

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (for Women)

Shaikpet, Hyderabad-500104

Curriculum _ Alumni Feedback (M.Tech)

Name of the Alumni: K. Pranjith

Date: 10-04-2022

Department: ETE, NMC

Father's Name: K. Kandham

DOB (DD/MM/YYYY): 26/08/1996

Year of Passing: 2021

Permanent Address: Duvvaka Nagar Shaikpet

Mobile No: 7893727988

Present Organization Name: -

Designation: -

Present Location: Hyderabad.

Field of working: -

Higher Education: PG Diploma in Software Engineer in Embedded Systems

Higher Education-Year of Admission: Feb-2021

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): PG Diploma programme of 6 Months.

Name of the Institute: NIELIT - Chennai

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the sufficiency of the syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the Industry challenges/requirements.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions: It is better to include subjects on latest technologies.

Signature:

Pranjith



G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)
(AUTONOMOUS)
Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104

DEPARTMENT of ELECTRONICS and TELEMATICS ENGINEERING

Curriculum_Employer Feedback (M.Tech)

Dt: 06 - 04 - 2022

Name: V BHASKARA REDDY
Name of the Organization/Institution Hindustan AeroNautics Ltd. (HAL)
Designation: Senior Manager (SM)
Higher Education: M-Tech
Experience: 17 years
Mail ID: bhaskar-hlo@yahoocoin
Phone No: 9959966861

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
 a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
 a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
 a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor
7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
 a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

Include Subjects related to latest Technologies IOT, AI & ML
and 5G etc

Signature:

V. Bhaskara Reddy



G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (for Women)
Shaikpet, Hyderabad-500104
Curriculum _Student Feedback (M.Tech)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND TELEMATICS ENGINEERING

Name of the Student: Shivayola Gishma

Date: 10/4/2022

Email: shivayolagishma@gmail.com

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Roll Number: 20251D6507

Mobile No: 9000306837

Year/Semester: II M.Tech 1st semester

Name of the Programme(B.Tech/M.Tech): M.Tech

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions: It is better to include all the programming languages like AI and ML.

S. Gishma
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)

Curriculum Faculty Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Department: ETE

Date: 04-04-2022

Program Name: M.Tech

Name of the Faculty: V. VIKAS

Designation: Asst. Professor

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you)
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
 No Burden Moderate Burden High Burden Over Burden
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
11. Any other suggestion(s):

It would be better if the curriculum includes hands on sessions in areas like cyber security, LabVIEW, Packet tracer, telecommunications.


Signature