#### Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

De	epartment		:	EC	E			
Pr	ogram Name		:	B.T.	ech			
Na	ame of the Student		:	N.,	ech Deeksh 20-21	itha	Redd	7
Ac	cademic Year		:	200	20-21			
Ye	ar/Semester		:	亚	工			
Ro	ll Number		:	172	II 51a04	50		
1.	Rate how challeng	ging was the syllabus offered (b) Very Good(c) Good			s. (e) Poor			
2.	Rate the appropria	ateness of the sequence of the				riculum.		
3.		the syllabus of the courses in				s expected	by industry	y/current
4.	Rate the sequence	e of the units/modules in the c (b) Very Good(c) Good	ourses		(e) Poor			
5.	Rate the adequate (a) Excellent	ness of the textbooks and refe (b) Very Good(c) Good	erence (d) Av	books n verage	nentioned for t (e) Poor	he course.		
6.	Rate the offering (a) Excellent	of electives in relation to technology (b) Very Good (c) Good	nology (d) Av	advanc erage	eements. (e) Poor			
7.	Rate the design of Excellent	the courses in terms of extra (b) Very Good (c) Good	learnii (d) Av	ng or sel verage	f-learning (e) Poor			
8.	Rate the flexibility (a) Excellent	in choosing the electives in the choosing the electives in the choosing the electives in the choosing the electives in the choosing the	relation (d) Av	n to tech erage	nology advan (e) Poor	cements.		
9.	Rate the percentag (a) Excellent	te of the courses offering LAE  (b) Very Good (c) Good	3 comp (d) Av	oonents. erage	(e) Poor			
	Discipline core Di	ition of the courses in term iscipline elective, Open election (b) Very Good (c) Good	ve, pro	iject etc.	· (* -	eering sci	ence, Hum	anities,
	Any other Sugges  On In  In  In  In  In  In  In  In  In  In	tions (please specify) In a rume tre I old tec	ndi h h	ng -	fechnologies,	H		
							V. Dei	der his
						. <b>S</b>	ignature	

## Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department

ECE

Program Name	: B, lech
Name of the Student	: V. Shireesha
Academic Year	: 2020-21
Year/Semester	$:  \boxed{V} / \boxed{I}$ .
Roll Number	: 17251 AO 4Bf.
Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered     (a) Excellent	
<ol> <li>Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the s</li></ol>	
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses global scenarios.	in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and re (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	eference books mentioned for the course.  (d) Average (e) Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to tector (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	chnology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	ra learning or self-learning (d) Average (e) Poor
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives i (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	n relation to technology advancements.  (d) Average (e) Poor
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering La (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	AB components. (d) Average (e) Poor
10. Rate the composition of the courses in te Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	rms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, ctive, project etc.?  (d) Average (e) Poor
Any other Suggestions (please specify)	
Too many labs of e	lectives in same semester
Jedney Flexibility to	lectives in same semester provide students with tivities including - Shireetha Signature
thee slots to other ac	myries Including -
-placement training	Shikesta
	Scanned with CamScanner

Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Dep	partment		: ece		04-04-2021
Pro	gram Name		: B. Pech		
Nai	ne of the Student		: M. 3HA12	_HZH	
Ac	ademic Year		: 2020-	21	
Yea	ar/Semester		: 3-2		
Ro	ll Number		: 18251	FFUOA	
		iging was the syllabus offered (b) Very Good (c) Good			
2.	Rate the appropri	iateness of the sequence of th	e courses provi (d) Average		
	global scenarios.	f the syllabus of the courses i  (b) Very Good (c) Good	n relation to the	e competencies expecte (e) Poor	ed by industry/current
"	\$	e of the junits/modules in the	. ,		
5.	Rate the adequat (a) Excellent	eness of the textbooks and re (b) Very Good (c) Good	ference books n (d) Average	nentioned for the cours (e) Poor	se.
6.	Rate the offering (a) Excellent	of electives in relation to tec (b) Very Good (c) Good	hnology advand (d) Average	cements. (e) Poor	
7.	Rate the design of	of the courses in terms of extr (b) Very Good (c) Good	a learning or se (d) Average		
	Rate the flexibili (a) Excellent	ty in choosing the electives in (b) Very Good (c) Good	n relation to tec (d) Average		s.
9.	Rate the percenta (a) Excellent	nge of the courses offering LA (b) Very Good (c) Good	AB components (d) Average	(e) Poor	
10.	Discipline core,	sition of the courses in te Discipline elective, Open elec (b) Very Good (c) Good	rms of Basic etive, project et (d) Average	c.?	science, Humanities,
	Any other Sugg	estions (please specify)			
	i. Introdu technologi	ce some trending	technolog	ies instead of	oldı .
			and the second s	Name and Publisher of the Parks	
	7 2				Shailain

Signature Scanned with CamScanner

# Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

r	Department	ECE		07.03.2021
	Program Name	BiTel	<u>L</u>	
	Name of the Student	Voohi		
	Academic Year	2020-	21	
	Year/Semester	34ear	TIJem	
	Roll Number	18251A	0465	
	<ol> <li>Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered</li> <li>(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good</li> </ol>		1	
•	<ol> <li>Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good</li> </ol>		ed in the curricu (e) Poor	lum.
	3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in global scenarios.	lation to the o	competencies ex	pected by industry/current
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	) Average (	(e) Poor	
	4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		(e) Poor	
	5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and ref (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		entioned for the o	course.
(	6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to tech (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		ments. (e) Poor	
7	7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		-learning (e) Poor	
8	E. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		nology advancen (e) Poor	nents.
9	. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LA (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		(e) Poor	
1	O. Rate the composition of the courses in term Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elect (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	, project etc.?	?	ing science, Humanities,
	Any other Suggestions (please specify)		a t	
	Introduce Some toundi	techn	ologies i	netcad of
	Introduce Some toundis			
				abolated.



Department: ECE

Program Name: B. Tech

Date: 19/3/2021

#### G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

Academic Year:

Year/Semester:

(FOR WOMEN)

2020 -2

### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM (R-18)

	Name of the Student: J. Usha kiran Roll Number: 19251AOU D8						
	Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.						
1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.							
		O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
	2.	Rate the approp	riateness of the s	equence of the	courses provided	d in the curriculum.	
		Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
	3.	Rate the depth of	of the syllabus of	the courses in r	elation to the co	empetencies expected by industry/current global	
		scenarios.					
		O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
	4.	Rate the sequen	ce of the units/m	odules in the co	urses.		
		Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
	5.	Rate the adequa	teness of the text	books and refer	ence books men	ationed for the course.	
		Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
,	6.		g of electives in r		ology advancem	nents.	
		O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
	7.	Rate the design	of the courses in	terms of extra le	earning or self-l	earning.	
		O Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
	8.	Rate the flexibil	ity in choosing th	ne electives in re	elation to techno	ology advancements.	
		Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
	9.	Rate the percent	age of the course	s offering LAB	components.		
		<b>Excellent</b>	O Very Good		O Average	O Poor	
,	10.	Rate the compos	sition of the cours	ses in terms of E	Basic science, Er	ngineering science, Humanities, Discipline core,	
		Discipline electi	ve, Open elective	e, project etc.?			
	٠,	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
	Any	other suggestion	n(s):				
		- (					
			mannena	quibiante ma	lakel to on	resent industry requirements.	
		Meed more	Jogiannika	auplices ac	ALKO TU PI	rescrib indicastry, regulation givis.	

J. Urha kiran.

Signature of Student

# G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department	: ELE					
Program Name	: B.Tel	: ELE : B. Tech : G. Madhavi' : 2020-21 : Asit. Prof.,				
Name of the Faculty	: G. M	ladhavi'				
Academic Year	: 202	0-2-1				
Designation	: Act.	Bol.				
Please rate your valuable feedback on	the curriculum for rev	riew of syllabus/to	improve quality of the p	rogramme.		
Rate the structure of the cu     A)Excellent b) Very God	rriculum framed for t od c) Good	the entire program d) Average	n. e) Poor			
Rate the appropriateness of a Excellent  b) Very Good		courses provided d) Average	l in the curriculum. e) Poor			
3. Rate the depth of the syllab	us for the Program in	relation to the co	ompetencies expected b	y		
industry/Current global scer a)Excellent b)/Very God	narios. od c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
4. Rate the sequence of the unit at Excellent b) Very Goo	its/modules in the cou od c) Good	urse. (Taught by d) Average	you). e) Poor			
5. Rate the distribution of cred a)Excellent b) Very God	its in the Program. od c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
6. Rate the adequateness of texa) Excellent by Very God	at books and reference od c) Good	e books mention d) Average	ed in the syllabus. e) Poor			
7. Rate the potential of the stude a)Excellent b) Very Good		g the course obje d) Average	ectives. e) Poor			
8. Rate the syllabus content for a)Excellent b) Very Goo	the courses in terms d c) Good	of burden on stu d) Average	idents. e) Poor			
9. Plate the experiment list in st a)Excellent b) Very Goo		t of students in tl d) Average	ne project. e) Poor			
10. Rate the contribution of the contribution	courses in terms of pr d c) Good	rofessional core a d) Average	area. e) Poor			
Any other suggestion(s):						
Curriculum	seed to Cove	x program	lant in place	lalso		
work on Har	dware, This	helps stuc	lang in place	enents.		
Date: 07/01/2011			Signatur	a of Pacult		

# G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback (GNR-18)

Departme	ent		: ECE : B.Te	=		
Program I	Name		: B.Te	ch		
Name of t	the Faculty		: c.Sr	-idhar Bal	M.	
Academic	Year		: 202	0-21		
Designation	on		: As	st.plof.		
Please rat	te your valuable	feedback on the cur	riculum for revie	w of syllabus/to impi	rove quality of the	programme.
		re of the curriculum o) Very Good	n framed for the	e entire program. d) Average	e) Poor	
	Rate the appropra)Excellent	iateness of the seq by Very Good	uences of the co	ourses provided in t d) Average	he curriculum. e) Poor	
3. F	Rate the depth o	alobal scenarios		elation to the compe	etencies expected	by
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
, 🗸	e/Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	se. (Taught by you) d) Average	e) Poor	
	Rate the distribu	ntion of credits in the b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
7. I	Excellent	b) Very Good	, cy Good	books mentioned in d) Average the course objectived) Average	e) Poor	
8. I	Rate the syllabu			of burden on studen d) Average	its. e) Poor	
9. I	Rate the experimates	nent list in stimulat b) Very Good	ting the interest c) Good	of students in the p d) Average	oroject. e) Poor	
	Rate the contrib	ution of the course b) Very Good	s in terms of pro c) Good	ofessional core area d) Average	ı. e) Poor	
	Any other sug	many lab	s & elec	tives in t	he same	Semester h 6
Ra	lots for	other acti	bithes in	vide stud cluding pl	ace yent.	C. Lil
	Date:	ochool	mg			ture of Faculty

# G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback (GNR-18)

Departm	nent		: ECE			
Program	Name		: B.Tel	h		
Name of	the Faculty		: Y. Pra	rach		
Academ	ic Year		: ECE : B.Tee : Y.Pla : 2020	-21		
Designat	tion		: Asst	- 08004		
Please ra	ate your valuable	feedback on the curr	iculum for revi	ew of syllabus/to imp	rove quality of t	he programme.
	Rate the structural Excellent	re of the curriculum b) Very Good	n framed for th c) Good	ne entire program. d) Average	e) Poor	
	Rate the appropala)Excellent	riateness of the sequ b) Very Good	nences of the c	ourses provided in t d) Average	the curriculum. e) Poor	
		of the syllabus for the global scenarios.	e Program in 1	relation to the comp	etencies expect	ed by
	a)Excellent		c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	Rate the sequent a)Excellent	ce of the units/modu b) Very Good	ales in the cour Cood	rse. (Taught by you) d) Average	). e) Poor	
	Rate the distribua)Excellent	ntion of credits in the	e Program. c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	Rate/the adequa a/Excellent	teness of text books b) Very Good	and reference c) Good	books mentioned in d) Average	n the syllabus. e) Poor	
	Rate the potential	al of the students in	understanding c) Good	the course objectiv d) Average	es. e) Poor	
	Rate the syllabu a)Excellent	s content for the cou b) Very Good	arses in terms c) Good	of burden on studen d) Average	ts. e) Poor	
	Rate the experin a)Excellent	nent list in stimulati b) Very Good	ng the interest c) Good	of students in the p d) Average	roject. e) Poor	
	Rate the contrib	ution of the courses b) Very Good	in terms of processing in terms of processing contract the contract of the con	ofessional core area d) Average	e) Poor	
	Any other sug	gestion(s): by foteme	sints	to the	Stile o	j alt
•	Jeehn	wlog in Te	ehnical	Equestion	arpa the	, guidhne
	0	AICTE				
	Date: 14	102/2021			Sig	nature of Faculty

# G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback (GNR-18)

Departm	nent		: EC	L		
Program	n Name		: B.7	ech	.n.vw	
Name o	f the Faculty		2. A :	ujatha Re 20-21 19st. prof	ddy	
Academ	nic Year		: 201	20-21		
Designa	ition		: 🖊	lest. prof		
Please r	rate your valuable	feedback on the cu				the programme.
1.	Rate the structu a)Excellent	ure of the curriculu b) Very Good	m framed for t c) Good	he entire program. d) Average	e) Poor	
2.	Rate the appropa)Excellent	riateness of the sec by Very Good	quences of the c) Good	courses provided i d) Average	n the curriculum e) Poor	n.
3.	industry/Currer	of the syllabus for a global scenarios.				ected by
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
4.	Rate the sequer a)Excellent	nce of the units/mo b) Very Good	dules in the co c) Good	urse. (Taught by y d) Average	ou). e) Poor	
5.	Rate the distrib	oution of credits in b) Very Good	the Program. c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
6.	Rate the adequal	ateness of text boo b) Very Good	ks and reference c) Good	ce books mentione d) Average	d in the syllabus e) Poor	5.
7.	Rate the potent	tial of the students  b) Very Good	in understandii c) Good	ng the course object d) Average	ctives. e) Poor	
8.	Rate the syllab	us content for the o	courses in term c) Good	s of burden on stu d) Average	dents. e) Poor	
9.	Rate the exper	iment list in stimul b) Very Good	ating the intere c) Good	est of students in the d) Average	ne project. e) Poor	
10	0. Rate the contri a)Excellent	bution of the cours b) Very Good	es in terms of c) Good	professional core a d) Average	area. e) Poor	
	Any other s	uggestion(s): an the co	te cour	ses under	the	electives.
	Date:	4/4/2021.				Signature of Faculty



# G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

(FOR WOMEN)

# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name of the Alumni: M. Soavanthi	
Father's Name: M. Rayendon	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY): 17/12/1998	
Year of Passing: 2020	Department, ECC
Permanent Address: 19-2-105, Stat	Department: E(E)
Email ID: madarisravanthi@gmail	Con Mobile No: 9059614123
	Self Employed Public Sector Academics Others
Name: Ac	centure
Designation: Application development	Manyor Present Location: Hyderabad
Field of working: Core Inter-	Disciplinary IT Industry Administration Other
☐ Master's	
Higher Education Year of admi	ssion: Name of the Programme:
Name of the	Institute:
Please rate your valuable feedback on the courses of the course of th	urriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
Ø Excellen: ○ Very Good ○ G	
3, 5554 0 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus conten	t to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
Excellent Very Good OG	
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your	
Excellent Very Good OG	
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curric	ulum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
○ Excellent ②Very Good ○ G	
5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equi	pment adequateness for practical exposure.
O Excellent O Very Good OG	and the same of th
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation	
O Excellent O Very Good OG	
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms	
Excellent O Very Good O G	
8. Rate the training and placement cell in	
O Excellent Very Good O G	
9. Rate the competence and support offer	
Excellent O Very Good O G	
• ,	
	ution for the overall development of students.
	ood O Average O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):	
Date: 10/12/2022	Signature of Alumni

# The state of the s

### G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni:	Caniharika	4.0
Father's Name:	Raia	Reddy
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY):	100	
Year of Passing: Permanent Address:	2018	Department: CCC
Email ID:		MITTAL
	Type: Self Em	Mobile No:  Academics Others
Present Organization:	Name:	nployed Public Sector Academics Others
Designation:		Present Location:
Field of working: C	ore Inter-Discipl	inary [] /IT Indiana []
	Master's Degree	Other
Higher Education		Carrier Ciliei
	Year of admission: Name of the Institute	Name of the Programme:
Please rate your valuable fe	edback on the curriculu	um for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
Rate the adequateness of	Cil	to improve quality of the programme.
and adoquateriess (	or the courses offered in	the program.
O Excellent O Ve	ry Good O Good	Average O Poor
2. Rate the sufficiency of	syllabus content to brid	ge the gap between academia and industry.
O Excellent Ve	ry Good O Good	Average O Poor
3. Rate the curriculum in r	relation to your current	professional at 1 1
O Excellent Ve	ry Good O Good	
4. Rate the skills acquired	from the	O Average O Poor
O Excellent O Ver	from the curriculum to	face the industry challenges/requirements.
O VC	iy dood () Good	() Average (A) P
3. Rate the institute's labo	ratory and equipment a	dequateness for practical exposure.
C - None	ry Good () Good	O Average O =
6. Rate the offering of elec	ctives in relation to tech	O Average O Poor
O Excellent Ver	ry Good O Good	
7. Rate the design of the o	Ourses for t	O Average O Poor
7. Rate the design of the co	ourses in terms of extra	learning or self-learning.
O Zhochchi Ve	ry Good ( ) Good	
o. Rate the training and pla	acement cell in getting a	ample placement opportunities.
- VC	13 000d ( ) (300d	O Asserta
9. Rate the competence an	d support offered by the	O Average O Poor
O Excellent O Ver	ry Good O Good	
10. Rate the institute's supp	Out and contail at	O Average O Poor  the overall development of students.
O Excellent O Vo	or and contribution for	the overall development of students
	ry Good O Good	O Average O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):		
Date: 10 12		
Date: 10 12 20	122	
		Signature



# G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni: Dietel and Described Park On CURRICULUM
Fathar's Name and Control
DOR/DAMANAN HAT BABU RO
Year of Passing: 2019
Permanent Address: Auda V - lo- d
Email ID: Volate at the state of the state o
Present Organization: Type: Self Employed Public Sector 5
Name: Too 1.0
Present Location
Inter-Disciplinary IV IT Industry
Master's Degree D. D. D. D. Other
Higher Education Verses and it is the Applicable United The Indiana Un
Year of admission: Name of the Programme: Name of the Institute:
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
Pote than 1
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
V DAUGHER V I VARV Good V VO. 1
Average () Poor
reaction to your current professional standards.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
[ Y Example 4   7 \ 7 x x   2 x x   2 x x x x x x x x x x x x
Average () Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
Control Contro
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
O Fycellent O Vom Cook O C
- 0 1001
Office II at O transfer cent in getting ample placement opportunities.
O Average O Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
Of Excellent O Vorm Cond O Cond
Any other suggestion(s):
thy other suggestion(s):
Date: (D) 12 (2)

#### G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni: Ketha Nikitha
Father's Name: Ketha Szinivatu
Year of Passing: 2019 Department: ECE
Permanent Address: Hino B1, 84 (3RT, Vijayanagar Colony, Hyderalad -50057
Email ID: knikitha ecc@gnall.com Mobile No:
Present Organization:  Type: Self Employed Public Sector Academics Others  Name: Deloitle
Designation: Analyst Present Location: Hyderabad
Field of working: Core Inter-Disciplinary I I Industry Administration Other
☐ Master's Degree ☐ Ph.D. ✓ Not Applicable ☐ Other
Higher Education Year of admission: Name of the Programme:
Name of the Institute:
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
○ Excellent  Very Good
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
C Excellent Very Good Cood Average Poor
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
O Excellent    Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
Ø Excellent ○ Very Good ○ Good ○ Average ○ Poor
2. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
0. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
Ø Excellent ○ Very Good ○ Good ○ Average ○ Poor
Any other suggestion(s): more importance has to be given for
Woramuria meliate 1/2 & Francisco de la tola
Date: 10/12/2022 given for internships. Signature of Alumni
Date: 10/12/2022 green for Internamps, Signature of Alumni
Matterhal
TANA C

# The state of the s

#### G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni: Sources Mothe									
Father's Name: M. Psas Rd									
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY): 18 10 95     Year of Passing: 2017   Department: CCE									
Year of Passing: 2017 Department: ECE  Permanent Address: hydral-ad									
Email ID: mothe Sumye 12 @ gmad con Mobile No: 9704090541									
Type: Self Employed Public Sector Academics Others									
Present Organization: Name: \$\(\sigma\)									
Designation: Present Location:									
Field of working: Core Inter-Disciplinary IT Industry Administration Other									
Master's Degree Ph.D. Not Applicable Other									
Higher Education Year of admission: Name of the Programme:									
Name of the Institute:									
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.									
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.									
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor									
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.									
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor									
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.									
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor									
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.									
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor									
5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.									
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor									
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.									
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor									
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.									
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor									
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.									
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor									
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.									
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.									
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor									
Any other suggestion(s):									
Great place to good professionally and personally									
Date: 10 1 2022									



# G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)

## (Autonomous)

Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

Curriculum \_Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

[B. Tech] Academic Year: 2020-21

# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 12-12-2020.

Name: KM Siddalinga

Name of the Organization/Institution N-Vidia Graphics P. Utd, India.

Designation: Senior S/s software engineer.

Higher Education: B. Tech

Experience: 14 Years,

Mail ID: sikm@nvidia.com

Phone No: 8008441727

- 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
- a) Excellent b) very good v) good d) Average e) poor
- 2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
  - a) Excellent b) very good b) good d) Average e) poor
- 3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
  - a) Excellent \b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
- 4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
  - a) Excellent by very good c) good d) Average e) poor
- 5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
- Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
- 6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
  - a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
- 7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

Include more programming oriented subjects in ryllabory.

Signature:

# STORAGE STATE

### G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)

#### (Autonomous)

#### Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

# Curriculum \_Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

(B. Tech) Academic Year: 20-21

DEPART	MENT OF EL	ECTRON	ICS and CO	MMUNICA	TION EN	IGINEERING
					Date	: 05-04-2021
Name: k.	Abhinaya	•		,		
Name: R. 1	rganization/In	stitution	XILINX	put	Hel.	
Designation:						
Higher Educat		V		•		
Experience:	7 yrs					
Mail ID: ab	atta @ zi	linx.	com			
Phone No: C	1032674	8 79				
1. Rate the adequ	uateness of the co	ourses offer	ed in the progr	am.		*
Va) Excellent	b) very good	c) good	d) Average	e) poor		
2. Rate the Oppo	ortunity for devel	oping skills	in the curricul	lum.		
a) Excellent	by very good	c) good	d) Average	e) poor		
3. Rate the depth	of the curriculu	m.				
a) Excellent	b) very good	c) good	d) Average	e) poor		
4. Rate the releva	ance of the cours	e for provid	ling employab	ility.		
a) Excellent	b) very good	vo) good	d) Average	e) poor		
5. Rate the worth	n of syllabus in c	reating to th	ne needs of ind	ustry/society.		
a) Excellent	b) very good	ve) good	d) Average	e) poor		
6. Rate the condi	uciveness of the				rds recruitr	ment.
a) Excellent	very good	c) good	d) Average	e) poor		
7. Rate the provi	sion of inculcating	ng students	creativity in t	he curriculum.		
(a) Excellent	b) very good	c) good	d) Average	e) poor		
Any other Sugg	estions: Inc	Inde	mole	prograv	ning	subjects
						Subjects Bhironyah
					Sig	nature:

# STORAGE STORAGE

# G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)

#### (Autonomous)

#### Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

# Curriculum \_Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

(B. Tech) Academic Year: 2020 - 21
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 05/01/21

Name: Maddela Neelina kumari

Name of the Organization/Institution Micoochip technology

Designation: Design

Designation: Design engineer- II

Higher Education: M. Tech

Experience: 4 years

Mail ID: Maddela neclima 96 @ gmail.com

Phone No: 9502813687

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent No very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good (c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

(a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

(a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent by very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

include more programming Subjects.

Signature: M. Neelima



#### G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)

(Autonomous)

Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

#### Curriculum \_Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

B. Tech?

Academic Year: 2020-21

#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 14/12/2020

G. Srinidhi

Name of the Organization/Institution LST Tech services

Engineer Designation:

Higher Education: B. Tech

Experience: 4 Years.

Mail ID: srinidhi.gorityala@ Atts. com

9490966521 Phone No:

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

- b) very good v good a) Excellent
- d) Average
- 2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
  - a) Excellent
- b) very good c) good d) Average
- 3. Rate the depth of the cyrriculum.
  - a) Excellent
- b) very good c) good d) Average

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

- a) Excellent
- b) very good c) good
- d) Average

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

- a Excellent
- b) very good c) good
- d) Average
- e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

- b) very good e) good d) Average
- 7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
  - a) Excellent
- by very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

Signature:



# G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women) (Autonomous)

#### Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

#### Curriculum \_Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2020-2021 (B.Tech)

#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 16-04-2021

Name: G. Bhayath Reddy

Name of the Organization/Institution TCS

Designation: Team Lead

Higher Education: B. Tech

Experience: 15775

Mail ID: bharath\_gbr @ yahoo.com

Phone No: 9266 978973

1.	Rate	the	adec	uateness	of	the	courses	offered	in	the	program

- a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) po
- 2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
- a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) poo
- 3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
- (a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
- 4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
  - a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) poor
- 5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent by very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

i) Include more programming, cooling blentld Subjects to meet present company needs. Signature: