### G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

#### Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: ECE.
Program Name	
Name of the Student	: BTech. : J. Mnudhuvani
Academic Year	: 2021-22.
Year/Semester	
Roll Number	: ZOATIAOUCE.
<ol> <li>Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered</li> <li>(a) Excellent</li> <li>(b) Very Good (c) Good</li> </ol>	d by the courses.
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	ne courses provided in the curriculum.  (d) Average (e) Poor
<ul><li>3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses global scenarios.</li><li>(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good</li></ul>	in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current  (d) Average (e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	e courses. (d) Average (e) Poor
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and re (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	eference books mentioned for the course. (d) Average (e) Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to te (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	echnology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of ex (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	tra learning or self-learning (d) Average (e) Poor
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	in relation to technology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering I (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	LAB components.  (d) Average (e) Poor
10. Rate the composition of the courses in Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities lective, project etc.?  (d) Average (e) Poor
Any other Suggestions (please specify)	
Introduce more proge	anning Subjects in 1st year.
	110

Signature
Scanned with CamScanner

## G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Department

### Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18) 12-02-8

: ECE

Program Name	: B.Tech
Name of the Student	: B. Nihalini reddy
Academic Year	: 2021-2022
Year/Semester	: 3-1
Roll Number	: 19251A0465
Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered     (a) Excellent	d by the courses. (d) Average (e) Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	•
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses i global scenarios.  (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	n relation to the competencies expected by industry/current
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	courses.
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and ref (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	ference books mentioned for the course. (d) Average (e) Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to tec (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	hnology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	a learning or self-learning (d) Average (e) Poor
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	relation to technology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LA (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	
10. Rate the composition of the courses in ter Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elec (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	
Any other Suggestions (please specify)	
1) Internal marks weightage should a 2) Need more programming subject	ts related to present industry requirem - ents.
	Niholini- Signature



Department:

Program Name: ECE

Date: 07/02/2022

## G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

Year/Semester:

Academic Year: 2021-27

(FOR WOMEN)

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ident:	resthi	Roll Nu	noci.	1925/10442
valuable feedback o	on the curriculur	m for review of	syllabus / to	improve quality of the programme.
allenging was the sy	yllabus offered	by the courses.		
			O Poor	
•			d in the cur	riculum.
	-	1.53	_	
- V			mpetencies	s expected by industry/current global
_			•	
t O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
uence of the units/m	nodules in the co	ourses.		
t 🔾 🕅 Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
quateness of the tex	tbooks and refe	rence books me	ntioned for	the course.
t O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
ring of electives in	relation to tech	nology advancer	nents.	
t O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
	V	learning or self-	learning.	
t . Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
ibility in choosing	the electives in	relation to techn	ology advar	ncements.
t O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
entage of the cours	es offering LAI	B components.		-
t O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
position of the cou	rses in terms of	Basic science, E	Engineering	science, Humanities, Discipline core
ective, Open electiv	e, project etc.?			
		O Average	O Poor	
tion(s):				
				4
	valuable feedback of allenging was the synthesis of the s	valuable feedback on the curriculum allenging was the syllabus offered to Very Good Good repriateness of the sequence of the to Very Good Good the of the syllabus of the courses in the course of the units/modules in the course of the units/modules in the course of the textbooks and refer to Very Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Goo	valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of sallenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.  It	valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to allenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.  It

Signature of Student



Department:

Program Name:

ELE

07/2/2

Date:

## G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

Academic Year:

Year/Semester:

(FOR WOMEN)

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

R	ate how challe	enging was the sy	Ilabus offered b	y the courses.			
	Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor		
. R	ate the approp	oriateness of the s	equence of the	courses provided	in the curricul	um.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor		
. R	ate the depth	of the syllabus of	the courses in r	elation to the co	mpetencies exp	ected by industry	current globa
so	cenarios.	_					
	Excellent	O Very Good	<b>○</b> Good	O Average	O Poor		
. R	ate the sequer	nce of the units/m	odules in the co	ourses.			
$\mathcal{C}$	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
. R	ate the adequa	ateness of the tex	tbooks and refer	rence books men	tioned for the o	course.	
$\overline{C}$	Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor		
. R	ate the offerin	g of electives in	relation to techn	ology advancen	nents.		
C	) Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor		
		of the courses in		learning or self-l	earning.		
C	) Excellent /	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
R	ate the flexibil	lity in choosing t	he electives in r	elation to techno	ology advancen	nents.	
C	) Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
Ra	ate the percent	tage of the course	es offering LAB	components.			
С	) Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor		
. Ra	ate the compos	sition of the cour	ses in terms of l	Basic science, E	ngineering scie	nce, Humanities,	Discipline core
		ve, Open electiv					•
0	Excellent	O Very Good	Ogood	O Average	O Poor		
y ot	her suggestion	n(s):					L -
	92	troduce	10.4.4	26.		armin	1.
	JM	Mance	Mare	real h	y lin	- Cally a	Chy,

Signature of Student



## G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

Academic Year:

(FOR WOMEN)

#### STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

_	Department:					Academic Year: 2021-2022		
	ogram Name:	B. Tech			Year/Semester: Roll Number:		1925/A0425	
IN	ame of the Stude	nt: F. F	tarthi Re	ldy I	Koll Nur	nber:	19/23 1710	
Ple	ase rate your val	uable feedback o	n the curriculum	for re	view of s	yllabus / to ii	nprove quality of the programme.	
1.	Rate how challe	enging was the sy	llabus offered b	y the o	ourses.			
	O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	ΟA	verage	O Poor		
2.	Rate the approp	riateness of the s	equence of the o	courses	provided	l in the curric	ulum.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	<b>○</b> Good	O A	verage	O Poor		
3.	Rate the depth of	of the syllabus of	the courses in re	elation	to the co	mpetencies e	xpected by industry/current global	
	scenarios.							
	O Excellent	Very Good	Good	Q A	verage	O Poor		
4.	Rate the sequen	ice of the units/m	odules in the co	urses.				
	O Excellent	OVery Good	○ Good	$\bigcirc$ A	verage	O Poor		
5.	Rate the adequa	ateness of the tex	tbooks and refer	ence b	ooks men	tioned for the	e course.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O A	verage	O Poor		
6.	Rate the offerin	g of electives in	relation to techn	ology	advancen	nents.		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	$\bigcirc$ A	verage	O Poor		
7.	Rate the design	of the courses in	terms of extra l	earnin	g or self-l	earning.		
	Excellent	O Very Good	Good	$\bigcirc$ A	verage	O Poor		
8.	Rate the flexibi	lity in choosing t	he electives in re	elation	to techno	ology advance	ements.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O A	verage	O Poor		
9.	Rate the percen	tage of the cours	es offering LAB	comp	onents.			
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O A	verage	O Poor		
10.	Rate the compo	sition of the cour	rses in terms of I	Basic s	cience, E	ngineering so	ience, Humanities, Discipline core,	
	Discipline elect	ive, Open electiv	e, project etc.?					
	O Excellent	Overy Good	○ Good	O A	verage	O Poor		
An	y other suggestio	n(s):						
	I	interna	l mar	les	We	ightag	e should be	
		incre	ared.			2, 1		
		1	7				il and the second	

Signature of Student

Department		: EC	E		
Program Name			Tech		
Name of the Faculty		: T	Cxil offha		
Academic Year		: 9	Soilatha 021-22		
Designation		: A	sst. prof		
Please rate your valuable	e feedback on the c		,	to improve quality of the p	rogramme
1. Rate the structural (a) Excellent					
2. Rave the approp	oriateness of the so b) Very Good	equences of the c) Good	courses provide d) Average	ed in the curriculum. e) Poor	
3. Rate the depth of industry/Currental (a) Excellent	of the syllabus for at global scenarios b) Very Good	the Program in 3. c) Good		competencies expected by	у
•		•	,	· -	
<ul><li>4. Rate the sequen</li><li> → a)Excellent</li></ul>	b) Very Good	odules in the cou c) Good	irse. (Taught by d) Average	you). e) Poor	
5. Rate the distribution a)Excellent	ution of credits in b) Very Good		d) Average	e) Poor	
<ol><li>Rate the adequa a)Excellent</li></ol>	teness of text boo b) Very Good	oks and reference c) Good	e books mention d) Average	ned in the syllabus. e) Poor	
7. Rate the potenti a)Excellent	al of the students b) Very Good	in understanding	g the course ob d) Average	jectives. e) Poor	
8. Rate the syllabu a)Excellent	s content for the	courses in terms c) Good	of burden on s d) Average	tudents. e) Poor	
9. Rate the experim	ment list in stimul b) Very Good	ating the interes c) Good	t of students in d) Average	the project. e) Poor	
10. Rate the contrib	ution of the cours b) Very Good	es in terms of pr c) Good	ofessional core d) Average	e area. e) Poor	
Any other sug					
I\	required	to include	more 1	storramming sul	piedr
an	d related l	aby to get	- better	placement.	<del></del>
					^

Date: 13-02-2022

Department	: ECE	
Program Name	: B. Tech	
Name of the Faculty	: M. FAKEHIMS	
Academic Year	: B. Tech : M. LAKSHIMI : 2021-22 : Asst. Prof	
Designation	: Alet. Rol	
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curr	riculum for review of syllabus/to impro	ove quality of the programme.
Rate the structure of the curriculum  a)Excellent b) Very Good		e) Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence a)Excellent b) Very Good	uences of the courses provided in th c) Good d) Average	e curriculum. e) Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the industry/Current global scenarios.	ne Program in relation to the compe	tencies expected by
a)Excellent b) Very Good	c) Good d) Average	e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/mod a)Excellent b) Very Good	ules in the course. (Taught by you). c) Good d) Average	e) Poor
5. Rate the distribution of credits in the a)Excellent (b) Very Good	e Program. c) Good d) Average	e) Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of text books a)Excellent b) Very Good	s and reference books mentioned in c) Good d) Average	the syllabus. e) Poor
7. Rate the potential of the students in a)Excellent b) Very Good	understanding the course objective c) Good d) Average	es. e) Poor
8. Rafe the syllabus content for the co	urses in terms of burden on student c) Good d) Average	s. e) Poor
<ol> <li>Rate the experiment list in stimulat a)Excellent b) Very Good</li> </ol>	ing the interest of students in the pr c) Good d) Average	oject. e) Poor
10. Rate the contribution of the courses a)Excellent b) Very Good	in terms of professional core area. c) Good d) Average	e) Poor
Any other suggestion(s):  Research Lased	subjects 18te Albo Networks to be introdu	ac Networks.
heiseless semon	Networks to be introdu	iced
Date: 18100 22		Signature of Faculty

Department			: EC	<i>C</i>			
Program Name	:		: B,7				
Name of the Fa	aculty			3xiPadma			
cademic Year		,					
esignation				. 202 <i>\$</i>			
lease rate you	ır valuable fe	edhack on the curri	21A :	iew of syllabus/to impi		•	
1. Rate t	he structure	of the curriculum	from ad fact	lew of syllabus/to impi	rove quality o	if the prog	ramme.
a)Excel	lent b	Wery Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor		
a)CXCeII	ne appropria lent b	teness of the seque ) Very Good	ences of the o	courses provided in the	he curriculur e) Poor	m.	
3. Rate th	ne depth of the	he syllabus for the lobal scenarios.	Program in	relation to the compe	etencies expe	ected by	
√a)Excell		) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor		
4. Rate th	le sequence	of the units/modul Very Good	es in the cou c) Good	rse. (Taught by you). d) Average	e) Poor		
5. Rate th		n of credits in the Very Good	_	d) Average	e) Poor		
6. Rate the	e adequaten ent b)	ess of text books a Very Good	ind reference c) Good	books mentioned in d) Average	the syllabus e) Poor	<b>3.</b>	
7. Rate the	e potential o ent b)		nderstanding c) Good	the course objective d) Average	es. e) Poor		
8. Rate the			ses in terms c) Good	of burden on student d) Average	s. e) Poor		
9. Rate the			g the interest c) Good	of students in the pr d) Average	oject. e) Poor		
10. Rate the a)Excelle		n of the courses in Very Good	terms of pro Good	ofessional core area. d) Average	e) Poor		
Any	other sugges						
	Hav	e to victude	adva	nou subject	wit	topics o	30
,	5G, Se	stellite Com	5'0, Se	404			68-
					2	to Pas	ma
Date	e: 4-4-	इ०३ <b>१</b>			S	ignature o	of Faculty

eį	oartr	ment		: Ec	E		
ro	gran	n Name		: B.Te	ech		
aı	ne o	of the Faculty		: Y. P)	rakash		
ca	den	nic Year		: 21-	22		
e	signa	ation		: A&S-	t. poot.		
le	ase ı	rate your valuable	feedback on the cur			prove quality of the programme.	
	1.	Rate the structu a)Excellent	b) Very Good	n framed for t c) Good	he entire program. d) Average	e) Poor	
	2.	Rate the appropria)Excellent	riateness of the sequence of t	uences of the c	courses provided in d) Average	the curriculum. e) Poor	
	3.		of the syllabus for the global scenarios. b) Very Good				
		7			,		
	4.	Rate the sequence a)Excellent	ce of the units/mod b) Very Good	ules in the cou c) Good	urse. (Taught by yo d) Average	u). e) Poor	
	5.	Rate the distribua)Excellent	ntion of credits in the	ne Program. c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	6.	Rate the adequa a)Excellent	teness of text books b) Very Good	s and referenc c) Good	e books mentioned d) Average	in the syllabus. e) Poor	
	7.	Rate the potential a)Excellent	al of the students in b) Very Good	understandin c) Good	g the course object d) Average	ives. e) Poor	
	8.	Rate the syllabu a)Excellent	s content for the co b) Very Good	urses in terms c) Good	of burden on stude d) Average	ents. e) Poor	
	9.	Rate the experima)Excellent	nent list in stimulat b) Very Good	ing the interes	st of students in the d) Average	project. e) Poor	
	10.	Rate the contrib a)Excellent	ution of the courses b) Very Good	s in terms of p c) Good	rofessional core are d) Average	ea. e) Poor	
		Any other sug	ggestion(s): Ts Requ	ind f	o Inela	de more	4
		program	on places	6. 4	Felated	lab p ger	J
		Date: 13	1	the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.  Id c) Good d) Average e) Poor  Is for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by arios.  Id c) Good d) Average e) Poor  Is/modules in the course. (Taught by you).  Id c) Good d) Average e) Poor  Its in the Program.  Id c) Good d) Average e) Poor  It books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.  Id c) Good d) Average e) Poor  It books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.  Id c) Good d) Average e) Poor  In the courses in terms of burden on students.  Id c) Good d) Average e) Poor  In the courses in terms of burden on students.  Id c) Good d) Average e) Poor  In the courses in terms of burden on students.  In c) Good d) Average e) Poor  In the courses in terms of burden on students in the project.  In c) Good d) Average e) Poor  In the courses in terms of professional core area.			
		, , ,	11/1//				



(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni: A-Sai Tshwar Ya
Father's Name: A. Dala murali huilba
Very of Passing:
Year of Passing: 2021 Department: ECE Permanent Address: Kyd
Email ID: bookmurali 946@ mail. ComMobile No: 709381267]
Present Organization:  Type: Self Employed Public Sector Academics Others
Name:
Designation: Present Location:
Field of working: Core Inter-Disciplinary IT Industry Administration Other
Master's Degree Ph.D. Not Applicable Other
Higher Education  Year of admission:  Name of the Programme:
Name of the Institute:
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
O Nichage O 1001
5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.  Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
2
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
Excellent Very Good Ogood Poor
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
, 5
Any other suggestion(s):
Date: 10 12/29 Signature of Alumeto
Signature of Alument



(FOR WOMEN)

		-		
Name of the Alumni:	Amavathi Pa	May		
Father's Name: DOB(DD/MM/YYYY):	lla Adi Nava	yen		
Vana of Danis	25/06/1987	Department	EŒ	
Permanent Address:	208 Hiderel	Department		
Email ID: podmavatu		Mobile No:		
Present Organization:	Type: Self Em		ublic Sector	Academics Others
	Name: Rowy or	America	<u> </u>	
Designation: Broduc	shorsoya m	Present Loca		
Field of working! Co	ore Inter-Discipli	inary 🔽 IT I	ndustry 🔲 Ad	ministration  Other
	☐ Master's Degree	Ph.D.	Not Applicat	ole Other
Higher Education	Year of admission:	N	lame of the Progra	mme:
	Name of the Institute			
			syllabus / to impro	ve quality of the programme:
			_	•
	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the sufficiency of s		ge the gap betwe	en academia and in	ndustry.
O Excellent Q Ver	y Good O Good	○ Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the curriculum in re	elation to your current p	orofessional stan	dards.	
	y Good O Good	O Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the skills acquired			•	emante
	y Good O Good	Average	O Poor	ments.
5. Rate the institute's labor	_	_	_	
O Excellent Ver			O Poor	
6. Rate the offering of elect		nology advancer	nents.	
	y Good O Good	O Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the design of the co			learning.	
O Excellent Ø Very	y Good O Good	○ Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the training and place			_	
,	Good OGood	O Average	O Poor	
9. Rate the competence and	<del>-</del>		0 1 001	
	Good O Good		0.5	
-	•	O Average	O Poor	
10. Rate the institute's suppo			lopment of students	S
•	Good Good	O Average	O Poor	
Any other suggestion(s):				
. 1. 0				~
Date: Nolven				Signature of Alumni



(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni:	P	Seesa	al ismaal	
Father's Name:		Beesa	Shirrestra	•
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY):			1998	SA .
Year of Passing: 202	0	Department	ECE	
Permanent Address: H	ydereabord,	cem		
Email ID: Shirees la	Objects 1109 ag mail			8818
Present Organization:	Type: Self Emp	loyed	Public Sector	Academics Others
Designation:	Name.	D		
	ore Inter-Disciplina	Present Loc		initiativity III orl
	Master's Degree			ninistration Other
Higher Education		☐ Ph.D.	☐ Not Applicable	le Other
Tigher Education	Year of admission: 20	020 1	Name of the Program	nme: M. Tech
	Name of the Institute:	VNR >	NITEI	
Please rate your valuable fee	edback on the curriculum	for review of	f syllabus / to improv	e quality of the programme.
	f the courses offered in th			
	ry Good O Good	O Average	OPoor	
2. Rate the sufficiency of s	syllabus content to bridge	_		dustry
O Excellent Ø Ver	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor	austry.
3. Rate the curriculum in re		•		
	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the skills acquired		_	•	ments
	_	O Average	O Poor	ments.
	ratory and equipment ade		•	
	y Good O Good	O Average	O Poor	
	tives in relation to techno	_	_	
		O Average	O Poor	
	ourses in terms of extra lea			
		O Average	-	
8. Kate the training and pla	_			
O Excellent Very		O Average	O Poor	
9. Rate the competence and			O 1001	
		O Average	O Poor	
10. Rate the institute's suppo				
		O Average	_	• 4
Any other suggestion(s):	0004	Orverage	O Poor	
NO				
				,
Date: 10/11/11				a Espineshe
1 /1 /				Signature of Alumni



(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni: Moyniba Oruganti	
Father's Name: OPV Ramana	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY): 02/23/1992	
Year of Passing: 2013 Department: 6C6	_
Email ID: mani Kakirannayi Cambo mobile No: 1-40-599-9368	- 1
Type: Colffeed   Colffeed	
Present Organization: Academics Others	
Designation	
Field of working: Core Inter-Disciplinary IT Industry Administration Other	
Master's Degree Ph.D. Not Applicable Other	
Higher Education  Year of admission: 2015  Name of the Programme: Computer Englished	$\overline{a}$
Name of the Institute:	عو
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.	
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.	
O Average O Poor	
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.	
O Excellent O Very Good Good O Average O Poor	
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.	
O Excellent O Very Good O Average O Poor	
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.	
O Excellent O Very Good Good O Average O Poor	
5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.	
J. 1001	
O F the O to the state of the s	
O Excellent O Very Good O Average O Poor	
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	
O Excellent O Very Good O Average O Poor	
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.	
O Excellent O Very Good Good O Average O Poor	
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.	
O Excellent O Very Good O Average O Poor	
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.	
O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor	
Any other suggestion(s):	
Students abouted be encouraged to to do intenships in	_
core field. Projects should be done on own	
12/10/22	•
Date:	



(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni:	Neelima		
Father's Name: Short DOB(DD/MM/YYYY):	nkar		
Year of Passing:	19/09/1996	Donasta	
Permanent Address: H	udexabad	Department	
Email ID: maddela	pelima @gonil	Co Mobile No:	950281368 1
Present Organization:	Name: Microch	mployed 🔲 1	Public Sector Academics Others
Designation: Design	) Engineer-II	Present Loc	ation: Hyderabad
Field of working:	Core 🔲 Inter-Discip		Industry Administration Other
Higher Education	Master's Degree	e Ph.D.	Not Applicable Other
	Year of admission:	2019 1	Name of the Programme: M. Tech.
	Name of the Institute	e: NIT, Na	apus
			syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
1. Rate the adequateness	of the courses offered in	the program.	
	ery Good OGood	O Average	O Poor
2. Rate the sufficiency of	syllabus content to brid	ge the gap between	een academia and industry
O Excellent $ otin Ve$	ery Good OGood	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the curriculum in	relation to your current		ndards
O Excellent OVe	ery Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
4. Rate the skills acquired	from the curriculum to	face the industry	/ challenges/requirements
O Excellent O Ve	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
5. Rate the institute's labo			Oractical exposure
O Excellent O Ve	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the offering of elec	y = 1		
	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of the co			
O Excellent & Ver	y Good O Good	Average	
3. Rate the training and pla			O Poor
	y Good O Good	O Average	
Rate the competence and		-	O Poor
	y Good O Good		
		O Average	O Poor
0. Rate the institute's suppo	ort and contribution for		opment of students.
	Good Good	O Average	O Poor
iny other suggestion(s):			
			. A
ite: 10/12/2022			Signature of Alumni



(

# G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women) (AUTONOMOUS)

Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING B.T. Curriculum Employer Feedback(for GNR-18 Curriculum) Academic Year:2021-2022

Dt: 12/9/2012

Name: AVRH Hima Chandra

Name of the Organization/Institution Broad com

Designation: Sr. SgA Engineer

Higher Education: B. Tech

Experience: 9 years

Mail ID: himachardra @ outlook. in

Phone No: 9494574381

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good v) good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

Improvement in codiny Skills is required for Students.

A. Hima Chardra
Signature:



#### G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women) (AUTONOMOUS)

Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING B.Tech Curriculum Employer Feedback(for GNR-18 Curriculum) Academic Year:2021-2022

24/0/200

Dt: 24/9/2022
Name: K. Abhiraya
Name of the Organization/Institution Xilix pvt lld.
Designation: Design Eng2
Designation: Design Eng2 Higher Education: M. Tech. BITS.
Experience: 8 yrs.  Mail ID: akatta @ xilinx, com
Mail ID: akatta @ xilinx, com
Phone No: 9032674879
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) poor
2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) poor
3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
a) Excellent b) very good e) good d) Average e) poor
6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
a) Excellent b) very good b) good d) Average e) poor
7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
a) Excellent by very good c) good d) Average e) poor
Any other Suggestions: Focus have to be more on AI related Subjects flats.



#### G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)

(Autonomous)

#### Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

Curriculum Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

_		(
[B. Tech]	Academic Year:	2021-22
EPARTMENT OF B	ELECTRONICS and CO	OMMUNICATION

22.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING					
Date: 24/02/20					
Name: G. Srinidhi					
Name of the Organization/Institution ! L&T Tech Services.					
Designation: Engineer.					
Higher Education:					
Experience: 5 Years,					
Mail ID: srinidhi, gorityala @ Atts. 6m. Phone No: 9490966521					
Phone No: 9490966521					
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.					
a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor					
2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.					
a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor					
3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.					
(2) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor					
4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.					
Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor					
5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.					
(a) Excellent (b) very good (c) good (d) Average (e) poor					
6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.					
a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) poor					
7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.					

Any other Suggestions:

(a) Excellent

Improvement in coding skilly is-

b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Signature:



## G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women) (AUTONOMOUS)

Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

[B. Tech] Curriculum Employers Feedback - [2021-22]

# $\sqrt{}$

#### DEPARTMENT OF ECE

Date:	5/11	202
-------	------	-----

Name: MVNS Havish

Name of the Organization/Institution Xilinx India Technology Pvt Ltd

Designation: Serier Software Engineer

Higher Education: M. Tech

Experience: 9 years

Mail ID: Vnsnhav@ xillinx Com

Phone No: 9908579262

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

VLCI toole like Mentor Graphics, VIVADO & also Include prosecuring Gooding Subjects like Python, C++ to meet company requirements.

Signature



#### G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)

(Autonomous)

#### Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

#### Curriculum \_ Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2021-22 [B. Tech 7

DELINITE OF	COMMUNICATION ENGI	UVEEK	IIIG		
				/	
1.3	Date	061	02	20	22

Maddela Neelima Kumari

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICAT

Name of the Organization/Institution Microchip Technology.

Derign Engineer -TI Designation:

Higher Education: M. Tech

Experience: 5 Years

Mail ID: maddela. neelima 96@ smait. Com.

9502813687 Phone No:

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent by very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

b) very good c) good d) Average a) Excellent

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

√a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

\a\ Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Improvement in coding skills is required for students.