G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CNIS

Program Name : M.Tech

Name of the Student : MACHERLA SWETHA

Academic Year : 2022-23

Year/Semester : II – II Sem

Roll Number : 21251D7801

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

More elective choices to be given.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CNIS

Program Name : M. Tech

Name of the Student : MUKKU BHAVANA

Academic Year : 2022-23.

Year/Semester : II yr II sem.

Roll Number : 21251D7802.

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Better to have online classes.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : CNIS , IT dept.

Program Name : M. Tech (CNIS)

Name of the Student : Syeda Lableba Hasan

Academic Year : 2022-23

Year/Semester : 2nd yr II sem.

Roll Number : 21251D7803

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Better if we include recent technologies.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : M.Tech (CNIS)

Name of the Student : Anumulapati Priyanka

Academic Year : 2022-23

Year/Semester : IIyr - II sem

Roll Number : 2125107704

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

- More Internships should be provided.
  Thankyou.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : M Tech

Name of the Student : M Roshini Rachel

Academic Year : 2022-2023

Year/Semester : I/II II sem

Roll Number : 2125107805

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

provide more companies for placements.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : M.Tech (CNIS)

Name of the Student : M. JAHNAVI

Academic Year : 2022-23

Year/Semester : II - II sem

Roll Number : 2125107806

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Provide more elective choices.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : M.tech (Cnis)

Name of the Student : Bucherla Matam Vaishnavi

Academic Year : 2022-23

Year/Semester : II / II sem

Roll Number : 4251D7808

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Provide more Internships and more companies for placements.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : CNIS (M.Tech)

Name of the Student : M. Priyanka

Academic Year : 2022-23

Year/Semester : I) II sem

Roll Number : 2025D7809

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Can provide extra learning practical.

M. Priyanka
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum_Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : MTech (CNIS)

Name of the Student : Munazza Khan

Academic Year : 2022-23

Year/Semester : II - II Sem.

Roll Number : 2125 1D7810

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
    (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

More elective Choosing.

few

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : M.Tech (CNIS)

Name of the Faculty : B.V.Prasad Babu

Academic Year : 2022-23

Designation : Associate Professor

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Few subjects related to current technologies added it will be good

Date: 21-4-23

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : M.Tech (CNIS)

Name of the Faculty : P.N.Ramya

Academic Year : 2022-23

Designation : Asst. Prof.

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average ✓   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Provide more subjects to do projects

Date: 21-4-23

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : Information Technology

Program Name : M.Tech CNIS

Name of the Faculty : M. Deepthi

Academic Year : 2022-23

Designation : Assistant professor

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a)Excellent ✓   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a)Excellent ✓   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

can provide more security Related Subjects with practical orientation

Date: 21-4-23

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : M. Tech (CNIS)

Name of the Faculty : K. Jayasree

Academic Year : 2022 -23

Designation : Assistant Professor

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average ✓   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good ✓   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a)Excellent   b) Very Good ✓   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Can Add Subjects related to technologies helpful in getting placements.

Date: 21-4-23

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : IT

Program Name : M.Tech (CNIS)

Name of the Faculty : Dr. V. Sesha Bhargavi

Academic Year : 2022-23

Designation : Asst. Professor

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    a) Excellent   b) Very Good   c) Good   d) Average   e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Add few courses which can help students to do their projects and in placements

Date: 21-04-23

Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: CNIS
Name of the Alumnae	: P. Sushma Reddy
Father's Name	: P. venKateshwarlu
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 12-11-1998
Year of Passing	: 2020
Permanent Address	: Bandlaguda, HYDERABAD
Email-ID	: Sushmareddy961@gmail.com
Mobile Number	: 8247296314
Present Organization Name	: cognizant
Present Organization Type	: Self-Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: Private
Designation	: Programmer.
Present Location	:
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:_____
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:_____
Year of Admission	:
Name of the Programme	:
Institute Name	:

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent ✓   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good ✓   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent ✓   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good ✓   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

More Industry Related Subjects and Concepts Should be added

P. s--

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)

Department	: CNIS
Name of the Alumnae	: Vaishnavi . L
Father's Name	: L. Ravindra kumar
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	: 06-10-1994
Year of Passing	: 2020
Permanent Address	: H.NO-13-1-1146/A/29 mahalakshmi Nagar, Nampally, Hyd - 500006
Email-ID	: Vaishnavi.lakadarams@gmail.com
Mobile Number	: 8919170246
Present Organization Name	: ISL Eng college.
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ ✓ Other:_____
Designation	: Asst Prof
Present Location	: Hydrabad
Field of working	: Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ Administration/ Other:__Teaching__
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:_____
Year of Admission	:
Name of the Programme	:
Institute Name	:

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent ✓ (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent ✓ (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good ✓ (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent (b) Very Good ✓ (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Add more subjects which hupp in doing Projects

Vaishnav
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
<u>Alumnae Curriculum Feedback (GNR-18)</u>

Department : CNIS

Name of the Alumnae : K NEELIMA

Father's Name : K VENKATESH

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 10-10-1998

Year of Passing : 2020

Permanent Address : 1-71, Near Busstop, Manchirial, Hyd.

Email-ID : neelimakamal@gmail.com

Mobile Number : 8074180952

Present Organization Name : Tele Performance

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/ Other: private

Designation : Analyst

Present Location : Hyderabad.

Field of working : Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/ ✓ Administration/ Other:_____

Higher Education : Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/ Other:_____

    Year of Admission :

    Name of the Programme :

    Institute Name :

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average (e) Poor

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

8. Rate the training offered by the Placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.

   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the Faculty.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

PLEASE ADD MORE SKILL BASED LEARNING

Neelima
Signature

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback

Please check the course structure and syllabus and give your suggestions.

BTech IT Syllabus: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
B.Tech CST Syllabus: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
M.Tech CNIS Syllabus: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Department *

CNIS

Name of the Alumnae *

K Ramya Sri

Father's name *

K Surya Bhaskar Reddy

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) *

MM DD YYYY

08 / 31 / 1993

PERMANENT ADDRESS *

420b , kondapur raghavendra colony 500084

EMAIL ID *

33ramyasri@gmail.com

MOBILE NUMBER *

9032627896

Present Organization Name *

Gnits

Present Organization Type *

○ self employed

○ Public sector

◉ Academics

○ Other: _____

Designation *

Asst Professor

present location *

Hyderabad

Field of working *

◯ core

◯ ITindustry

◯ Inter Disciplinary

◯ Administration

◉ Other: Teaching

Higher education *

◉ Master's Degree

◯ Ph.D

◯ Not Applicable

◯ Other: _____

Year of Admission

_____

Name of the Program

_____

Institute Name

_____

Rate the adequate of the course offered in the program *

- 🔘 Excellent
- ⭕ Very Good
- ⭕ Good
- ⭕ Average
- ⭕ Poor

Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge gap between academics and industry *

- 🔘 Excellent
- ⭕ Very Good
- ⭕ Good
- ⭕ Average
- ⭕ Poor

Rate the curriculum in relation to the current professional standards *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the skills acquired in the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the institutes laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure *

- ○ Excellent
- ◉ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the offering of electives in relation to the technology and advancements *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the training offered by the placement cell in getting ample of placements opportunities *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the competence and support offered by the faculty *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of the students *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Any other suggestions (Please Specify) *

More external workshops for students would be beneficial

Google Forms

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback

Please check the course structure and syllabus and give your suggestions.

BTech IT Syllabus: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
B.Tech CST Syllabus: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
M.Tech CNIS Syllabus: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Department *

IT (CNIS)

Name of the Alumnae *

Ramagiri Usha Rani

Father's name *

Venkateshwarlu

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) *

MM DD YYYY

01 / 26 / 1999

PERMANENT ADDRESS *

6-1-956, Nalgonda

EMAIL ID *

usharamagiri99@gmail.com

MOBILE NUMBER *

8074177287

Present Organization Name *

Cognizant technology solutions

Present Organization Type *

○ self employed

○ Public sector

○ Academics

◉ Other: It

Designation *

Programmer Analyst

present location *

Hyderabad

Field of working *

○ core

◉ IT Industry

○ Inter Disciplinary

○ Administration

○ Other:

Higher education *

◉ Master's Degree

○ Ph.D

○ Not Applicable

○ Other:

Year of Admission

2020

Name of the Program

CNIS

Institute Name

GNITS

Rate the adequate of the course offered in the program *

- ● Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge gap between academics and industry *

- ● Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

ate the curriculum in relation to the current professional standards *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the skills acquired in the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the institutes laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the offering of electives in relation to the technology and advancements *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the training offered by the placement cell in getting ample of placements opportunities *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the competence and support offered by the faculty *

- ◉ Excellent
- ◯ Very Good
- ◯ Good
- ◯ Average
- ◯ Poor

Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of the students *

- ◉ Excellent
- ◯ Very Good
- ◯ Good
- ◯ Average
- ◯ Poor

Any other suggestions (Please Specify) *

No suggestion

Google Forms

G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)
(Autonomous)
Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104
Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year : 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date:

Name: P. Sreedhar

Name of the Organization/Institution Cognizant

Designation: Project Manager

Higher Education: MCA

Experience: 18 years

Mail ID: Sachinsree@gmail.com

Phone No: 9642669898

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

The ciriculm is good and balances fundamentds and recent technolgies

Signature:

G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)
(Autonomous)
Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104
Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum) M.Tech
Academic Year : 2022-23
DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date: 24-4-23

Name: K. SIVARAMAIAH

Name of the Organization/Institution JP MORGAN

Designation: TECH LEAD

Higher Education: B.TECH

Experience: 15 Years

Mail ID: Sivaramaiah.kaveti@gmail.com

Phone No: 7989902568

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

   a) Excellent   b) very good ✓   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good ✓   d) Average   e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good ✓   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

   a) Excellent   b) very good ✓   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

   a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good ✓   d) Average   e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

   a) Excellent   b) very good ✓   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

   a) Excellent   b) very good ✓   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

Agile software development, and current industry requirements like Data science, Deep learning courses Should be inclu

Signature:

Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year : 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Date:

Name: Sreedhar Sampath

Name of the Organization/Institution Apple, Tenas, U.S.

Designation: Sr. Principal Engg / Manager.

Higher Education: MS

Experience: 20 yrs

Mail ID: Sreedhar.Sampath @ gmail.com.

Phone No: +1 (484) 919 5676

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent   b) very good   ✓) good   d) Average   e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent   b) very good   ✓) good   d) Average   e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent   ✓) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

a) Excellent   ✓) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

a) Excellent   b) very good   ✓) good   d) Average   e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

a) Excellent   ✓) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent   b) very good   c) good   d) Average   e) poor

Any other Suggestions: Elective could increase.

Signature:

Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science(For Women)

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link:
B.Tech IT: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
B.Tech CST: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
M.Tech CNIS: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Name *

Himasree

Name of the Organization/Institution *

Kitolit

Designation *

CEO

Higher Education *

Btech

Experience *

15

Experience *

15

Mail ID

himasree@kitolit.com

Phone Number *

9000670473

Program Name: *

B.Tech   ▼

     B.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Department *

IT   ▼

     M.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.

Rate the depth of the curriculum. *

○ Excellent

◉ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability. *

○ Excellent

◉ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Rate the worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society. *

◉ Excellent

○ Very Good

○ Good

○ Average

○ Poor

Feedback

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *

- ⦿ Excellent
- ◯ Very Good
- ◯ Good
- ◯ Average
- ◯ Poor

Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum. *

- ⦿ Excellent
- ◯ Very Good
- ◯ Good
- ◯ Average
- ◯ Poor

Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment. *

⦿ Excellent

◯ Very Good

◯ Good

◯ Average

◯ Poor

Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum. *

⦿ Excellent

◯ Very Good

◯ Good

◯ Average

◯ Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

_____

Google Forms

Curriculum_Employer_Feedback

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science(For Women)

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link:
B.Tech IT: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/it-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
B.Tech CST: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cst-book-4-3-2023-112.pdf
M.Tech CNIS: https://www.gnits.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/cnis-book-4-3-2023-108.pdf

Name *

Ashwini Daroga

Name of the Organization/Institution *

PwC

Designation *

Senior Associate

Higher Education *

MBA

Experience *

15years

Mail ID

Phone Number *

8886233992

Program Name: *

B.Tech ▼

B.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Department *

IT ▼

M.Tech

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Department *

Choose ▼

Feedback

This Feedback is being collected for GNR-18 Curriculum.
Syllabus Link: https://www.gnits.ac.in/academics/syllabus/

Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. *

🔘 Excellent

⭕ Very Good

⭕ Good

⭕ Average

⭕ Poor

Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum. *

🔘 Excellent

⭕ Very Good

⭕ Good

⭕ Average

⭕ Poor

Rate the depth of the curriculum. *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability. *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the worth of syllabus in catering to the needs of industry/society. *

- ◉ Excellent
- ○ Very Good
- ○ Good
- ○ Average
- ○ Poor

Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment. *

🔘 Excellent

⭕ Very Good

⭕ Good

⭕ Average

⭕ Poor

Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum. *

🔘 Excellent

⭕ Very Good

⭕ Good

⭕ Average

⭕ Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

_____

Google Forms