### Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Dep	artment			ece		
Prog	gram Name		:	Blech		
Nan	ne of the Student		:	Suitt	ha Rani	
Aca	demic Year		;	2022	ha Pani -93.	
Yea	r/Semester			111/2		
Rol	l Number			202514	404F8,	
1.	Rate how challen (a) Excellent	ging was the syllabus offe (b) Very Good(c) Good				
2.	Rate the appropri (a) Excellent	ateness of the sequence of (な) Very Good (c) Good				
3.	Rate the depth of global scenarios.	the syllabus of the course	s in r	elation to the	e competencies expected by industry/curren	ıt
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(	d) Average	(e) Poor	
4.	Rate the sequence (a) Excellent	e of the junits/modules in t (b) Very Good(c) Good	he cou	urses. d) Average	(e) Poor	
5.	Rate the adequate	eness of the textbooks and (b) Very Good (c) Good		ence books n d) Average	nentioned for the course.  (e) Poor	
6.	Rate the offering (a) Excellent	of electives in relation to (b) Very Good (c) Good	techno (	ology advand d) Average	cements. (e) Poor	
7.	Rate the design of (a) Excellent	of the courses in terms of e (b) Very Good (b) Good	xtra le	earning or se d) Average	elf-learning (e) Poor	
8.	Rate the flexibility (a) Excellent	ty in choosing the elective (b) Very Good(c) Good	s in re	elation to tech d) Average	chnology advancements.  (e) Poor	
9.	Rate the percenta (a) Excellent	nge of the courses offering (b) Very Good(t) Good	LAB (	components d) Average	s. (e) Poor	
10.	Discipline core, I	sition of the courses in Discipline elective, Open of (b) Very Good (c) Good	electiv	s of Basic (ve, project etc.) (d) Average	science, Engineering science, Humanitie c.? (e) Poor	S,
	Any other Sugge	estions (please specify)				
	· Intro	due more ofte	n/	Profession	nal subjects in the	
	curricu	lonn.				
				Tengan u	- Wat	

#### Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

DC	partificial	:	ECE.
Pro	ogram Name	:	BTech.
Na	ame of the Student	•	S. Ruhmitha.
Ac	cademic Year	:	S. Ruhmitha. 2022-23.
Ye	ar/Semester	:	111 (II. 2025/A04E5.
Ro	oll Number	:	2025/A04E5.
1.	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		ne courses. Average (e) Poor
2.	Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		
3.	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in global scenarios. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		ion to the competencies expected by industry/current  Average (e) Poor
4.	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the c (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	ourse	es.
5.	Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and refe (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good		e books mentioned for the course.  Average (e) Poor
6.	Rate the offering of electives in relation to tech (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	nolog (d) A	gy advancements. Average (e) Poor
7.	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	learn (d) A	ing or self-learning average (e) Poor
8.	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	relatio (d) A	on to technology advancements. Everage (e) Poor
9.	Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAI  (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	3 com (d) A	nponents. verage (e) Poor
	Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open electi	ve, p	Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, roject etc.? verage (e) Poor
	Any other Suggestions (please specify)		
	Introduce more online le will be comfortable with	ou	ics so that students
	will be comfortable with	1	intenships.
			Kughnithe.
			Signature

### Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

De	epartment		ECE				
Pr	ogram Name	· :	B-Tech	) ·			
Na	ame of the Student	:	Shaik A	Areen	begum		
A	cademic Year		2022-				
Ye	ear/Semester	:	111/9				
Ro	oll Number	:	21255.	A0418			
1.	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offere (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	ed by (d)	the courses. ) Average	(e) Poor			
2.	Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of t (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	he co (d	urses provid ) Average	led in the (e) Poor	curriculum.		
3.	. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses	in rel	lation to the	competer	ncies expecte	ed by indus	stry/current
	global'scenarios. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d	) Average	(e) Poor			
	. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d	i) Average				
5	. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and r (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	efere (d	nce books n l) Average	nentioned (e) Poor	for the cours	e.	
	6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to to (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(0	1) Average	(0) 1 001			
7	(a) Execution	(	2)				
	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives  (a) Excellent  (b) Very Good (c) Good		۵) ۱۱ ا	( )	ndvancement r	S.	
	Rate the percentage of the courses offering (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	•			r		
1	10. Rate the composition of the courses in Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open e (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	terms lectiv (	s of Basic re, project et d) Average	science, tc.? (e) Poo	Engineering	; science,	Humanities,
	Any other Suggestions (please specify)		1	D.	1	<u> </u>	10
	placement to anin'ng to	be	planned.	t som	and y	ear its	214,
		-1	3	3		Af	xen begum
						Signa	ature

## Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: ECE
Program Name	: BTech
Name of the Student	: BTech : SAmulya. : 2022-23 : 114/II-
Academic Year	: 2022-23
Year/Semester	: 114/#
Roll Number	: 20251A04E6.
<ol> <li>Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered</li> <li>(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good</li> </ol>	d by the courses. (d) Average (e) Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(a) Average (c) 1 ooi
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in global scenarios.  (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current  (d) Average (e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(a) Average (c) I our
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and re (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	eference books mentioned for the course.  (d) Average (e) Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to te (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(u) Average (e) 1 ees
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extended (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(u) Trotago (e)
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) 1 3 5
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering I (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Triolings
	terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, ective, project etc.?  (d) Average (e) Poor
Any other Suggestions (please specify)	1 1 in the American
Introduce more program	ming subjects in I year.
	Amulya.

## Curriculum\_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

	Culticulum_State	OHC 2 Co
Do	epartment	: E C €
Pr	rogram Name	: Btech
N	ame of the Student	: Btech : K. Tejaswini
A	cademic Year	: 5057-5053
Y	ear/Semester	
R	oll Number	: 20251A04D2
1.	. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offerom (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	ed by the courses. (d) Average (e) Poor
2	(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e)
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses global scenarios.  (a) Excellent  (b) Very Good (c) Good	in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current  (d) Average (e) Poor
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d)111-111-18- ( )
5	5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and r (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	
	6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to to (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	•
,	7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of ex  (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	
	8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	
	9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering I	LAB components.  (d) Average (e) Poor  Engineering science Humanities,
	Discipline cole, Discipline (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good	
	Any other Suggestions (please specify)	111 malanda and
	(introduciplary) inter d	recilplinary hobby project
1	in I'm year	a M
		Signature

# G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

De	epartment	: ECI	<u> </u>	
٦r	ogram Name	: B.Te	2.1	
٧a	ame of the Faculty	D. 18	ech	
٩c	cademic Year		adhui 22-23 F. Prof.	
Dε	esignation	202	L2-23	
Ple	ease rate your valuable feedb	$\mathcal{A}$ SS $\mathcal{L}$ ack on the curriculum for revia	ew of syllabyls/to impr	ove quality of the programme.
	1. Rate the structure of t	the curriculum framed for the ery Good c) Good		e) Poor
	2. Rate the appropriatene b) Ve	ess of the sequences of the c ery Good c) Good	ourses provided in th d) Average	ne curriculum. e) Poor
	3. Rate the depth of the s	yllabus for the Program in r	elation to the compe	tencies expected by
	industry/Current globa	nl scenarios. ry Good c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
		he units/modules in the cour ry Good c) Good	rse. (Taught by you). d) Average	e) Poor
	5. Rate the distribution o a)Excellent (b) Ve	f credits in the Program. ry Good c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
		of text books and reference ry Good c) Good	books mentioned in d) Average	the syllabus. e) Poor
		he students in understanding ry Good	the course objective d) Average	s. e) Poor
		ent for the courses in terms or Good	of burden on students d) Average	s. e) Poor
	9. Rate the experiment lis	st in stimulating the interest ry Good c) Good	of students in the pro d) Average	oject. e) Poor
	10. Rate the contribution o	f the courses in terms of pro y Good c) Good	ofessional core area. d) Average	e) Poor
	Any other suggestion  Tt is  in dustry	n(s): <u>Ne ce s sary</u> <u>Leguirement</u> hibby 121	to align to then	the syllabus with ds. every semester.
	<u>Include</u> Date: 6/3/2	7 1000g psa	yeew the	P. Malhui Signature of Faculty

## G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: EcE			
Program Name	: B. Tec	ch		
Name of the Faculty	: K.Sc	valhi		
Academic Year		-2023		
Designation	: ABSE	·Drot		
Please rate your valuable feedba	ck on the curriculum for rev	view of syllabus/to imp	prove quality of the progra	amme.
Rate the structure of the particular of the	ne curriculum framed for ry Good c) Good	the entire program. d) Average	e) Poor	
<ul><li>2. Rate the appropriatence</li><li>a)Excellent</li><li>b) Ve</li></ul>	ss of the sequences of the ry Good c) Good	courses provided in d) Average	the curriculum. e) Poor	
3. Rate the depth of the s	yllabus for the Program ir	relation to the comp	etencies expected by	
industry/Current globa a)Excellent b) Ve	ry Good c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
4. Rate the sequence of the se	ne units/modules in the co ry Good c) Good	ourse. (Taught by you d) Average	e) Poor	
5. Rate the distribution ø a)Excellent b) Ve	f credits in the Program. ry Good c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
6. Rate the adequateness a)Excellent b) Ve	of text books and reference ry Good c) Good	ce books mentioned i d) Average	n the syllabus. e) Poor	
a)Excellent b) Ve	ne students in understandin ry Good 🔎 Good	d) Average	2,1001	
,	ry Good C) Good	uj riverage		
Excellent b) Ve	st in stimulating the intere ry Good c) Good	u//weinge	7.55	
10. Rate the contribution of	of the courses in terms of p ry Good c) Good	professional core are d) Average	a. e) Poor	
Any other suggestio	n(s):	19 1 harran	and anti- lave	AUCT
practical	n(s): orientation f technologies 1	t be later	Parcod	
519,66	Lacuranish s	D. C. LIVACCE	€ n	tu
Date: 06-05	3-2023		Signature o	of Faculty

## G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department		: EC	E	
Program Name		: 2.7	Tech.	
Name of the Faculty		: (1)	Down Ham	pound.
Academic Year		: -	E Tech. Jan Ham 2022-23 57 ports	,
Designation		: 1	at ports	
Please rate your valuable	e feedback on the cur	riculum for rev	view of syllabus/to im	prove quality of the programme
	ure of the curriculur b) Very Good			e) Poor
2. Rate the approp	riateness of the sequestion b) Very Good	uences of the c) Good	courses provided in d) Average	the curriculum. e) Poor
3. Rate the depth of industry/Current	of the syllabus for the global scenarios. b) Very Good	ne Program in	relation to the comp	petencies expected by
a)Excellent	b) Very Good	(d) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence (a) Excellent	ce of the units/modu b) Very Good	ıles in the cou c) Good	rse. (Taught by you) d) Average	). e) Poor
<ol><li>Rate the distribution</li><li>a)Excellent</li></ol>		e Program.	d) Average	e) Poor
	eness of text books b) Very Good	and reference c) Good	books mentioned in d) Average	n the syllabus. e) Poor
7. Rate the potentia a)Excellent	ll of the students in t by Very Good	understanding c) Good	the course objective d) Average	es. e) Poor
8. Rate the syllabus	content for the cou b) Very Good	rses in terms ( c) Good	of burden on student d) Average	s. e) Poor
	ent list in stimulatin b) Very Good	g the interest (c) Good	of students in the pr d) Average	oject. e) Poor
10. Rate the contribut	tion of the courses in b) Very Good	n terms of pro c) Good	fessional core area. d) Average	e) Poor
Any other sugg	estion(s): rduce n EJOE '	rove Sy	recialised	Subject
Date:	/			Signature of Faculty

# G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback (GNR-18)

(	Designation	: 2022-23 : Asst.ph		
F	Please rate your valuable feedback on the cu			
	1. Rate the structure of the curriculural Rate the structure of the curriculural by Very Good	nm framed for the entire progra c) Good d) Average	am. e) Poor	
	2. Rate the appropriateness of the sec a)Excellent b) Very Good	quences of the courses provide c) Good d) Average	ed in the curriculum. e) Poor	
	<ol> <li>Rate the depth of the syllabus for industry/Current global scenarios.</li> <li>a)Excellent</li> <li>b) Very Good</li> </ol>		competencies expected by  e) Poor	
	4. Rate the sequence of the units/mor	, , , , ,	•	
	5. Rate the distribution of credits in the second by Very Good	the Program. c) Good d) Average	e) Poor	
	6. Rate the adequateness of text bool a)Excellent VolVery Good	ks and reference books mention c) Good d) Average	ned in the syllabus. e) Poor	
	7. Rate the potential of the students i a)Excellent b) Very Good	in understanding the course ob c) Good d) Average	jectives. e) Poor	
	8. Rate the syllabus content for the c a)Excellent b) Very Good	ourses in terms of burden on s c) Good d) Average	tudents. e) Poor	
	9. Rate the experiment list in stimula a)Excellent b) Very Good	ating the interest of students in c) Good d) Average	the project. e) Poor	
	<ol> <li>Rate the contribution of the course</li> <li>a)Excellent</li> <li>b) Very Good</li> </ol>	es in terms of professional core	e area. e) Poor	
	* Any other suggestion(s):  9nchte	holly project	for every semest	-e



(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni:	/ C 0 TI	- O O :	
Father's Name:	J. Dai Ihapa	Swin .	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY):	29/10/2000	)	
Year of Passing: 20	022	Department	ECE
Permanent Address: 2-4		a Wagas	, Kuratpally
Email ID: Sthapasuc			9573078035
Present Organization:	Name: Deloitt		Public Sector Academics Others
Designation: Analy	5 500.10	Present Loc	ation
	Core Inter-Discip		Industry Administration Other
	Master's Degree	· —	Not Applicable Other
Higher Education	Year of admission:		
	Name of the Institute	<u>г</u>	Name of the Programme:
Please rate your valuable fe	edback on the curriculu	ım for review of	syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
1. Rate the adequateness of	of the courses offered in	the program	
_	ry Good O Good		O Page
		○ Average	O Poor
			een academia and industry.
	ry Good OGood	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the curriculum in i		professional star	ndards.
	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
<ol> <li>Rate the skills acquired</li> </ol>	from the curriculum to	face the industr	y challenges/requirements.
Excellent O Ve	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
5. Rate the institute's labo	ratory and equipment a	dequateness for	practical exposure.
	ry Good O Good	Average	O Poor
6. Rate the offering of elec	ctives in relation to tech	_	
	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of the co	-		
	ry Good O Good		
	· -	Average	OPoor
8. Rate the training and pla			
	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
9. Rate the competence and		e teachers.	
O Excellent O Ver	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
10. Rate the institute's supp	ort and contribution for	the overall deve	elopment of students.
O Excellent O Ver	ry Good O Good	○ Average	O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):			
			Δ Δ
<u> </u>			(19 5)
			1) The part
Date: 10/12/2022			Signature of Alumni
			Signature of Arumin

# Trans

#### G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

(FOR WOMEN)

#### ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name of the Alumni:	· Sai Naga Rorhe				
Father's Name:	+ Hanyman the Row	ວ			
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY):	09/08/19918	Danada			
Year of Passing: 900		Department:		mmam 507201	
Permanent Address: 12. Email ID: Vekhoalbmake	-323/1/B ladak		Madlion, Ichan 330 990 930 9710		
	Type Self Emp		ublic Sector	Academics Othe	ers
Present Organization:	Name: Accentua				
Designation: Lenior So.	thuse Engineer	Present Loca	tion: Khammar	~	
	ore Inter-Disciplina			ministration  Other	
	Master's Degree	Ph.D.	Not Applicab	le Other	
Higher Education	Year of admission:	N	ame of the Progran	nme:	
	Name of the Institute:				
Please rate your valuable fee  1. Rate the adequateness o	of the courses offered in th		syllabus / to improv	ve quality of the program	ıme.
O Excellent  Ver	ry Good OGood	O Average	O Poor		
2. Rate the sufficiency of s	syllabus content to bridge	the gap between	en academia and in	idustry.	
O Excellent Ver		○ Average	OPoor	•	
3. Rate the curriculum in r	elation to your current pro	ofessional stand	dards.		
Excellent O Ver	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor		
4. Rate the skills acquired	from the curriculum to fa	ce the industry	challenges/require	ments.	
		O Average	OPoor		
5. Rate the institute's labor	ratory and equipment ade	quateness for p	ractical exposure.		
O Excellent O Ver	y Good O Good	O Average	O Poor		
6. Rate the offering of elec		_	_		
		O Average	O Poor		
7. Rate the design of the co	ourses in terms of extra lea	arning or self-l	earning.		
O Excellent Ver		O Average	O Poor		
8. Rate the training and pla	cement cell in getting am	ple placement			
^		O Average	O Poor		
9. Rate the competence and	I support offered by the te	eachers.			
O Excellent Very	y Good O Good	O Average	O Poor		
10. Rate the institute's suppo	ort and contribution for th	e overall devel	opment of students	. vi	
_ /		O Average	O Poor	· ·	
Any other suggestion(s):					
			n 1		
	191	· · · · · · · ·		w <sup>a</sup> zł	
Date: (0/12/2V				Signature of Alumni	
				~ . Summer of Mullim	



(FOR WOMEN)

P			
Name of the Alumni:	Navanact	7a - K	
Father's Name:	Shankas	region	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY): Year of Passing:	2008	Department:	ECE
Permanent Address:	Hy deepse	d Department.	
Email ID:		Mobile No:	955075 6 800
Present Organization:	Type: Self En	nployed V Pi	ublic Sector Academics Others_
	Name:	Stale Bo	ant of India
	Core Inter-Discipl	Present Loca	tion: V Hydgeala d Other BA
	Master's Degree		Not Applicable Other
Higher Education	Year of admission:	N	ame of the Programme:
	Name of the Institute		
	eedback on the curriculu		syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
_	ery Good O Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the sufficiency of	syllabus content to brid	ge the gap betwe	en academia and industry.
Sexcellent O Vo	ery Good O Good	○ Average	OPoor
3. Rate the curriculum in	relation to your current	professional stan	dards.
Excellent O Ve	ery Good OGood	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the skills acquired	from the curriculum to	face the industry	challenges/requirements.
Excellent O Ve	ery Good O Good	○ Average	O Poor
5. Rate the institute's labor	oratory and equipment a	dequateness for p	oractical exposure.
Excellent O. Ve	ery Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the offering of ele	ectives in relation to tech	nology advancen	nents.
Excellent O Ve	ery Good O Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of the o	courses in terms of extra	learning or self-l	earning.
Excellent O Ve	ery Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the training and pl	lacement cell in getting	ample placement	opportunities.
O Excellent O Ve	ery Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the competence ar	nd support offered by the	e teachers.	
⊕ Excellent ○ Ve	ry Good O Good	Average	O Poor
0. Rate the institute's supp	port and contribution for	the overall deve	lopment of students.
© Excellent O Ve	ry Good O Good	O Average	O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):			
	Announce consistent on those times to Table the one person has		
1.			Januar 5
Date: 10 12/201	1		Signature of Alumni



(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni: Kolla Sahi-thea
Father's Name: Rolla Smahidar  DOB(DD/MM/YYYY): 19/02/1997
Year of Passing: 2018 Department: ECE
Permanent Address: Alabapuvi, Had
Email ID: Catitheel 9@ grail-com Mobile No: 8978666197
Present Organization:  Type: ' Self Employed Public Sector Academics Others
Name: Airbus
Designation: Seps Avionics Engineers Present Location: Bangalore  Field of working: Core Inter-Disciplinary I IT Industry Administration Other
Administration Office
Master's Degree Ph.D. Not Applicable Other pg diplo
Higher Education  Year of admission: 2018  Name of the Programme:
Name of the Institute: CDAC
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
O Frankland (CV) of the Colonial Coloni
O Free least of the Court of the industry channel ges/requirements.
O Excellent  Very Good  O Good  O Average  O Poor
5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
○ Excellent Ø Very Good ○ Good ○ Average ○ Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
O Excellent Overy Good O Good O Average O Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
O Excellent Overy Good O Good O Average O Poor
B. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
O Everyland Office of the Control of
2. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
○ Excellent Ø Very Good ○ Good ○ Average ○ Poor
0. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
O Excellent Very Good O Good Average O Poor
any other suggestion(s):
Pate: Signature of Alumni
Signature of Alumni



(FOR WOMEN)

Name of the Alumni: Markata Sugara
Father's Name:
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY): 13/US/1992
Year of Passing: 2013 Department: CCG
Fermanent Address: 261 / a tituda Tanada
Email ID: Shrayareddy markala@gma; com Mobile No: 950553095  Present Organization Type: Self Employed Public Sector - Academics - Others
Tresent Organization: Academics Others
Designation: Octobrio
Field of working: Core Inter-Disciplinary Office Inter-Disciplinary
Master's Dogges   Display   Mainting   Other
Higher Education
Year of admission:  Name of the Programme:  Name of the Institute:
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
O Free-Hand OH
Average O Poor
of symaous content to orige the gap between academia and industry.
○ Excellent ○ Very Good ② Good ○ Average ○ Poor
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
○ Excellent ○ Very Good ○ Good ② Average ○ Poor
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
Excellent   Very Good   O Average   Poor
5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
○ Excellent ○ Very Good ② Good ○ Average ○ Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
O D # 0 O 22
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
O Excellent    Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
⊕ Excellent    ○ Very Good    ○ Good    ○ Average    ○ Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
O Excellent  Wery Good O Good O Average O Poor
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):
- The subsection(s).
1
Date: 10/12/2027
Signature of Alumni



#### (Autonomous)

#### Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104

Curriculum \_Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

	, —
nr	Table
15.	TRCh
-	

Academic Year:

2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

14/2/2023. Date:

Name:

G. Bharath Reddy

Name of the Organization/Institution

TCS

Designation:

Team lead.

Higher Education: B. Tech

Experience: 17 years.

bharath-gbr@yahoo,com.

Phone No: 9266978973.

- 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
  - Volvery good c) good a) Excellent
- d) Average e) poor
- 2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
  - a) Excellent
    - b) very good c) good
      - - d) Average e) poor
- 3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
  - Excellent
- b) very good c) good
- d) Average
- 4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
- (A) Excellent
- b) very good
  - c) good
- d) Average
- 5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
  - a) Excellent
- by very good c) good
- d) Average
- 6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
  - a) Excellent
- b) very good c) good d) Average
- 7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
  - a) Excellent

- Wyery good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

Include scope for real-time projects in the curriculum.

G. Khewth Felly,



#### (Autonomous)

#### Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

#### Curriculum \_Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2022-23 [B. Tech]

#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 17/2/2023

G. MURALIDHAR Name:

Name of the Organization/Institution BSNL

Designation:

Divisional Engineer

Higher Education: M. Tech

Experience:

Approx 20 years

md ganta @ reditymail. com

2210000125 Phone No:

- 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
- (a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
- 2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
- a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
- 3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
- a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average
- 4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
- a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
- 5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
  - by very good c) good a) Excellent d) Average e) poor
- 6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
  - a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
  - Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
  - a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions: Keep on updating as par the heads of the industry especially inthe fields of wireless and data Communications wing At based techniques. Effect of Murchallows



#### (For Women)

Curriculum Employer Feedback (for GNR-22 Curriculum) [2022-23]
Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering [B. Tech].

Na Na	ame: MVUS ame of the Org	Havich ganization/Institu esod Softa n: M.T.O.	tion: X; horpo	Ind.	Date: 6 3	huz.
De	esignation: <u>C</u>	essol Softa	ale. Eng			
M	ail ID: Uns	rhav@xihn	x - COn			
Pł	none No: Qo	1085 7926 :	<u>-</u>			
1.	Rate the adequa	ateness of the cours  Very Good	es offered in the pr	ogram.  Average	2	
2.		tunity for developing		_		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	9	
3.	Rate the depth Excellent	of the curriculum.  Very Good	Good	Average	e	
4.		nce of the course for		ability.		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	e	
5.		of syllabus in creatir		ndustry/society	<i>)</i> .	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	9	
6.		civeness of the syllal		s' readiness tov	wards recruitme	nt.
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	e	
7.		on of inculcating stu		the curriculum	ı <b>.</b>	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Averag	e	
		î		$\circ$	4	
	Any other sugge	estion(s): Inda	de Scope	too Ke	al hose	meet
	In The	allications		- K		
	Tr.					
				1	les 12	

Signature



(Autonomous)

#### Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104

#### Curriculum \_Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

	[B. Tech] Academic Year: 2022-23
	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
	Date: 06/04/2023.
	Name: G. Srinidhi
	Name of the Organization/Institution L&T Tech Services
	Designation: Engineer
	Higher Education: B. Tech
	Experience: 6 years.
	Mail ID: Srinidhi. gorityala @ 1tts. Com
	Phone No: 9490966521
	1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
	a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
	a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
	Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
	(a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
•	a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
	4) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
	a) Excellent (b) very good (c) good (d) Average (e) poor
_	Any other Suggestions:
)	ractical using advanced procemors & Spinidhai

Practical using advenced processors of coding them with high level languages have to be introduced in labs.

Signature:



(Autonomous)

#### Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

#### Curriculum \_Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2022-23

	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
	Date: 04/03/2023
	Name: AVRH Hima Chandra
	Name of the Organization/Institution Brad Commy.
	Designation: SQA Engineer
	Higher Education: B. Tech
	Mail ID: himachandra@outlook.in
	Phone No: 949457 4381
	1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
	a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
	a) Excellent Nerry good c) good d) Average e) poor
	3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
	a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
	(a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
,	a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
	a) Excellent by very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
	Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
	Any other Suggestions:
	Include score for real time projects in curriculum
	Signature: