

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

De	partment		דב :		
Pn	ogram Name		: B.Tech		
Na	ame of the Studen	t j	: Ayush	venna	
Ac	cademic Year	8.	: 2027-3	-3	
Ye	ar/Semester		: Einal y	ear - I sen	L .
Re	oll Number		: 19251A	1264	
-	Rate how challe (a) Excellent	enging was the syllabus offere (b) Very Good (c) Good	ed by the courses (d) Average	(e) Poor	
D		동양양왕 - 여양왕	ha courses provi	ded in the curricul	um.
2.	Rate the approp (a) Excellent	riateness of the sequence of t (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
3.	Rate the depth of	of the syllabus of the courses	in relation to the	competencies ex	pected by industry/current
55	global scenarios (a) Excellent	s. (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
4	Rate the sequen	ce of the units/modules in the	e courses.		
-	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
5.	Rate the adequa (a) Excellent	teness of the textbooks and n (b) Very Good (c) Good	eference books n (d) Average	nentioned for the o (e) Poor	course.
			choology advan	cements.	
6.	(a) Excellent	g of electives in relation to te (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
0	1.7.1		learning or se	Ifleaming	
7.	(a) Excellent	of the courses in terms of ext (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
8.		ity in choosing the electives (b) Very Good (c) Good	in relation to tec (d) Average	hnology advancen (e) Poor	nents.
	(a) Excellent	500 60840.056		10725-12220 (I	
9.	Rate the percent (a) Excellent	tage of the courses offering L (b) Very Good (c) Good	AB components (d) Average	(e) Poor	
10		osition of the courses in to Discipline elective, Open ele (b) Very Good (c) Good		c.?	ring science, Humanities,
	Any other Sugg	gestions (please specify)		1. A. A. A.	
	-> ph 9,	upience the mal	n el co	uses	
	-> MORE	technologies show	ild be 9r	cluded.	
	L				dynutive
			14 BANK		Signature
				~	21 BU BUACESTRESSONS
					Scanned with OKEN Scanne

		Curriculum_Stu	dent	Feed	back (C	INR-18)	
D	epartment		:	T	T		
Pr	ogram Name			B·			
N	ame of the Studer	ıt	:	Mou	nika		
A	cademic Year	*	:	2022	2-2-3		
Ye	ar/Semester		. :	ĪV	B. Teeb		
Re	oll Number			1905	B. Teeb 517127	8	
1.	Rate how challe	nging was the syllabus offer					
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good			(e) Poor		
2.	Rate the approp	riateness of the sequence of	the cou	rses provi	ided in the c	urriculum.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good			(e) Poor		
3.	Rate the depth of	of the syllabus of the courses	in rela	tion to the	e competend	ies expected l	by industry/curre
	global scenarios (a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d)	Average	(e) Poor		
	Pote the coquer	ce of the units/modules in the		an .			
4.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good			(e) Poor		
5.	Rate the adequa	teness of the textbooks and r	eferend	e books r	nentioned fo	or the course	
	(a) Excellent	김 바람이 것 같아요. 그는 것 같아요. 말 한 것 같아요. 한 것 같아요. 말 가 다 나는 것 같아요. 말 하는 것 않 ? 말 하는 것 ? 말 하는 것 ? 말 하는 것 같아요. 말 하는 것 같아요. 말 하는 것 같아요. 말 하는 것 ? 말 ? 말 ? 말 하는 것 ? 말 하는 것 ? 말 하는 것 ? 말 ? 말 하는 것 ? 말 ? 말 ? 말 ? 말 ? 말 ? 말 ? 말 ? 말 ? 말 ?			(e) Poor		
6	Pate the offering	g of electives in relation to te	chnolo	ev advand	cements.		
U .	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good		Average			
7	Pate the design	of the courses in terms of ext	tra lear	ning or se	If-learning		
i	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good		Average			
8	Rate the flexibili	ity in choosing the electives i	in relat	ion to tech	hnology adv	ancements.	
0.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good		Average	(e) Poor		
0	Rate the percents	age of the courses offering L	AB co	mponents	2.4.2	98 - C	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good					
10.	Rate the compo	sition of the courses in te	erms o	f Basic	science, En	gineering sci	ience, Humanitie
		Discipline elective, Open ele					
	(a) Excellent	(h) Very Good (c) Good	(a) .	Average	(e) Poor		
	the second se	estions (please specify)		第 34世			
	Need	more advance	d -	toptu			1.
				1	70	e tau	ghe
				1.		E. Land	
			1 1 3	12 12 28		1. S. M. 1.	Sec. 1

1




lang Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

		Curriculum_Stu			
De	partment		: Infor	mation	Technology
Pro	ogram Name		: B.Te	ch	
Na	ume of the Stude	ent	: R.R	uparri	
Ac	ademic Year		: 202	2-23	
Ye	ar/Semester		: T.	18	
Ro	oll Number		: 19251	A12A4	
1	Rate how chal	lenging was the syllabus offer	ed by the course	s.	
70	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
2.	Rate the appro	priateness of the sequence of	the courses provi	ided in the	curriculum.
75	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
3.	Rate the depth global scenario		in relation to th	e competen	cies expected by industry/curr
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
1	Rate the seque	nce of the units/modules in the	e courses.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
5.	Rate the adequ	ateness of the textbooks and r	eference books r	nentioned f	for the course.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
	Pote the offerir	ng of electives in relation to te	chnology advan	cements	
	(a) Excellent	Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
60	Rate the design	of the courses in terms of ext	tra learning or se	If-learning	
•	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
200	Data das Gaulti	lite in choosing the elections	in relation to tes	halooy ad	
•	(a) Excellent	lity in choosing the electives (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		vancements.
88	Pote the nercen	tage of the courses offering L	AB componente		
•	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
	Rate the comp Discipline core, (a) Excellent	osition of the courses in to Discipline elective, Open ele (b) Very Good (c) Good	trms of Basic sctive, project et (d) Average	c.?	ngineering science, Humanit
	Any other Sug	gestions (please specify)			
	and the second s	provide more e	<i>ileative</i> sul	siech	means
	better	to improve me	me techn	Incal	knowledge

- 1
- 5
- 6
- O
 - 8
 - 9
 - nanities,



G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

	Curriculum_Stu	dent Feed	back (GNR-	18)
Department		: I1	-	
Program Name		: B.	Tech	
Name of the Stude	ent	: B.	r Tech SINDU	
Academic Year		: 22-		
Year/Semester			y , 正 &	-
Roti Number			0 155A1207	
	enging was the syllabus offer (b) Very Good (c) Good	red by the course (d) Average		
. Rate the appro (a) Excellent	priateness of the sequence of (b) Very Good (c) Good	the courses prov (d) Average		m.
 Rate the depth global scenario 	of the syllabus of the courses	s in relation to th	e competencies expe	cted by industry/c
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
	nce of the units/modules in th (b) Very Good (c) Good		(e) Poor	
	teness of the textbooks and the state of the textbooks and the state of the textbooks and the state of the st	reference books i (d) Average		urse.
Rate the offerir	g of electives in relation to to	echnology advan	cements.	
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
Rate the design	of the courses in terms of ex			
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	in relation to tec (d) Average	hnology advanceme (e) Poor	nts.
	age of the courses offering L (b) Very Good (c) Good	AB components (d) Average		
	osition of the courses in t Discipline elective, Open ele (b) Very Good (c) Good	ective, project et	c.?	g science, Huma
Any other Sugg	estions (please specify)			
and the second se	re induty rel d year.	ated E	lectives an	d comas

O Scanned with OKEN Scanner

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Dep	partment		: IT		
Pro	gram Name		: B. Tech		
Var	ne of the Student		: M. A; shu	lasya	
Aca	demic Year		: M. Aishu : 2022-2	3	
Yea	r/Semester		: 4th year	¢π	
Rol	ll Number	100	: 19251A1		
É.	Pate have bell	the second by a distance of	11		
	Carland Labor Construction of the	iging was the syllabus offere			
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
2.	Rate the appropr	iateness of the sequence of t	he courses provid	ded in the curriculum	1.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
		c, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(-)B.	N.C. (1277)	
١.	Rate the depth of	f the syllabus of the courses	in relation to the	competencies expect	ted by industry/current
	global scenarios.				
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
	un andere einen einen hanne. Frieden des Statistischer Australischer Australischer Australischer Australischer Australischer Australischer A	· · · · · · · ·			
	CARL CONTRACTOR AND	e of the units/modules in the		(a) Base	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
	Date the adaptet	eness of the textbooks and r	eference booke -	nentioned for the cou	ree
*	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	150.
	(a) Excenent	(0) (0) 0000 (0) 0000	(a) ricitage	(4) 1 001	
5	Rate the offering	of electives in relation to te	chnology advance	cements.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c).Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		1996	
	Rate the design of	of the courses in terms of ex	tra learning or se	lf-learning	
		(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
		ty in choosing the electives			its.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
		an af the course offering I	ABasmassa		
		age of the courses offering L	(d) Average	(e) Poor	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(u) Average	(e) roor	
0	Data the compo	sition of the courses in t	erms of Basic	science Engineering	science Humanitias
U.	Discipline core	Discipline elective, Open el	ective, project et	o.?	s science, numanities,
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average		
	(a) Direction	y and the		1	
	Any other Sugg	estions (please specify)			
		1	The start of the		
	Few Sub	jects our vast in	Synabus.	in the second	
	1.00		0	12	
			REAL OF		
			ではいいたこ		
			1. http://www.	1.11.1	Aishwaya
					Signature
	00				C.B.
				C Se	anned with OKEN Scan
				U Sc	anned with OKEN Scan


	Curriculum_Stud	lent Feed	back (GNR-18)
Department		: IT	
rogram Name		: B. Teck	n
Name of the Student	1	: L. Pro	nayo Gertha
Academic Year		: 2092 -	U
Year/Semester		: 12- :	II Semester
Roll Number		: 19251	A1287
Det 1			
(a) Excellent	nging was the syllabus offere (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	
Deces			dad in the comingland
 Rate the appropriate (a) Excellent 	riateness of the sequence of t (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	
 Rate the depth or global scenarios 		in relation to the	e competencies expected by industry/cum
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
A Rate the sequen	ce of the units/modules in the	e courses.	
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
5 Data the adeque	teness of the textbooks and r	eference books r	nentioned for the course.
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
6 Date the offerin	g of electives in relation to te	chnology advan	cements.
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
7 Date the design	of the courses in terms of ext	tra learning or se	elf-learning
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
1 NSA		10010000000000000	
8. Rate the flexibil	lity in choosing the electives	in relation to tec	hnology advancements.
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
0 Pate the percent	tage of the courses offering L	AB components	I.
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
10. Rate the comp	osition of the courses in t	erms of Basic	science, Engineering science, Humanit
Discipline core,	Discipline elective, Open ele	ective, project et	c.?
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
	1 1 1 1		1. Ha
Any other Sugg	gestions (please specify)	A120	1976
Nud w	nove workshops	on te	chnical subjects
	•		
			2013
		Contraction of the	Trataya

101 1. (0)



Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18) Information Technology Department Program Name B-Tech D. Kavanya Name of the Student Academic Year 2022-23 Year/Semester 4-2 Roll Number 19251 A1210 [6] 1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. 6 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios. 67 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses. 16] (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course. a (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 161 Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [c] Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor B Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. [4] (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components. [0] (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.? [c] (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor Any other Suggestions (please specify) Encourage gode Aspirants

Encourage extra cumicular activities



Scanned with OKEN Scanner

		Currentum_Stut	1CIIC	recu	buch ante sal
D	epartment		:	IT	
Р	rogram Name			Bite	(1) (17)
N	ame of the Studer	ıt	1	NUN	e CHANDRA LUMARI
A	cademic Year	<u>.</u>	:	2022	-23
Y	ear/Semester		:	4-)	2
R	oll Number			192	51 A 12 3 A
	D				2 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
1		enging was the syllabus offere			
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(a)	Average	(e) Poor
0.	Date the service		he cou	reas provi	ided in the curriculum
- 2		priateness of the sequence of t (b) Very Good (c) Good		Average	
	(a) Excellent	(p) very Good (c) Good	(a)	Average	(6) 1001
3.	Rate the depth global scenario		in rela	tion to the	e competencies expected by industry/curre
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d)	Average	(e) Poor
4	Rate the secure	ice of the units/modules in the	e cours	es.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good		Average	(e) Poor
5	Pote the ademu	ateness of the textbooks and r	eference	e books n	mentioned for the course.
5.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d)	Average	(e) Poor
6.	Rate the offerin	g of electives in relation to te	chnolo	gy advan	cements.
0.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d)	Average	(e) Poor
	/	1 10 24 1			
07	Rate the design	of the courses in terms of ext	tra lear	ning or se	elf-learning
0	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d)	Average	(e) Poor
	Date the familie	lity in choosing the electives	in relat	ion to tec	hnology advancements.
8.		(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d)	Average	(e) Poor
	(a) Excellent	(0) very cood (c) cood	(-)		()
0	Date the percen	tage of the courses offering L	AB co	mponents	5.
9.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d)	Average	(e) Poor
10). Rate the comp	osition of the courses in the	erms o	f Basic	science, Engineering science, Humanit
	Discipline core, (a) Excellent	Discipline elective, Open ele . (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d)	Average	(e) Poor
	Any other Sug	gestions (please specify)	\$114		
	Tuhnic	Artiviky 11 the 90,2	MAR		
	porze	win o portantas juga	any f	hours f	function subject involvement
		Athuna unkura	2.00	120.00	

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Signature Scanned with OKEN Scanner

		Curriculum_	Student Feed	back (G	NR-18)	
Ľ	Department		: Inform	nation T	Technology	
Р	rogram Name		: B. Tech			
N	lame of the Stude	nt	: N. Has	nshitha		
A	cademic Year		: 2022-2	1023		
1	/ear/Semester		: IV - IL			
R	toll Number		: 19251 F	H236	- 3	
1	. Rate how chal (a) Excellent	lenging was the syllabu (b) Very Good (c) O				
2	. Rate the appro (a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c)	ice of the courses prov iood (d) Average		urriculum.	
3	. Rate the depth global scenario	of the syllabus of the c	ourses in relation to th	e competenci	ies expected by indu	stry/current
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) G	iood (d) Average	(e) Poor		
4	. Rate the seque	nce of the units/module	s in the courses.			
	(a) Excellent	(6) Very Good (c) G	iood (d) Average	(e) Poor		
5	. Rate the adequ	ateness of the textbook	s and reference books	mentioned fo	r the course.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) G		(e) Poor		
6	. Rate the offeri	ng of electives in relation	on to technology advan	cements.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) G	ood (d) Average	(e) Poor		
7	. Rate the design	n of the courses in terms	s of extra learning or se	elf-learning		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) G				
8	Rate the flexib	ility in choosing the ele	ctives in relation to tec	hnology adv	ancemente	
17	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) G			ancements.	
9	. Rate the percer	ntage of the courses offs	ing LAB components	5.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) G				
1	0. Rate the com Discipline core (a) Excellent	position of the course , Discipline elective, O Very Good (c) G	pen elective, project et	c.7	gineering science, 1	Humanities,
	Any other Sue	gestions (please specif				
	entro (special ung A H	<u>y)</u>			
	giving	woriculuer Acti Good electric	ins Subjects		3	
) ila	whethe
				and the second	Signatu	ire

Scanned with OKEN Scanner

	9	Curriculum_Stud	ent Feed	back (GNR-18)
D	epartment		: Inform	ation Jeeluwlogy.
Pr	ogram Name		B.Tel	
N	ame of the Student		· P. Tej	anoi
A	cademic Year	10	: 2022 - 2	New Address of the Second Seco
Ye	car/Semester		: <u>R</u> -II	
R	oll Number		: 19251A	1242
1	Pote househallo	naing une the cullabus offere	d hu the sources	2
988 6	Excellent	nging was the syllabus offer (b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	
	Rate the appropriate (1) Rate the appropriate (1) Rate (1	iateness of the sequence of t (b) Very Good (c) Good	he courses provi (d) Average	
3.	Rate the depth or global scenarios	이는 것은 아님은 것은 것이 많은 것 것 수 것 같아? 지수는 것 같은 것을 것 같아요. 한국 것이다.	in relation to the	e competencies expected by industry/current
1	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
4	Rate the sequen	ce of the units/modules in the	e courses.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
5	Rate the adeous	teness of the textbooks and r	eference books r	mentioned for the course.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
6	Rate the offering	g of electives in relation to to	chnology advan	cements.
0.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
7	Rate the design	of the courses in terms of ex	tra learning or se	elf-learning
0	(a) Excellent	(Je) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
•	Pote the flevibil	ity in choosing the electives	in relation to tec	chnology advancements.
0.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
0	Date the percent	age of the courses offering I	AB component	s.
2.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
10	0. Rate the comp Discipline core, (a) Excellent	osition of the courses in t Discipline elective, Open el (b) Very Good (c) Good	ective, project et	
	Any other Sugg	estions (please specify)		
	Introdu	a practical wa	ys of le	aring
	Plore	application of	neuted S	Subjets.
	L		an an an an an an	D Terasuit
		(1) 小学生		Signahire
		A Carrier of Carrier Carrier		

O Scanned with OKEN Scanner

		Curriculum_Stud	lent Feed	DACK [GNR-18]
De	partment		: IT	
Pro	ogram Name		: B. Teu	
Na	me of the Studer	ıt	: G. Tejo	Rupa Sree
Ac	ademic Year		: 2022 -	-2023
Ye	ar/Semester		: 11 - 2	nd Semester
Ro	ll Number	9	: 19251	A1276
1.	Rate how challe (a) Excellent	enging was the syllabus offere (b) Very Good (c) Good	ed by the course: (d) Average	
2.	Rate the approx	priateness of the sequence of t	he courses provi	ided in the curriculum.
E.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	
3.	Rate the depth global scenario		in relation to th	e competencies expected by industry/curre
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
4.		nce of the units/modules in the	e courses.	20123
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
5.	Rate the adequ	ateness of the textbooks and r	eference books a	mentioned for the course.
	(a) Excellent	(b)-Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	
6.	Rate the offerin	ng of electives in relation to te	chnology advan	cements.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	
7.	Rate the design	of the courses in terms of ex	tra learning or se	elf-learning
1	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor
8.	Rate the flexibi	lity in choosing the electives	in relation to tec	chnology advancements.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	
9.	Rate the percen	tage of the courses offering L	AB component	s.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	
10	. Rate the comp Discipline core	position of the courses in t Discipline elective, Open elective	erms of Basic ective, project e	science, Engineering science, Humaniti tc.?
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average	
	Any other Sug	gestions (please specify)		
	Moren	ieus tehnologies.	should b	c included
	Second Second			

140

11--1- (CND 19)



Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

De	partment		: Information Technology
Pro	ogram Name		: B.Tech
Na	ume of the Student	E	: K·Harikha
Ac	ademic Year		: 2022-2023
Ye	ar/Semester		: IV year 2nd Semester
Ro	oll Number		: 19 25 1A1 28 4
1	Pate hour sheller	noing use the cullabus offer-	of her the second
		nging was the syllabus offere	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
© _{2.}	-		
-2.	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	he courses provided in the curriculum. (d) Average (e) Poor
3.			in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current
	global scenarios		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
4.	Charles and the second s	ce of the units/modules in the	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
5.		그렇게 2011년 1월 2011년 2월 28일 2월	eference books mentioned for the course.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
6.		g of electives in relation to te	20 - 20 20 1 월 1 일 - 11일 - 12일 - 122 - 12
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (e) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
-			
07.	1 - C. C. C. C. C. C. P. C. S. C. C. W. C. L.	of the courses in terms of ext	2. 1 1 1 1 <u></u>
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (e) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
2			
8.			in relation to technology advancements.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
~		ca	10
9.	**************************************	age of the courses offering L	The Addition of the Second Control of the Addition of the Addi
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good (c) Good	(d) Average (e) Poor
		osition of the courses in to Discipline elective, Open elective, O	erms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, ective, project etc.? (d) Average (e) Poor
	Any other Sugg	estions (please specify)	
			which many life i
	A would	be nice it we i	nouse more practicall to some
	technical	subjects :	include more practicals to some
	-		
			K.Hanks Signature



	Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)
Department	: 正T.
Program Name	· B.Tech
Name of the Studer	. V. Bhuvanani

Academic Year

Year/Semester

Roll Number

- : 201223 : 4-2. : 1925/A1257.
- 1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
- Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
- 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.

(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

- Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
- 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor b
- Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent
- 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning b (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent
- 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. (d) Average (e) Poor (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent h
- Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components. d (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (a) Excellent
- 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.? (d) Average (e) Poor C (b) Very Good (c) Good (a) Excellent

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

and achivities practical bracoledge topicy more industrial exposure giving activities be included neest more Bruwanani must curriculum Signature

h

1	Depa	irtment		: T	1	TI I	
F	rog	ram Name			premation -	reconology	
٨	lame	e of the Faculty			Tech		
		emic Year	1. feb		BHAVANI		
		nation			22-23		
				: -A8	st. Peoperson		
۲	leas	e rate your valua	able feedback on the	curriculum for	review of syllabus/to	improve quality of the program	ma
	1.		icture of the curricu	ulum framed fo	or the entire program	a during of the program	me.
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	~TGood	d) Average	e) Poor	
	2	Rate the app	mpriotoness - Cul	un restauren			
		a)Excellent	ropriateness of the s b) Very Good	Sequences of the Good	he courses provided	in the curriculum.	
			20 - 2020-20	00,0000	d) Average	e) Poor	
	3.	Rate the dept	h of the syllabus fo	r the Program	in relation to the co	mpetencies expected by	
		a)Excellent				inpetencies expected by	
		ofenent	b) Very Good	retGood	d) Average	e) Poor	
	4.	Rate the sequ	ence of the units/m	odules in the	course (Taught hus	(una	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	5	Rate the dist-	ibution of section :				
	۰.	a)Excellent	ibution of credits in	c) Good			
		296-2242033			d) Average	e) Poor	
	6.	Rate the adeq	uateness of text boo	oks and referen	nce books mentione	d in the syllabus	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	C) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	7.	Rate the poter	tial of the students	in understand	ing the		
		a)Excellent	\b} Very Good	c) Good	d) Average		
				1. 2. 31	No. of Concession, Name	e) Poor	
	8.	Rate the syllab	ous content for the	courses in term	ns of burden on stud	lents.	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	yergood	Average	e) Poor	
	9.	Rate the exper	iment list in stimul	ating the inter	art of students in d		
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e project. e) Poor	
	10	Detail					
	10.	a)Excellent	bution of the cours	es in terms of	professional core a		
	3		b) Very Good	c) Good	4d Average	e) Poor	
		Any other su	uggestion(s):				
		-	Need to in	relude 1	current eme	sping technologies	used
		in industry	plike Full.	stack De	velopment.	Devore.	
		0			1	else ani	_
		D	1.1 2830			10105312	3
		Date: 1	413123			Signature of Fact	ulty
				S		Hard States	
					C14 - 7 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19	115 C. 197	



Information Technology Department R. Tech **Program Name** Dr. S. RAMACHARAN. Name of the Faculty 2022 23 Academic Year Professor : Designation Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme. 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios. a)Excellent Good b) Very Good d) Average e) Poor 4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you). altxcellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor Any other suggestion(s): more electives need to be included as per standards after Intustry Date: 22/03/22 SignaturgofFaculty

1	Depa	rtment		: 1	LT		
,	Progr	am Name		: 6	S. Tuh.		
1	Name	e of the Faculty	Ŧ	: D	S. Tech. a. L. Smith	æ	
1	Acade	emic Year			022 - 23		
C	Desig	nation			st Proj		
P	lease	e rate your valu	able feedback on the	curriculum for re	view of syllabus/to	improve quality of the prog	
	1	Rate the str	ucture of the our-iou			improve duality of the prog	ramme.
		a)Excellent	ucture of the curricu	c) Good	d) Average	n. e) Poor	
~	2.	Rate the app	ropriateness of the	sequences of the	courses provided	in the second second	
9		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	√C) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	3.	Rate the dep industry/Cur	th of the syllabus for rent global scenario	or the Program i	n relation to the co	mpetencies expected by	
		a)Excellent	Ut Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	4.	Rate the sequ	uence of the units/m	odules in the or	Taught Le		
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	ou). e) Poor	
	5.	Rate the dist	ribution of credits in	n the Program			
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	6.	Rate the adec	uateness of text bo	oks and reference	e books mantiana	11 A	
	8	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	C) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
-	7.	Rate the poter	ntial of the students	in understandir	the course abia		
9		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	_efGood	d) Average	e) Poor	
	0	Pote the culls		1	1949 No. 19		
	0.	a)Excellent	bus content for the	courses in terms		lents.	
				c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	9.	Rate the exper	riment list in stimul	lating the interes	st of students in th	t project	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good آهل	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	10.	Rate the contr	ibution of the cours	es in terms of p	rofessional core a		
		a)Excellent	、 b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
		Any other s	uggestion(s):	C. Bank St.		\mathbb{R}^{n}	
		Mu	st have	Some m	une Curre	+ Technologies	
		0 04 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ind year			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	An
			3		11 m	0	
		Date: 9	2-3-23			(\$	/
		Date: of		"是"的"生"	STE IN AR	Signature of	Faculty

D	epa	rtment		: IT			
Pr	ogr	am Name		BT	ech		
Na	ame	of the Faculty	*	: K.S	iridevi		
A	ade	emic Year		: 200	2-22		
De	esig	nation		: Ac.	st . Prof		
Ple	ease	e, rate your valua	ble feedback on the o	urriculum for re	view of syllabus/to	improve quality of the	Drogramme
	l,	Rate the stru	cture of the curricul				programme.
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	ct Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	2.	Rate the appr	opriateness of the s	equences of the	courses provided	in the curriculum	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	3.	Rate the dept	h of the syllabus for	the Program i	n relation to the co	mpetencies expected	hv
		mausuy/Curi	em giobal scenarios	ι.	1997 - 2007	7	0,
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	4.	Rate the sequ	ence of the units/mo	dules in the co	urse (Taught by	(uov	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	(c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	5.	Rate the distr	ibution of credits in	the Program			2.77 8
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	6.	Rate the adeq	uateness of text boo	ks and reference	e books mentione	d in the cullabur	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	7.	Rate the poter	tial of the students	in understandir	the course obio	atives	
,		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	8.	Rate the syllab	ous content for the c	ourcer in terms	official	Second Constants	
	v .	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average		
		and the second second			22 - CL-3724	e) Poor	
	9.	Rate the exper	iment list in stimula	ting the interes	t of students in th	e project	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	10.	Rate the contri	bution of the course	s in terms of p	rofessional core a	100	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
		Any other si	uggestion(s):	HUSTIC-YO			
		_ 1	it is better	to hav	e some r.	periment in	Deen
		lab	on pack	& avaly	ser tools	lite wiresto	the che
				J		ALL WITCH	UN LAL
		Date: o d	103/2023	1. 200		ha	è-
			10312023			Signatu	re of Faculty

1

.

.

320030						
Depa	irtment		: I	_ T		
Progr	ram Name		: B	·Tech		
Name	e of the Faculty		: M	·Tech ·Deepthi 022 - 202!		
Acad	emic Year		: 2	22 - 202	3	
Desig	nation		:	st. prof-		
Pleas	e rate your valu	able feedback on the	curriculum for n	ou [O	improve quality of th	a programma
						e programme.
3	a)Excellent	ucture of the custicu b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	n. e) Poor	
2	. Rate the app	ropriateness, of the s	courses of the	e courses provided	in the curriculum	
2	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
3.	Rate the dep	th of the syllabus fo	r the Program i	n relation to the co	ompetencies expecte	d by
	industry/Cur	rent global scenario	s. /		A 100 Block	5-1-1 -7 -2
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good (2.	d) Average	e) Poor	
4.	Rate the sequ	ence of the units/m	odules in the co	ourse. (Taught by	vou).	
	a)Excellent	b/Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
5.	Rate the dist	ribution of credits in	the Program			
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
6.	Rate the adec	uateness of text boo	oks and referen	e books mentions	d in the cullabus	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
7	Rate the note	ntial of the students	in understandi	ng the course shis		
•	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	0.42743036240.0			355		
8.	Rate the sylla	bus content for the	courses in term	s of burden on stu	dents.	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	, of Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
9.	Rate the expe	riment list in stimul	sting the interes	st of students in th	a project	
1	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
10	Rate the contr	ibution of the cours	er in terms of a	-		
	a)Excellent	by Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	Any other s	uggestion(s):			6/1001	
		Tew new of	which a	nut ha		
		With respect	the second se		included	
		· · · ·	10 010	using seaver	rement	
		1.1 -		10732	(1)	Destle"
	Date: 2	23 3 23		<i>\$</i>	Signat	ure of Faculty
		Stand Stand			(15) (15)	

O Scanned with OKEN Scanner

Progra	rtment am Name		': II		
	am Name				
			: 87	Tech	
Vame	of the Faculty	4	: Dive	ya. Gudibar	dla
cade	mic Year			22-2023	
esign	nation		: Ase	t. professo	R
lease	e rate your valua	ble feedback on the	curriculum for n	eview of syllabus/to	improve quality of the programme
1.	Rate the stru	cture of the curricu	lum framed fo	t the entire program	n.
	a)Excellent	少 Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
2.	Rate the appr	opriateness of the s	equences of th		
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
2	1203/0203200	10 250 10		지않는 영화사	832,03235
3.	Rate the dept industry/Cun	h of the syllabus fo rent global scenario	r the Program i s.	in relation to the co	mpetencies expected by
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e) Poor
4.	Rate the sequ	ence of the units/m	odules in the e	ourse (Trucks)	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
5.	Rate the distr	ibution of credits in	the Program		
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
6.	Rate the adeq	uateness of text boo	ks and referen	ce hooke mentione	1:- A
	a)Excellent	.b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
_				1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	COMMUNICATION 111
7.	Rate the poter	tial of the students	in understandi	ng the course object	ctives.
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
8.	Rate the syllal	bus content for the	ources in term	e of hundre an at	
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	Average	e) Poor
e Resti				0.00	10.7.0 E2100
9.	Rate the exper	iment list in stimul	ating the intere	st of students in th	e project.
	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e) Poor
10.	Rate the contri	bution of the course	an in terms of .		
202	a)Excellent	to) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
	Any other s	uggestion(s):	12.61	5. S.	80° 2580
		d to verify the	Ashe's	ution - + T	i Lingware swarenessan and a
		- with "	C GUPUP	mus of 10	pice in all unite

Date: 17-03-23

ł

Signature of Faculty



	Depa	rtment		: 17			
	Progr	ram Name		: B.T	ices (Ent	omation Technology	+)
	Name	e of the Faculty	1	: T.	Alagua	00	1)
.,	Acad	emic Year		0.8 G.	Alpaena 2-23 histort pr		
3	Desig	nation		2	2 - 23	a	
	Pleas	e rate vour valu	able feedback on th	• - 1954	hitent p	ferroe.	
			able recuback on th	e curriculum for r	eview of syllabus	to improve quality of the prog	ramme.
	1	 Rate the str a)Excellent 	ucture of the curric	ulum framed fo	r the entire prog d) Average	ram. e) Poor	
	2	Rate the enn	topriatenace of the				
		a)Excellent	h) Very Good	c) Good	e courses provid	ed in the curriculum.	
				2,035,05	d) Average	e) Poor	
	3.	Rate the dep	th of the syllabus i	for the Program	in relation to the	competencies expected by	
		industry/Cur	rent global scenari	os.	in relation to the	competencies expected by	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	4.	Rate the second	ence of the units/	modular in the e		20. 	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	C) Good	d) Average	The second second second	
		Contraction of the		- 1,0000	u) Average	e) Poor	
	5.	Rate the dista	ibution of credits	in the Program			
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
	6.	Rate the adeo	uateness of text by	ooke and referen	an haadaa aa da	ned in the syllabus.	
	823	a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	ce books mentio		
			0,,	c) 0000	d) Average	e) Poor	
D	7.	Rate the poter	ntial of the student	s in understandi	ng the course of	la sel const	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	승규가 잘 가 안 있는 것 같아요.	
						e) Poor	
	8.	Rate the syllal	bus content for the	courses in term	s of burden on a	tudente	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Geod	d) Average	e) Poor	
					10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2012 C	
	9.	Rate the exper	iment list in stimu	lating the intere	st of students in	the project	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	C) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
					1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		
	10.	Rate the contri	bution of the cour	ses in terms of p	professional con	area	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	-ct Good	d) Average	e) Poor	
•		Any other s	uggestion(s):		51810 E	erroui	
		in others	offerentials:				
			Yew Con	was like	Blockcho	in Derops should	4
		6	e modera	1 10 hores	and the second se	high here	-
			- mmodala	- more	- Common	enent of priere	works
		Date: n 1	-1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Am	
		Date: 14	0323				Faculty



: Information Technology Department Program Name · B. Tech : K. Jayostee Name of the Faculty : Assistant Porferron Academic Year Designation Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme. 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. a)Excellent b) Very Good Good d) Average e) Poor 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. a)Excellent Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios. Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you). AExcellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus. a)Excellent by Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. a)Excellent b) Very Good C) Good d) Average e) Poor 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project. a)Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor 10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. a)Excellent Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor Any other suggestion(s): Army new courses that Troot. Date: 16 3/2022 of Faculty Signature



				Sec. 1		
C	epa	rtment		: In	formation. Tec	halos
P	rogr	am Name		: 6.	Tech Tech	motogg
N	lame	of the Faculty	3		Ammannamn	
A	cade	emic Year	20			na
D	esig	nation		: 202	No	
	. 20		hie feedback on the	÷ A	wt.prof	
2			the recuback on the c	urriculum for re	eview of syllabus/to im	prove quality of the programm
8	1,	a)Excellent	cture of the curricul b) Very Good	um framed for	the entire program. d) Average	e) Poor
Ð	2.	Rate the appr	opriateness of the se	courses of the	courses provided in	a a v
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	A Good	d) Average	e) Poor
	3.	Rate the dept industry/Curr	th of the syllabus for rent global scenarios	the Program i	n relation to the comp	petencies expected by
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	Good	d) Average	e) Poor
	4.	Rate the secu	ence of the units/		02220 0000	
		a)Excellent	by Very Good	c) Good	ourse. (Taught by you d) Average	i). e) Poor
	5	Rate the dist-	ihuuiaa a 6			2)1001
	5.	a)Excellent	ibution of credits in			
			Arvery 6000	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
	6.	Rate the adeq	uateness of text boo	ks and referen	ce books mentioned in	n the millahout
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	el Good	d) Average	e) Poor
	7.	Rate the poter	tial of the students i	in understandi	ng the course objectiv	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	
				10.000		e) Poor
	8.	Rate the syllal	ous content for the c	ourses in term	s of burden on studen	its.
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	Average	e) Poor
	9.	Rate the exper	iment list in stimula	ting the interes	st of students in the p	
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	J Good	d) Average	e) Poor
		D	4.43	2-2-2-4-1-1-	2	1.1.1.2.2.1.2.1.0.0.4
	10.	a)Excellent	bution of the course	s in terms of p	rofessional core area	£
		ajexcellent	JVery Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor
		Any other s	uggestion(s):			1
			If more p	rotutional	de division	added means
			- will be he	unstat to	A CI I	addia many
			, wat pe ne	whe de	a stude Stude	nts
					and the second	1
		Date: 11	Jalaa	S States -		Ano 14/3/25
		14	13/25			Signature of Facult
		Date: 14	3/23			Signature of I

Department	: Information Technology
Program Name	: B. Tech
Name of the Faculty	: V.USHA
Academic Year	: 2082-23
Designation	: Anst. Prosend
Please rate your valuable feedback	on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.
	surriculum framed for the entire program

		1 mm			the entire program				
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
0	2.	Rate the ap	propriateness of the	sequences of the	courses provided	in the oppioulum			
		5)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
	3.	Rate the de	pth of the syllabus fourrent global scenario	r the Program i	n relation to the co	mpetencies expected by	1		
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	ef Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
	4.	Rate the se	quence of the units/m	odules in the co	ourse. (Taught by y	you).			
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
	5.	Rate the dis	stribution of credits in	the Program.					
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
	6.	Rate the add	equateness of text bo	oks and reference	e books mentione	d in the syllabus.			
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
0	7.	. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.							
		a)Excellent	Job Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
	8.	Rate the syl	labus content for the	courses in term	s of burden on stud	lents.			
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
	9.	Rate the exp	eriment list in stimul	ating the interes	st of students in th	e project.			
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
	10.	Rate the con	tribution, of the cours	es in terms of p	rofessional core a	rea.			
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor			
			and the second						

Any other suggestion(s): Onto dered rogramm Can denty can benift Urn the when soth East Prosects. while a do Date: 14/3 23 Signature of Faculty

O Scanned with OKEN Scanner

Department	: 27
Program Name	: B.Tech
Name of the Faculty	M. Brideri
Academic Year	: 2022-2023
Designation	: Arst-prof.
Please rate your valuable feedback	on the curriculum for review of svilabus/to improve quality

the solution of the programme.

	1.	Rate the str	ucture of the curricul	lum framed for	the entire program	141.00000000000000000000000000000000000	2107-00 7 02-009-009-00			
		a)Excellent	Very Good کار	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor	122			
0	2.	Rate the app	bropriateness of the s	equences of the						
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
	823	22	20 C		0.002302555555555	11 m - 11 m - 11	4			
	3.		oth of the syllabus for rrent global scenarios	the Program i	n relation to the co	mpetencies expec	ted by			
		a)Excellent	Jef Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
	4.	Rate the seq	uence of the units/me	values in the or	man (Trustat					
	10	e)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average					
	1			0,000	d) Average	e) Poor				
	5.	Rate the dist	tribution of credits in	the Program.						
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
	6.	Rate the ade	quateness of text boo	ks and referen	ce books mentione	d in the sull d				
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
-	-			11046934363	2002-0000 <u>- 1</u> 999	0.000				
U	7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.									
		a)Excellent	کر) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
	8.	Rate the sylla	abus content for the c	ourses in term	s of burden on stur	lente				
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d Average	e) Poor				
	9.	Rate the expe	riment list in stimula	ting the interes	et of etudante in al	2020 - 202				
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average					
			<i></i>	c, 0000	a) merage	e) Poor				
	10.	Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.								
		a)Excellent	b) Very Good	c) Good	d) Average	e) Poor				
		Any other	suggestion(s):		1000000000					
		C	suggestion(s):	- 1	N. 111	A I 1				
			manalian	Logy a	nound b	e added				
			in the	lab &	allabry.					
							1 +-			
		 (2) (3) 	1-1	C. C. S.		S	70			
		Date: 16	[3]23			Sigr	nature of Faculty			

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: 27
Name of the Alumnae	: Destille Juriale
Father's Name	: Forma Powalu
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 08-08-1096
Year of Passing	: 2017
Permanent Address	: 2-55/A,
Email-ID	: deepika. Purnala@ smail. con
Mobile Number	: 7095710124
Present Organization Name	Volve lates
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: <u>Physic</u>
Designation	: senior software enginees
Present Location	: Hoderand
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
Year of Admission	12
Name of the Programme	3
Institute Name	:
 Rate the adequateness of the courses off (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) G 	fered in the program. Good (d) Average (e) Poor [🔍]
 Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) G 	to bridge the gap between academia and industry. Bood (d) Average (e) Poor [~]

- Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [~]
- 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [<

5.	Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an	d equipment ;	dequateness f	or practical exp	posure.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1 ~ 1
6,		ng of electives in re		iology advance	ements.	1000 A
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	0
7.		n of the courses in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1~1
8.	Rate the train	ing offered by the F	Placement cell	in getting amp	le placement oj	pportunities.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	$ \sim $

- 9 Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [-...]
- 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
 (a) Excellent
 (b) Very Good
 (c) Good
 (d) Average
 (e) Poor
 [...]

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

...

More industry Friends Projects need to be developed by Students.



. .

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: I.T
Name of the Alumnae	: Apopula-K
Father's Name	: Rajeth . K
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 06/06/2001
Year of Passing	: 2022
Permanent Address	: A' I Rue Nagas
Email-ID	: appearing hoppingon @ gravil com
Mobile Number	- 7013715083
Present Organization Name	: Amilty
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:
Designation	: student
Present Location	: rigdee about
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
Year of Admission	: 2022
Name of the Programme	: MBA
Institute Name	: Amity
 Rate the adequateness of the cour (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	rses offered in the program. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 🚣
 Rate the sufficiency of syllabus co (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	ntent to bridge the gap between academia and industry. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [A]

0

- Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [b]
- 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | j |

+

5.	Rate the instit (a) Excellent	ute's laboratory an (b) Very Good	d equipment a (c) Good	adequateness f (d) Average		osure. [b	1
6,		ng of electives in re	lation to tech: (c) Good	nology advance (d) Average		Ĩ	a.	1
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) GOOD	(u) Average	(0) 1001			
7.	Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	a learning or se	lf-learning.	20		22
5	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		1	b	1
8.	Rate the traini	ing offered by the P	lacement cell	in getting amp	le placement op	portuni	ities	I.,
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	le	1
9,	Rate the comp	etence +nd suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty.		50		22
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	10	lo:	

10.Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

2

focus	on	Current	technogies	among	students

Alperia Signature



G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department		4	TI
Name of the Alum	nae		K. Lahshmi Peckeche
Father's Name		3	k Nalsimha Reddy
DOB(DD/MM/YY	YY)		13-09-1998
Year of Passing		:0	2020
Permanent Addres	\$\$	a.	Wyderabad
Email-ID		4	pratecka 13 reddy @quail. con
Mobile Number		=	No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Present Organizat	ion Name	5	Accenture
Present Organizat	ion Type	8	Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:
Designation		;	Sensor Analyst
Present Location		15	flyd evel ad
Field of working			Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education			Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/
Year of Adn	nission	1	
Name of the	e Programme	3	
Institute Na	ame	:	
 Rate the adequ (a) Excellent 	ateness of the cou (b) Very Good	rses offered (c) Good	d in the program. I (d) Average (e) Poor []
2. Rate the suffic	iency of syllabus of (b) Very Good	ontent to b (c) Good	ridge the gap between academia and industry. I (d) Average (e) Poor []
	2001 - Si		ent professional standards
 Rate the curric (a) Excellent 	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	ent professional standards. I (d) Average (e) Poor []
 Rate the skills (a) Excellent 	acquired from the (b) Very Good	curriculun (c) Good	n to face the industry challenges/requirements. I (d) Average (e) Poor []

				and the second second	CHINE	
	ute's laboratory an	d equipment a	idequateness f	or practical exp	osure-	1
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor		1
6 Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re	lation to tech	nology advance	ements.	121	
(af Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(ej Poor	1	ł,
7. Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	learning or se	lf-learning.		
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average			1
8. Rate the traini	ing offered by the F	lacement cell	in getting amp	de placement of	pportuniti	es.
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
9. Rate the comp	etence and suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty.			
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
10.Rate the instit	ute's support and	contribution f	or the overall d	levelopment of	students.	- 72
Jaj Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

.

....

2

Add mole subject used in industry oriented.

Signature

. ...

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: IT
Name of the Alumnae	: Podugu Vamini
Father's Name	: Podugu Vamini : P. Nagerwar Rao
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: coluling
Year of Passing	: 2021
Permanent Address	:
Email-ID	: yamini 1910 11@gmail.com
Mobile Number	: 9177959453
Present Organization Name	: SLP Global .
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:
Designation	: Associate Softupre Engineer : Hyderaboo
Present Location	: Hyderaboo
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/
Year of Admission	Other:
Name of the Programme	Ŧ
Institute Name	1
 Rate the adequateness of the co (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	urses offered in the program. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
	content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
3. Rate the curriculum in relation	to your current professional standards.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
4. Rate the skills acquired from the	e curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []

5.	Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an	d equipment a	adequateness f	or practical exp	posure.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		1	10
			C				
6.	Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re	elation to tech	nology advance	ements.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
			1				
7.	Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	a learning or se	lf-learning.	0.61	10
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	[I.
	Data tha tasiai		w				
8.	Rate the train	ing offered by the I	vacement cell	in getting amp	le placement of	pportunitie	23.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
			6				
9	Rate the comp	etence and support	rt offered by th	e Faculty.			

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor ł I

(d) Average (e) Poor

(c) Good

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

(b) Very Good

(a) Excellent

28

More industry oriented projects need to be developed by students.

Signatu

1

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: IT	
Name of the Alumnae	: Dhorthulachetty Shilishe	
Father's Name	: D. Srinivasule.	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 16/09/1999	
Year of Passing	: 2022. ARNR	
Permanent Address	: 1-54 Ediganpally, Kayapuer 10	1
Email-ID	: 2022. : 1-54 Ediganpally, Rajapue 19BNR : dhenthulashetty suleistu 1609 @ mail.u	5
Mobile Number	: 267501092-9	
Present Organization Name	: Cognizant	
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:	
Designation	: stw developme	
Present Location	: stw developme : flydrodenof	
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:	
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:	
Year of Admission	i	
Name of the Programme	(‡	
Institute Name	4	
 Rate the adequateness of the courses (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) 	offered in the program. c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [-b]	
	nt to bridge the gap between academia and industry.) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [6]	
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (1 good fallmende fellessi 1 m 1	
 Rate the curriculum in relation to you (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (ar current professional standards. c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 斗	
4. Rate the skills acquired from the cur	iculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.	
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [

5.	Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an	d equipment a	dequateness f	or practical exp	osure.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(c) Poor	a	.
6.	Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re		nology advance	ements.	, a	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor		1
7.	Rate the desig	n of the courses in					-
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1 1	1
8.	Rate the train	ing offered by the F	lacement cell	in getting amp	le placement oj	pportunitie	es.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1 6	>]
1028	-2010-2010-000						
9.		petence and suppor			1 March 1980 - 1997	. 0	1
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	

10.Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

έ¥.

Better	to	make	at	leas t	some	cours	ter
cutifict	tion	, 05	comp	lsoy.			

D. Shillin Signature

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: (T
Name of the Alumnae	: Kirannayce Peddinti
Father's Name	: Madhu Babu P-
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 13-01-2001
Year of Passing	: 2022
Permanent Address	: Flat 301, Alcanksha arcue, Urrangeds Edor
Email-ID	: Kiranmayupeddial amail our
Mobile Number	: 9000534444
Present Organization Name	: Amazon Web scraces
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:
Designation	: Cherid Engineer - I
Present Location	: Hyderabad
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
Year of Admission	3
Name of the Programme	ξ.
Institute Name	i.
1. Rate the adequateness of the course	s offered in the program.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
2. Pate the sufficiency of syllabus conte	ent to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to yo	ur current professional standards.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [
4 Pate the skills acquired from the CUI	riculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.

 Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges) requirements (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor | |



1

5.	Rate the instit	tute's laboratory an	d equipment a	dequateness f	or practical ex	posure.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		1	
6.	Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re	lation to tech	nology advance	ements.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	

- Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [
- 8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

fal meeterin (o) very door (e) door (a) merage (o) i ooi	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	[]
--	---------------	---------------	----------	-------------	----------	-----

- 9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
- 10.Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

...

good education Skills provided by College. Very

Signature

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: IT
Name of the Alumnae	: Switha Sukhava si
Father's Name	: S. Hari Bahu
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 07/11/1988
Year of Passing	: 2010
Permanent Address	: PLT 372B Phases, Rdp1, Jubila Hills
Email-ID	: PLT 372B, Phases, Rdp1, Jubila Hills : HYD-033 sukhavasiswetha Ognail- to
Mobile Number	: 94909 80042
Present Organization Name	: Accenture Servius PM Ud
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: R+
Designation	: Associate Manager
Present Location	: Hyderabad
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
Year of Admission	di d
Name of the Programme	3
Institute Name	
 Rate the adequateness of the courses (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Control (c) Contro (c) Control (c) Control (c) Control (c) Contro (c) Contro (c)	offered in the program. c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [b]
	nt to bridge the gap between academia and industry. c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [_]
 Rate the curriculum in relation to yo (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	ur current professional standards. c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
	riculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor 6

5,	Rate the institu	te's laboratory an			or practical exposu	re.	2	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor		b	L)
6.		g of electives in re			ements.	333	a l	32
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	12	b	1
7.	A CONTRACT OF A	of the courses in		The second s	lf-learning.	633		25
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor		C.	10
8.	Rate the trainin	ng offered by the P	lacement cell	in getting amp	le placement oppor	tuni	ties	÷
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	P	a	I
9.	Rate the compe	etence and suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty.			ĩ	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	Į	b	I.
10	.Rate the institu	ate's support and o	contribution fo	or the overall d	evelopment of stud	ents		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	[Ь	1

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

 $\mathcal{A}^{(i)}$

4

Syllabue needed to be consisted

Signature

. ...

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: I7
Name of the Alumnae	: NALAM. VAISHNAVI
Father's Name	NALAM - SAMBASHIV
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	= 29/10/9999
Year of Passing	: 2021
Permanent Address	: Owarka unge street 40.3
Email-ID	: Dwarke unge street up. 3 : Dwarke unge street up. 3 : nalamani knaniza@gmail. Con
Mobile Number	: 9575527111
Present Organization Name	: WIPRO
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:
Designation	: project engineer : Hyderdlibad
Present Location	: Hyderdelsad
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree / Ph.D. / Not Applicable / Other:
Year of Admission	
Name of the Programme	: - A mity univers by
Institute Name	- A minty university
 Rate the adequateness of the co (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	ourses offered in the program. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
 Rate the sufficiency of syllabus (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	content to bridge the gap between academia and industry. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	to your current professional standards. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
 Rate the skills acquired from th (a) Execlent (b) Very Good 	e curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []



its.]

5.	Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an	id equipment a	adequateness f	or practical exp	oosure.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		1	1
1							
6.	Rate the offerin	ng of electives in re	elation to tech	nology advance	ements.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
7.	Rate the design	n of the courses in	terms of extra	learning or se	lf-learning.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		1	1
8.	Rate the traini	ng offered by the F	Nacement cell	in getting amp	le placement o	pportuniti	es.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
9.	Rate the comp	etence and suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty.			
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1

10.Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

1.4

and more subjects which hulp in doing Projects.

Signature

.

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

.

Department	: 17
Name of the Alumnae	: Him Kend Bade
Father's Name	: Maliender Kremar B
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 2021
Year of Passing	: Hyderablad
Permanent Address	: he
Email-ID	: hinabindu 2729@guvel-com
Mobile Number	: \$328135718
Present Organization Name	· Tes
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other
Designation	: Developes
Present Location	: Hyderata d
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/
Field of working	Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
Higher Education Year of Admission	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other: : #ORT
Higher Education Year of Admission Name of the Programme	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other: : 心仔 : 心仔 : 心仔
Higher Education Year of Admission Name of the Programme Institute Name 1. Rate the adequateness of the co (a) Excellent (b) Very Good	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other: : 이유 : 이유 : 이유
Higher Education Year of Admission Name of the Programme Institute Name 1. Rate-the adequateness of the co (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 3. Rate the curriculum in relation	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other: : OA : DA : DA : DA : DA : DA : DA : DA : D
Higher Education Year of Admission Name of the Programme Institute Name 1. Rate the adequateness of the co (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus (a) Excellent (b) Very Good	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other: : 신유 : 신유 : 진유 : 진유 : 진유 : 진유 : 진유 : 진유 : 진유 : 진
Higher Education Year of Admission Name of the Programme Institute Name 1. Rate the adequateness of the co (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 3. Rate the curriculum in relation (a) Excellent (b) Very Good	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other: : OA : DA : DA : DA : DA : DA : DA : DA : D

ute's laboratory an	d equipment a	adequateness f	or practical exp	osure.	
(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor		1
ng of electives in re	lation to tech	nology advance	ments.		
(b) Very Good	(c) Good			t	1
n of the courses in	terms of extra	a learning or se	lf-learning.		
(b) Very Good	(c) Good			1	1
ng offered by the P	lacement cell	in getting amp	le placement of	oportunitie	es.
(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
etence and suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty			
(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
ute's support and	contribution 6	or the ownedl d	avalopment of a	tradente	
(b) Very Good	(c) Good			l l	T
ggestions (please	specify)				
	 (b) Very Good ag of electives in re (b) Very Good an of the courses in (b) Very Good ang offered by the F (b) Very Good etence and suppor (b) Very Good etence support and support and (b) Very Good 	 (b) Very Good (c) Good ag of electives in relation to techn (b) Very Good (c) Good an of the courses in terms of extra (b) Very Good (c) Good ang offered by the Placement cell (b) Very Good (c) Good etence and support of ered by the (b) Very Good (c) Good etence and support of ered by the (b) Very Good (c) Good etence and support of ered by the (b) Very Good (c) Good 	(b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average ag of electives in relation to technology advance (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average n of the courses in terms of extra learning or se (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average ng offered by the Placement cell in getting amp (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average etence and support of ered by the Faculty. (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average ute's support and contribution for the overall d (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average	(b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor ag of electives in relation to technology advancements. (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor an of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor ang offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement of (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor etence and support of ered by the Faculty. (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor ute's support and contribution for the overall development of s (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor	ag of electives in relation to technology advancements. (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [n of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning, (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [ng offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [etence and support of ered by the Faculty, (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [ute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor [

NA - Please add more shall based learing.

Signature

1.10



Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

	Department	3	IT			
	Name of the Alumnae	i i	: Nonduri Lak	alumi Kouch	aly a	
	Father's Name	101	NVUSRAM H	edmin chan	& Kume	en
	DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)		10/11/2000D			
	Year of Passing		2021			
	Permanent Address		H.W updacer	-1-426 ,K	hamman	~
9	Email-ID	2	: Kousalyninar	duli Q m	ail- win	
	Mobile Number		A192359164			
	Present Organization Name		Accenture			
	Present Organization Type		: Self Employed/ F Other: Sol-two	Public Sector/	Academics,	(
	Designation	. 3	: Associate De	ive legment	Ap suinti	5
	Present Location		Hyderabad			
	Field of working	8	: Core/Inter-Discip Administration/ (
	Higher Education		: Master's Degree/	Ph.D./ Not Ap	plicable/	
	Year of Admission		Other:			
	Name of the Programme	ġ	i i			
	Institute Name					
	 Rate the adequateness of the (a) Excellent (b) Very Goo 			(e) Poor	1	1
	2. Rate the sufficiency of syllab	us content to !	bridge the gap bety	ween academia	a and indus	try.
	(a) Excellent (b) Very Goo				I	1
	 Rate the curriculum in relati (a) Excellent (b) Very Goo 				1	1
					12	-
	4. Rate the skills acquired from				s/requirem	ents.
	the meeting of the for the for	101 500	tal menage	101.001	4	1.5

5. Rate the instit	tute's moratory ar	d equipment	adequateness	for practical exp	oosure.	
A Excellent	(b) way Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Pour	1	1
6. Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re	elation to tech	nology advance	ements.		
-a Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
7. Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	a learning or se	elf-learning.		
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
8. Rate the traini	ing offered by the F	Placement cell	in getting amp	le placement of	oportuniti	es.
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	I	1
	etence and suppor	t offered by th	e Facult:			
,{a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
	ute's support and		or the overall d	evelopment of s	tudents.	
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Add subjects which are hulpful for placements and to mut present arear demand.

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	TT	
Name of the Alumnae	: Althu Schasika	
Father's Name	= Ajthu kotalah	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	2.8108/1998	
Year of Passing	2.02-0	
Permanent Address	: 11 No: 3-Cilr, Swame Colony, Surtandord	ł
Email-ID	a thus hailtage goos learn	
Mobile Number	= 7995173355 ···	
Present Organization Name	I ADY	
Present Organization Type	Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/	
Designation	= Member Techniqual	
Present Location	: Goulidoddi, Manakrampuda.	
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:	
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:	
Year of Admission		
Name of the Programme		
Institute Name		
 Rate the adequateness of the cours (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	rses offered in the program. ماري Good (d) Average (e) Poor اوا	
 Rate the sufficiency of syllabus co (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	ntent to bridge the gap between academia and industry. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []	
	wave average professional standards	
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	your current professional standards. (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor	
 Rate the skills acquired from the (a) Excellent (b) Very Good 	curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.	

5.		ute's laboratory a				osure.	1
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	Jef Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	· 1	1
				8			
6.	Rate the offeri	ng of electives in r	elation to tech	nology advance	ements.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	. (c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
			0				15)
			en ner som genoen		2227000000000		
7.	1. State 1 and 1 an	n of the courses ir					1
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	L	1
8.	Rate the traini	ing offered by the	Placement cell	in getting amo	le placement o	oportunitie	es.
1				in Berning mul-	te placement of	portania	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
				M100000.70	2011/00/2011	1	
2	Deservice and the second						
9,		etence and suppo					
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	Le Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
10	Rate the instit	ute's support and	contribution for	or the overall d	evelopment of a	students.	
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(e) Good	(d) Average		Service Services	1

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

More industry scalated subjects with new technologies should be added.

A. Spherika Signature

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	
Name of the Alumnae	: Clude Mani R
Father's Name	· R.L.U Praz d
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 19/06/1978
Year of Passing	: 2020
Permanent Address	: Navayenachida
Email-ID	: mani Chuded to porail (m)
Mobile Number	:
Present Organization Name	:
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other:
	- Associate
Designation	. M. ta bad
Present Location	Hycesul C
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/
Year of Admission	Other:
Name of the Programme	:
Institute Name	:
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses off	ered in the program.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) G	ood (d) Average (e) Poor
a Development of subshue content I	o bridge the gap between academia and industry.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) G	ood (d) Average (e) Poor []
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your o	urrent professional standards.
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your c (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) G	ood (d) Average (e) Poor []
	lum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
4 Rate the skills acquired from the curricu (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) G	ood (d) Average (c) Poor []

5. Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an	d equipment a	idequateness f	or practical exp	oosure.	
∕(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor		1
6. Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re	elation to tech	nology advance	ements.		-
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor		1
7. Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	a learning or se	lf-learning.		0.33
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	U	1
8. Rate the train	ing offered by the I	Nacement cell	in getting amp	le placement oj	pportunitie	28.
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	l]
9. Rate the comp	setence and support	rt offered by th	e Faculty.			
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
10.Rate the instit	tute's support and	contribution for	or the overall d	evelopment of s	students.	12.1
(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		ſ	1
Any other Su	greations (please	specify)				

vergood.

14

2

Signature

- .

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: Information Technology
Name of the Alumnae	Suvishna Yada
Father's Name	: Srinivas Yada
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 09/01/1999
Year of Passing	: 2020
Permanent Address	1-2-18, Siddipet
Email-ID	swittnayada94@gmail.com
Mobile Number	: 7207845198
Present Organization Name	: Deloitte
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: private
Designation	: Satware Engineer : Hyderabad
Present Location	: Hydurabad
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:
Year of Admission	2016
Name of the Programme	: B'Tech
Institute Name	GNITS
	We will be also assesses
 Rate the adequateness of the cour	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus co	ntent to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
(a) Excellent (b) Very Good	(c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []

- 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []
- 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor []

S,	Rate the instit	ute's laboratory an				posure.	
	(A) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(c) Poor		8
6.	Rate the offeri	ng of electives in re	lation to tech	nology advance	ements.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	t	3
7,	Rate the desig	n of the courses in	terms of extra	learning or se	lf-learning.		
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		1	1
				59			
8.	Rate the train	ing offered by the F	lacement cell	in getting amp	le placement o	pportunitie	cs.
	(a) Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
9.	Rate the comp	petence and suppor	t offered by th	e Faculty.			
	(a) Excellent	Jb¶ Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average	(e) Poor	1	1
10	.Rate the insti	tute's support and	contribution fo	or the overall d	evelopment of	students.	
	Ja Excellent	(b) Very Good	(c) Good	(d) Average		1	1
	Any other Su	ggestions (please	Specify				

.

Good Currentum

 ~ 2

Signature

Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science(For Women)

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: B.Tech IT: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf</u>

B.Tech CST: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf M.Tech CNIS: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Name *
Himasree
Name of the Organization/Institution *
Kitolit
Designation *
CEO
Higher Education *
Btech
Experience *
15

Mail ID

himasree@kitolit.com

Phone Number *

9000670473

Program Name: *				
B.Tech	•			

B.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>

IT •			

M.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>

Department *				
Choose	•			

Feedback

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *
• Excellent
O Very Good
O Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum. *

	Excellent
0	Very Good
0	Good
0	Average
\bigcirc	Poor

Rate the depth of the curriculum. *
O Excellent
Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability. *
O Excellent
Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor

Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum. *
• Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):
This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science(For Women)

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: B.Tech IT: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf</u>

B.Tech CST: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf M.Tech CNIS: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Name * Ashwini Daroga Name of the Organization/Institution * PwC Designation * Senior Associate Higher Education * MBA Experience * 15years

Mail ID
Phone Number * 8886233992
Program Name: *
B.Tech 💌
B.Tech
This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>
Department *
IT •
M.Tech
This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>
Department *
Choose 💌

Feedback

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *
• Excellent
O Very Good
O Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum. *

	Excellent			
0	Very Good			
0	Good			
0	Average			
\bigcirc	Poor			

Rate the depth of the curriculum. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society. *
Excellent
O Very Good
O Good
O Average
O Poor

Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment. *
• Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum. *
• Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):
This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.
This content is nether oreated for endorsed by boogle.

Google Forms

Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science(For Women)

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: B.Tech IT: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf</u>

B.Tech CST: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf M.Tech CNIS: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Name *

Kondaveeti Sravyareddy

Name of the Organization/Institution *

Inovalon India pvt ltd

Designation *

Principle Software Enginerr

Higher Education *

B Tech

Experience *

7yrs

Mail ID

kondaveeti.sravya@gmail.com

Phone Number *

8712319874

Program Name: *
B.Tech

B.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>

Department *				
IT	•			

M.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>

Department *				
Choose	•			

Feedback

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum. Syllabus Link: <u>https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/</u>

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *		
• Excellent		
O Very Good		
O Good		
O Average		
O Poor		
Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum. *		

	Excellent
0	Very Good
0	Good
0	Average
\bigcirc	Poor

Rate the depth of the curriculum. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability. *
Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society. *
Excellent
O Very Good
O Good
O Average
O Poor

Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment. *
• Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum. *
• Excellent
O Very Good
Good
O Average
O Poor
Any other suggestion(s):
This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.
This content is nether oreated for endorsed by boogle.

Google Forms



G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women) (Autonomous) Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104 Curriculum Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year : 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date: 24-4-23

K. SIVARAMAIAH Name:

Name of the Organization/Institution JP MORGAN

Designation: TECH LEAD

Higher Education: B-TECH

Experience: 15 YEARS

Mail ID: Sivaramaioh. Kaveti @ gmail.com

Phone No: 7989902568

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent (b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent (b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

by very good c) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

b) very good ~c/ good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor a) Excellent

Any other Suggestions:

To meet the current industry renvironments Subjects like Fuel Stack Development/ Signature: perops should be included

