name of the Programme	•	
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and indu (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem 	0	Institute Name	:	
 Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and indu (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirem 		1. Rate the adequateness of the courses o	ffered in the program.	
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirent 		(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c)	Good (d) Average (e) Poor []	
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirent 			t to bridge the gap between academia and industry. Good (d) Average (e) Poor []	21
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requiren			current professional standards.	
		(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c)	Good (d) Average (e) Poor * []	
				3.

ο



5.	Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an	d equipment a	dequateriess f	or practical ex	posure.	
	(a) Excellent	fbf Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		. t	1
			- 294	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	concerns of the		
б.	Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re	elation to tech	nology advance	ements.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		I	1
		L	the state of the				
7.	Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	learning or se	lf-learning.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c)'Good	(d) Average		ſ	1
			- 54				
8.	Rate the traini	ing offered by the H	lacement cell	in getting amp	le placement o	pportunitie	:s.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
	1						
9.	Rate the comm	etence and suppor	+ offered by th	. Do malter		65 T	
÷.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(a) Deser		
	,,,	(0) very 0000	(c) 6000	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
10	.Rate the instit	ute's support and	contribution fo	or the overall d	evelopment of	etudente	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	f	1
	3080			(-)	(0) 1 001	E.	4
	Any other Su	ggestions (please	specify)				
	c+.		2010 10 10			10 10	
	Jocus o	n currend it	echnologies	and disc	us ther	n wats	
	students						
	1 21 391585-5		100				- 1

 \tilde{t}_{i}

....

4

62

23

٠

-

Signature

÷.

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: IT
Name of the Alumnae	: J. Naryasm
Father's Name	: J. Satyonana yana
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 12/07/2001
Year of Passing	: 2022
Permanent Address	: Vidyanagar colony no: 5, Armoor.
Email-ID	: Junmarath sti 02@ gmail com
Mobile Number	: 9700912350
Present Organization Name	: cognizant
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: <u>parate scolor</u>
Designation	: programmer Analyst.
Present Location	: Hyderabad
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
Year of Admission	
Name of the Programme	
Institute Name	

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [C]

Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [C.]


- 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
- 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [<]

Scanned with OKEN Scanner

5.	Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an	d equipment a	adequateness f	or practical ex	posure.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good				· 101
			1.00			
6.	Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re	elation to tech	nology advance	ments.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		101
			*1			
7.	Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	a learning or se	lf-learning.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		101
8.	Rate the traini	ing offered by the I	Placement cell	in getting amp	le placement o	pportunities.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	[0]
9.	Rate the comp	etence and suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty		
	(a) Excellent		(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	101
10	.Rate the instit	ute's support and	contribution fo	or the overall d	evelopment of	students.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	191
	Any other Su	ggestions (please	specify)			

Add more inductivy oriented subjects

+7



Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

	Department	: IT	
	Name of the Alumnae	: M-Sahithi	
	Father's Name	: M. Srinivas	
	DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 31/07/2000	
	Year of Passing	: 2022	
	Permanent Address	: water tank road mancherial	
	Email-ID	: salithir-musthyala@ gmail.com	Ę
	Mobile Number	: 9652729171	
•	Present Organization Name	: Legato nealth Technologia	
	Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: private sector	
	Designation	: Associate software Engineer	
	Present Location	: Nydeorbad	
	Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:	
	Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:	
	Year of Admission	1	
	Name of the Programme		
0	Institute Name	:	
		ŭ	
	 Rate the adequateness of the con (4) Excellent (b) Very Good 	urses offered in the program. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [۸	1
	 Rate the sufficiency of syllabus of (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	content to bridge the gap between academia and industry (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [へ	1
	 Rate the curriculum in relation (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	to your current professional standards. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 1 a	1
	 Rate the skills acquired from the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	e curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirement (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [CA	ts.

1.0

ο



э,	Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an	d equipment a	dequateness f	or practical exp	posure.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	la	1
5.	Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re	lation to tech	nology advance	ments		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	19	1
	Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	learning or se	If-learning		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	10	1
3.	Rate the train	ing offered by the F	Placement cell	in getting amp	le placement o	pportunities	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	19	
	Rate the comp	etence and suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty.			
	(a) Excellent	(L) 17 (1) 1	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	Ia	1
C	.Rate the instit	ute's support and	contribution fo	or the overall d	evelopment of	students	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	la	1

More industry oriented projects need tobe developed by students

....

62

Sal Signature



.

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

	Department	: ET
	Department	P Tripini Reddy
	Name of the Alumnae	: Reddy
	Father's Name	: P. Tejacwini Reddy : P. Sammi Reddy
	DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	24/07/2001
	Year of Passing	: 2022 Toursloomt apaly,
	Permanent Address	: H. no: 1-28, 11 10 Kanimanagenz
	Email-ID	: 2022 H no: 1-28, Theegologutt apally, tejashwini rdyp @gmail.com
2	Mobile Number	: 9381106302
	Present Organization Name	: JPMC
	Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: <u>pvt_Ltd</u>
	Designation	: Backend developer
	Present Location	: Hyderabad
	Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
	Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
	Year of Admission	5
	Name of the Programme	
0	Institute Name	±3
•	(a) Excellence	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
	2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus conte	tent to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good ((c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [[]
	3. Rate the curriculum in relation to yo	our current professional standards.
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good ((c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [5]
	/	
	4. Rate the skills acquired from the cur	rriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good ((c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

0



6.	Rate the offeri	A						
0.		ng of electives in re	lation to tech	nology advance	ments		-	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good				1	L	1
	Data tha daala	- in the second		. Isomina or ev	If learning		~	
<i>.</i>		n of the courses in (b) Very Good				1	5	1
				8 . SP - 3			Itia	
8.	Rate the train	ing offered by the F	lacement cell	in getting amp	le placement of	portun	1	80
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	Þ	1
	- 12	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1					
9.	Rate the comp	etence/and suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty.			6	en:
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	r	1
22		tute's support and	antaibution f	or the overall d	evelopment of s	hidenti	s1	
10.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor]	6	1
			1.1					
	Any other Su	ggestions (please	specify)					_
		ig to make mpulsay			1.			
	Bett	ig to make	at least	lome loug	use of certin	jate	m-	

÷

.

Ø

٠

Signature

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

a .
a ·
ha Reddy.
01.
1A, Uddamariany, M.C. Pally,
Hyd, TS, TODOte . aipsiya 36 as u Cgmail. com
apriya36224@gmail.com
no .
Public Sector/ Academics/
ngireeoing.
ciplinary/ITIndustry/ / Other:
e/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/
n. e (e) Poor [ع] ا
etween academia and industry.
ge (e) Poor [b]
l standards. ge (e) Poor [b]
dustry challenges/requirements. ge (e) Poor []]

o

٠



5.	Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an	d equipment a	adequateness f	or practical ext	posure.		
	(a) Excellent			(d) Average		l	Ъ.	1
б.		ng of electives in re		nology advance	ements.		5	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	b.	1
7.	Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	a learning or se	lf-learning.			
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	b	1
8.	Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.							
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	Ь	1
	e The constant and a							
9.	Rate the comp	etence and suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty.				
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	ſ	b	1
10	Rate the instit	ute's support and	contribution fo	or the overall d	evelopment of	studente	8.	
	(a) Excellent					1		1

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Super good!

1.7

.

١.

Sign

O Scanned with OKEN Scanner

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and S	cience
--	--------

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	Pranetta Donkuhwar
Name of the Alumnae	:
Father's Name	D. Srinivasula
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 22-12-1997
Year of Passing	: 2020
Permanent Address	:# 306, Lotus Avenues, Rol-No. 13 Inuthing
Email-ID	: # 306, Lotus Avenus, Rd. No. 13, krushi ngaz, : praneethad 97@gmail.com nayoeur
Mobile Number	: 8106703583
Present Organization Name	: Accentivie
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: <u>IT</u>
Designation	: Senior Software Engineer
Present Location	: Hyderabod
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
Year of Admission	: 2016
Name of the Programm	
Institute Name	

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

- 2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
- 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (c) Good (b) Very Good (d) Average (e) Poor
- 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. (a) Excellent for Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

- 5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure. (d) Average (e) Poor Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good
- 6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. (b) Very Good (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent (c) Good
- 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
- 8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

o

Note practicals on courses might help in the long Jun.

e aneetra Signature





G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women) (Autonomous) Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum) CST Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

Academic Year : 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date: 24-4-2-3

Name: K-SIVARAMAIAH

Name of the Organization/Institution JP Morgan Designation: TECH LEAD Higher Education: BITECH Experience: 15 Years Mail ID: Sivacamaich . Koveti@ gmail.com

Phone No: 7989902568

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

(b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

b) very good (c) good (d) Average (e) poor a) Excellent

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

.b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society,

b) very good @) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment,

b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

Any other Suggestions:

Design Pakerins, Full stack development, Devers Related subjects should be included. 11. SivaRamoniah.



G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTÉ OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women) (Autonomous) Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104 Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year : 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date:

Name: P. Steadhar

Name of the Organization/Institution Coghi Bant Designation: MORA Project Manager Higher Education: MCA Experience: 18 years Mail ID: Sachinspee @ gmail Com Phone No: 9692-669,098

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

a) Excellent b) very good of good d) Average e) poor

Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Rate the provision of jaculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent -6) very good c) good d) Average c) poor

Any other Suggestions:

More practical appreach in the form of labe may be given priority in the latest fields (Steeling

Signature:



G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women) (Autonomous) Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104 Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year : 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date:

Name: B. Praveen. Name of the Organization/Institution Ness Technologies Designation: Sr. Software Engineer Higher Education: M. Tech. Experience: 12 years. Mail ID: proveenb. & Organil.com Phone No: 9392197009.

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a/Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent (b) very good c) good d) Average c) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

a) Excellent (b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

a) Excellent (b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent (b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

It is better to add couse like Angular JS & Full stack Developer. Thankyou -

Signature:

Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science(For Women)

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.

Syllabus Link:

B.Tech IT: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf B.Tech CST: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf M.Tech CNIS: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Name *	
Himasree	
Name of the Organization/Institution *	
Kitolit	
Designation *	
CEO	
4.	
Higher Education *	
Btech	
Experience *	
15	
् <u>र</u>	

19/23, 2:40 PM	Curriculum_Employer_Feedback	
Mail ID		
himasree@kito	lit.com	
Phone Numbe 9000670473	er *	
5000070413		
Program Nam	ne: *	
B.Tech		
B.Tech		
This Feedback is Syllabus Link: <u>htt</u>	being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. ps://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/	
This Feedback is Syllabus Link: <u>htt</u>	being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. ps://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/	
This Feedback is Syllabus Link: <u>htt</u> Department *	being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. ps://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/	
This Feedback is Syllabus Link: <u>htt</u> Department * IT M. Tech	being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. ps://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/	
This Feedback is Syllabus Link: <u>htt</u> Department * IT M. Tech his Feedback is b	s://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/	
This Feedback is Syllabus Link: <u>htt</u> Department * IT M.Tech	s://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/	

	PM	Curriculum_Employer_Feedback
1	e the depth of the curriculum. *	
Rati	e ne deput of the controllon, -	
0	Excellent	
۲	Very Good	
0	Good	
0	Average	
0	Poor	
Rate	e the relevance of the course for providing	g employability. *
0	Excellent	
۲	Very Good	
0	Good	
0	Average	
0	Poor	
Rat	te the worth of syllabus in catering to the n	eeds of industry/society. *
۲	Excellent	
0	Very Good	
0	Good	
0	Average	

O Poor

Feedback

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *

۲	Excellent
0	Very Good
0	Good
0	Average

O Poor

Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum. *

۲	Excellent
0	Very Good
0	Good
0	Average
0	Poor

	PM	Curriculum_Employer_Feedback
,ia	te the conduciven	ess of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment. *
0	Excellent	
0	Very Good	
0	Good	
0	Average	
0	Poor	
lati	e the provision of	inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum. *
0	Excellent	
С	Very Good	
С	Good	
C	Average	
С	Poor	
ny	other suggestion	(s):
		This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science(For Women)

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link:

B.Tech IT: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf B.Tech CST: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf M.Tech CNIS: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Name * Ashwini Daroga		
Name of the Organization/ PwC	nstitution *	
Designation * Senior Associate		
Higher Education * MBA		
Experience * 15years	а	

		APR .
Mail ID		
Phone Number *		
8886233992		
	*	
Program Name: *		
B.Tech		
B.Tech		
This Feedback is bei Syllabus Link: <u>https:/</u>	ing collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. ://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/	
Department *		
Department *	•	
IT M.Tech This Feedback is bei	ting collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. ://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/	
IT M.Tech This Feedback is bei	★ ting collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.	
IT M.Tech This Feedback is bei Syllabus Link: <u>https:/</u>	★ ting collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.	

1:55 PM

Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

Feedback

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *

۲	Excellent
0	Very Good
0	Good
0	Average
0	Poor

Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum. *

۲	Excellent
0	Very Good
0	Good
0	Average
0	Poor

•

9/21/23, 1:56 PM	Curriculum_Employer_Feedback	Cate Ine
Rate the depth of the curriculum. *		
Excellent		
O Very Good		
O Good		
O Average		
O Poor		
Rate the relevance of the course for pr	roviding employability. *	
Excellent		
O Very Good	St	
O Good		
O Average		
O Poor		
Rate the worth of syllabus in catering to	o the needs of industry/society. *	
Excellent	25	
O Very Good		
O Good		

O Average

O Poor

4	
155 PM	

Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment. *

۲	Excellent	1 2	
0	Very Good		
0	Good		
0	Average		
0	Poor		
Rate	e the provision of inculca	ing students' creativity in the curriculu	ım. *
0			
•	Excellent		
0	Very Good		
0	Good		
0	Average		
0	Poor		
Any	other suggestion(s):		
-			
		s content is neither created nor endorsed by Goog	ple.

Google Forms