G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : B.Tech

Name of the Student : G. Akhila

Academic Year : (2020-2024) 2023

Year/Semester : III ^{2nd} (sem)

Roll Number : 20251A3611

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Remove few subjects and Include Web-development labs, Include React JS; Jango labs and front-end development labs.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : Computer Science & Technology

Program Name : BTECH

Name of the Student : Kohir lakshmi Sri

Academic Year : 2022 - 2023

Year/Semester : III rd 2nd Sem

Roll Number : 2025 1A3617

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor (imp)

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   . (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Provide practical knowledge rather than bookish knowledge

lakshmiSri
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : B. Tech

Name of the Student : RENUKA PUTTAPOGU

Academic Year : 2020 - 2023

Year/Semester : III rd yr II semester

Roll Number : 2025IA3652

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

need to provide more options in electives.
need to give more preference for opted elective

Renula.
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : B. Tech

Name of the Student : K. Jahnavi

Academic Year : 2022 - 23

Year/Semester : 3rd year 2nd semester

Roll Number : 2025IA3615

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

More real-time examples can be provided.
More focus on industry-level training can be made.

K. Jahnavi
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : B·Tech

Name of the Student : Lasya priya ·N

Academic Year : 2022-23

Year/Semester : 3rd year 2nd semester

Roll Number : 20251A3619

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

More Time to be focused on Extra-learning hours and programming courses

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : B. Tech

Name of the Student : A. Deepika

Academic Year : 2022 - 2023

Year/Semester : 3Y 2nd

Roll Number : 20251A3631

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Reduce the syllabus of WT and OS. Offer the courses which improve Student problem solving skills.

A. Deepika
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : B.Tech

Name of the Student : AYYAGARI SIRI REDDY

Academic Year : 2022-23

Year/Semester : III rd year 2-nd semester

Roll Number : 20251A3632

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Need to focus on extra learning Syllabus.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : Computer Science and technology

Program Name : B.Tech.

Name of the Student : M.V.Divya Sri

Academic Year : 2022 - 2023

Year/Semester : 3rd year 2nd sem

Roll Number : 2125SA3601

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

More electives, Minor degrees, want
cyber security as subject ineed more help
Add honors   for projects

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : B.Tech

Name of the Student : Meghana

Academic Year : 22-23

Year/Semester : 2 IInd (sem)

Roll Number : 21255A3605

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Remove few Meta and fu and add subject like, Bolock chain, cyber, and add data like. Net, Jaugo Labs.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : Computer Science & Technology
Program Name : B.Tech
Name of the Faculty : Dr. A. Vijaya Krishna
Academic Year : 2022-2023
Designation : Assistant Professor

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a)Excellent ✓   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s): Needs to upgrade with Industry Expectations

Date: 16-03-2023

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : B.TECH.

Name of the Faculty : Y. RAJALAKSHMI

Academic Year : 2022 – 2023

Designation : ASSISTANT. PROFESSOR.

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

For Practical knowledge and real time experiences
classes & sessions has to be planned and implemented.

Date: 15/6/2023

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : B.Tech

Name of the Faculty : K Madhavi

Academic Year : 2022-23

Designation : Asst. professor

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):
should include some more new technologies in the curriculum.

Date: 22/3/23

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department

Program Name : IT

Name of the Faculty : C ST

Academic Year : Dr. L. Smitha

Designation : 2022-23

: Asst Prof

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a) Excellent ✓   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a) Excellent ✓   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a) Excellent ✓   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a) Excellent ✓   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a) Excellent ✓   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a) Excellent ✓   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a) Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Add new technologies oriented subjects. Subjects like IoT, mi should be in before years so that it will be helpful in projects

Date: 21-4-23

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : CS T

Program Name : B·Tech

Name of the Faculty : K·Pavani

Academic Year : 2022-2023

Designation : Ast Prof

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Better to add few more courses that can help students to better in software jobs.

Date: 15|6|23

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : CST

Program Name : R·Tech

Name of the Faculty : P. Mounika

Academic Year : 2022 - 2023

Designation : Asst. prof.

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Please verify the distribution of topic in all the units.

Date: 16/3/23

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : Information Technology

Name of the Alumnae : Giri. Sri poojitha

Father's Name : G. Venkata Ramana

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 18/06/2000

Year of Passing : 2022

Permanent Address : H: NO.3-6-90, opp to Nalanda degree college, vaninagar, Jagtial, 505327

Email-ID : sripoojithagiri155@gmail.com

Mobile Number : 7013442097

Present Organization Name : Accenture

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:___Pvt ltd.___

Designation : Advanced Application software Engine

Present Location : Hyderabad

Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:_____

Higher Education : Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:_____

    Year of Admission :

    Name of the Programme :

    Institute Name :

---

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | b |

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | b |

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | b |

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | b |

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Very good education skills provided by college.

A. Sripoojitha

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : Rayeesa Ruhi [IT]

Name of the Alumnae : Rayeesa Ruhi

Father's Name :

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 26|2|2001

Year of Passing : 2022

Permanent Address : Hyderabad

Email-ID : rayeesaruhi@gmail.com

Mobile Number : 9347604255

Present Organization Name : Deloitte

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:_____

Designation :

Present Location : Hyderabad

Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/IT Industry ✓/ Administration/ Other:_____

Higher Education : Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable ✓/ Other:_____

    Year of Admission :

    Name of the Programme :

    Institute Name :

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor   [ ]

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor   [ ]

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor   [ ]

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor   [ ]

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Focus on current technologies and discuss them with students

Rули

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : IT

Name of the Alumnae : J. Navya Sri

Father's Name : J. Satyanarayana

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 12/07/2001

Year of Passing : 2022

Permanent Address : Vidyanagar colony no:3, Armoor.

Email-ID : Jummarath sri 02@ gmail.com

Mobile Number : 9700912350

Present Organization Name : Cognizant

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/
Other: Private sector

Designation : Programmer Analyst.

Present Location : Hyderabad

Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/✓
Administration/ Other:_____

Higher Education : Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/✓
Other:_____

    Year of Admission :

    Name of the Programme :

    Institute Name :

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Add more industry oriented subjects

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

<u>Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)</u>

Department : IT

Name of the Alumnae : M. Sahithi

Father's Name : M. Srinivas

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 31/07/2000

Year of Passing : 2022

Permanent Address : water tank road mancherial

Email-ID : sahithi-musthyala@gmail.com

Mobile Number : 9652729171

Present Organization Name : Legato Health Technologies

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: private sector

Designation : Associate Software Engineer

Present Location : Hyderabad

Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ ✓ Administration/ Other:_____

Higher Education : Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ ✓ Other:_____

Year of Admission :

Name of the Programme :

Institute Name :

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent ✓ (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | a |

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | a |

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | a |

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | a |

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor   [a]

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor   [a]

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor   [a]

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor   [a]

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor   [a]

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor   [a]

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

More industry oriented projects need to be developed by students

Saluthi
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : IT

Name of the Alumnae : P. Tejaswini Reddy

Father's Name : P Sammi Reddy

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 29/07/2001

Year of Passing : 2022

Permanent Address : H.no: 1-28, Theegalaguttapally, Karimnagar

Email-ID : tejashwinirdyp@gmail.com

Mobile Number : 9381106302

Present Organization Name : JPMC

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:_____ pvt Ltd.

Designation : Backend developer

Present Location : Hyderabad

Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:_____

Higher Education : Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:_____

Year of Admission :

Name of the Programme :

Institute Name :

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [L]

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [L]

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Better to make at least some courses for certification as compulsory

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : IT

Name of the Alumnae : P. Sai Priya

Father's Name : P. Narsimha Reddy

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 12-04-2001

Year of Passing : 2022

Permanent Address : H No:- 4-10/A, Uddamavuru, M.C. Pally, Hyd, TS, 500078.

Email-ID : peddireddysaipriya36994@gmail.com

Mobile Number : 6303446983

Present Organization Name : Service Now

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:_____ Pvt. Ltd.

Designation : Quality Engineering

Present Location : Hyderabad

Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry ✓/ Administration/ Other:_____

Higher Education : Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable ✓/ Other:_____

    Year of Admission :

    Name of the Programme :

    Institute Name :

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Super good!

P. Spriya
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: *IT*
Name of the Alumnae	: *Praneetha Donkuhwar*
Father's Name	: *D. Srinivasulu*
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: *22-12-1997*
Year of Passing	: *2020*
Permanent Address	: *# 306, Lotus Avenue, Rd.No.13, krushi nagar, nagapur*
Email-ID	: *praneethad97@gmail.com*
Mobile Number	: *8106703583*
Present Organization Name	: *Accenture*
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: *IT*
Designation	: *Senior Software Engineer*
Present Location	: *Hyderabad*
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
Year of Admission	: *2016*
Name of the Programme	: —
Institute Name	: —

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent ✓ (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent ✓ (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent ✓ (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good ✓ (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

More practicals on courses might help in the long run.

Praneetha

Signature

G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)
(Autonomous)
Shaikpet. Hyderabad – 500104
Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum) CST

Academic Year : 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date: 24-4-23

Name: K·SIVARAMAIAH

Name of the Organization/Institution JP MORGAN

Designation: TECH LEAD

Higher Education: B·TECH

Experience: 15 Years

Mail ID: Sivaramaiah·kaveti@gmail.com

Phone No: 7989902568

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

Design Patterns, Full Stack development, Devops Related subjects should be included.

Signature:

G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)

(Autonomous)
Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104
Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year : 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date:

Name: P. Sreadhar

Name of the Organization/Institution Cognizant

Designation: ~~MPPGA~~ Project Manager

Higher Education: MCA

Experience: 18 years

Mail ID: Sachinsree@gmail.com

Phone No: 9642669898

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

More practical approach in the form of labs may be given priority in the latest fields

Signature:

G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)
(Autonomous)
Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104
Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year : 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date:

Name: B. Praveen.

Name of the Organization/Institution Ness Technologies

Designation: Sr. Software Engineer

Higher Education: M.Tech.

Experience: 12 years.

Mail ID: praveenb.8@gmail.com

Phone No: 9392197009.

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

It is better to add courses like Angular JS & Full stack Developer. Thank you.

Signature:

Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science(For Women)

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link:
B.Tech IT: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
B.Tech CST: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
M.Tech CNIS: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Name *

Himasree

Name of the Organization/Institution *

Kitolit

Designation *

CEO

Higher Education *

Btech

Experience *

15

Mail ID

himasree@kitolit.com

Phone Number *

9000670473

Program Name: *

B.Tech ▼

B.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Department *

IT ▼

M.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Department *

Choose ▼

Rate the depth of the curriculum. *

○ Excellent

◉ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability. *

○ Excellent

◉ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society. *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Feedback

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum. *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

ate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment. *

○ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum. *

○ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science(For Women)

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link:
B.Tech IT: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
B.Tech CST: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
M.Tech CNIS: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Name *

Ashwini Daroga

Name of the Organization/Institution *

PwC

Designation *

Senior Associate

Higher Education *

MBA

Experience *

15years

Mail ID

Phone Number *

8886233992

Program Name: *

B.Tech ▼

B.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Department *

IT ▼

M.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Department *

Choose ▼

Feedback

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum. *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the depth of the curriculum. *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability. *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society. *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment. *

- ⦿ Excellent
- ◯ Very Good
- ◯ Good
- ◯ Average
- ◯ Poor

Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum. *

- ⦿ Excellent
- ◯ Very Good
- ◯ Good
- ◯ Average
- ◯ Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

_____

Google Forms