

Curriculum Parent Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum) Academic Year: 2021-2022

Fa O M O P	other's Name: ccupation: / lother's Name: ccupation: / ermanent Addi	N Mark test Supervi N Grace lome Maker ress: (-277)	Janjuna Grad gravl com Prabhaleax sor, Ahoffi Mary Ahary Ahoffony,	Mobile No: (1, Hyd Mobile No: Hydwabad	Date: 18/5/2022 9949902705 9550122005
1.	Rate the progra	m that your ward	is undergoing in ter	ms of the workloa	d of the courses in
	different Semes Excellent		Good	□ Average	
2	Rate the quality	and relevance of	the courses include	d in the semester.	
۷.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Myverage	
3.	Program in relat	tion to the compet	institute Rate the election encies expected by Good	Average	giodal scenarios.
4.	Rate the treatm	ent of the studen	ts by the faculty irre	espective of the b	ackground of the
٠.	student that inc	ludes Gender, cas	te, community cree	ed etc. in teaching	and evaluation
	Excellent	☑Very Good	Good	Average	
_	Pate the transpa	arency of the evalu	nation system follow	wed by the institu	te.
5.	Excellent	 Very Good	Good	Average	
6	Rate the Outcon	nes that your ward	I has achieved from	the course.	
6.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	
7.		in terms of their I	elevance to the lat	est technologies	or future
	technologies. Excellent			Good	Average
8.	Rate the overall	facilities available	at the institute cor	tributing towards	your wards' self-growth.
٥.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	

9.	 Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements. 							
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	☐ Average				
10.	Rate the transfo Excellent	rmation of your wa	ard after the com Good_Average	pletion of the course.				
OH	Any other sugge Accommedur Lopius	estion(s): n is good can be Y-	but for educed as	Some subjects of they are very	some lengthy			
				N. J.	NY-			



Curriculum Parent Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum) Academic Year: 2021-2022

Fi O N O P	mail: Prasade ather's Name: ccupation: Praction: Moermanent Address (Semester:	ess: Rajdeep	(· com	nda	9948 9848	3988199
1.	Rate the program		undergoing in term	s of the worklo	oad of the	courses in
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average		
2.	Rate the quality	and relevance of tl	he courses included	in the semeste	r.	
3.		-	institute Rate the de encies expected by in Good			
4.			s by the faculty irres e, community creed Good		•	
5.	Rate the transpa	rency of the evaluation	ation system followe	ed by the instit	ute.	
6.	Rate the Outcom	nes that your ward Wery Good	has achieved from t	he course. Average		
7.	Rate the courses technologies.	in terms of their r	elevance to the late	st technologie	s or futur	e
	Excellent		Very Good	Good	I	Average
8.			at the institute cont	ributing towar	ds your w	vards' self-growth.
\	Excellent	Very Good	☐Good	Average		

9.	Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.					
	Excellent	□ Very Good	Good	 ■ Average		
10.	Rate the transfe	or mation of your w a		npletion of the course.		
				_		
	Any other sugg					
	Circulum	is good 1	and satisfer	(hoy.		
				D. Raghu		
				Signature		

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum_Faculty Feedback

D	epartment: (SE		,	
P	rogram Name:	BHECH	WT PEDON		
N	ame of the Faci	ulty: R.PALLF ssistant foo	Pageage		
U	esignation: #\@	sistant 180	1850		
1.	Rate the structur	re of the curriculum	framed for the enti	re program.	
	Excellent	□ Very Good	Good	Average	
_	<u>.</u>			and the disconnection by	
2.				es provided in the curriculum. Average	
	☐ Excellent	Very Good	Good	- WAGIORG	
3.		of the syllabus for the ent global scenarios		n to the competencies expected	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	
	_	_ ·			
4.			ules in the course. (1		
\	Excellent	☐ Very Good	Good	Average	
5.	Rate the distribut	tion of credits in the	Program.		
•	Excellent	☐ Very Good	Good	Average	
•					
6.	•			s mentioned in the syllabus.	
	Excellent	☐ Very Good	Good	Average	
7.	Rate the potentia	al of the students in	understanding the o	course objectives.	
	Excellent	□ Very Good	Good	Average	
_					
8.	•	Moderate Bu	rses in terms of burd		
	No Burden	Widderate Bu	urden 🗌 Higi	n Burden Over Burden	
9.	Rate the experim	ent list in stimulatin	g the interest of stu	dents in the project.	
	☐ Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	
10.		Very Good	in terms of profession Good		
		Very Good	G000	Average	
11.	Any other sugges				
	Industre	1 Collabora	ated activi	ties and Gusses	_
	(ain be	1 introdu	Ced a		_

Hallauseday 17 9 2021

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women) Curriculum Faculty Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2020-2021

.P N	epartment: Crogram Name: ame of the Facesignation:	SE Bitech sulty: RiMamo 15ti Professio	atha 1	Date: 12 7 2021
1.		re of the curriculum Very Good	framed for the enti	re program. Average
2.		riateness of the seq Very Good		es provided in the curriculum. Average
3.	by industry/Curr	of the syllabus for the ent global scenarios Very Good	s.	n to the competencies expected Average
4.	Rate the sequen Excellent	ce of the units/mod	lules in the course. (Taught by you) Average
5.		tion of credits in the Very Good		Average
6.		teness of textbooks Very Good		s mentioned in the syllabus. Average
7.	/ .	al of the students in Very Good	understanding the	course objectives. Average
8.	Rate the syllabus No Burden	content for the cou Moderate B	urses in terms of bur urden	den on students. h Burden Dver Burden
9.	Rate the experim Excellent	ent list in stimulatir \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ng the interest of stu	udents in the project. Average
10.	Rate the contribu Excellent	tion of the courses Very Good	in terms of professi Good	onal core area. Average
11.	Any other sugges Advanced like onl	ition(s): Courses (as ine Sustrucc	be Introdu	sed though NPIEL



Curriculum Student Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum) Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Name of the St	udent: K.Pogr hachemikala	ha	Date: 7 €	6/2
Email: poogit	nachemikala	agmalle om		
Academic Year:	18-22	3		
Roll Number: (8251A0603			
Mobile No: 93				
Year/Semester:	412			
	ogramme (B.Tech	n/M.Tech): 🖒 S	٦٠,	
	enging was the sylla			
Excellent	☐ Very Good	Good	Average	
2 Pate the annro	nriatonoss of the se	auences of the cou	rses provided in the curricului	m.
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	
	very dood			
3. Rate the depth	of the syllabus for t	he Program in relat	ion to the competencies expe	cted
	rrent global scenario			
Excellent	☐ Very Good	Good	Average	
	£ 41 '4- a / a	dules in the source		
	nce of the units/mo Very Good		☐ Average	
Excellent	yery dood			
5. Rate the adequ	ateness of textbook	s and reference bo	oks mentioned in the syllabus	
Excellent	☐ Very Good	Good	Average	
_			. I	
	ng of electives in rela		Average	
Excellent	Very Good	Good		
7 Pate the design	of the courses in te	rms of extra learni	ng or self-learning.	
Excellent	☐ Very Good	Good	Average	
—			_	
8. Rate the flexibi			to technology advancements.	
Excellent		Good	Average	
		offering LAB comp	onents	
9. Rate the percer ✓ Excellent	ntage of the courses Very Good	Good	Average	
Excellent				
Any other sugg	estion(s):		c A1 to 1	
More	Industry S	pecific sub	bjects should be	
	influde a.			



Curriculum Student Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum) Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Name of the Student: VANGA ALEKHYA Email: vangaalekhya2001@gmail.com Academic Year: 2021-2022 Roll Number: 18251A05H8 Mobile No: 6303849926 Year/Semester: IV / II Semesten Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): B.	Date: 28/05/2022
Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the ✓ Excellent	e courses. Average
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the co ☑ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☐ Good	ourses provided in the curriculum. Average
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in rel by industry/Current global scenarios. Excellent Very Good Good	Average
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the cours Excellent Very Good Good	e. Average
5. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference b Excellent Very Good Good	ooks mentioned in the syllabus. Average
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technolog Excellent Very Good Good	y advancements. Average
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learn Very Good Good	ing or self-learning. Average
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation Excellent	n to technology advancements. Average
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB comp	oonents. Average
Any other suggestion(s): Final years should be given of internships.	len move provision

V. AleKh Signature



Curriculum Employer Feedback (for GNR-18 Curricul Department of Computer Science and Engineerin

Employ

Name: SONY SALAVADI	Date: 2108 22
Name of the Organization/Institution: Booking	Stall.
Designation: Par India Manager	
Name: SONY SALAVADI Name of the Organization/Institution: Booking Designation: Pan India Manager Higher Education: M. Tech - Computer Suc Experience: 3 years Mail ID: Sonysalavadi@gmail.com Phone No: 9618 515 838	nce
Experience: 2 1424	
Mail ID: Sonesalavadi @ gmail. com	
Phone No: 96/4 5) 5 6 34	
(b(b = 13 g 3b	
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the p	program.
Excellent Very Good Good	Average
	culum
2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curri	Average
Excellent Very Good Good	
3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.	
Excellent Very Good Good	Average
_	
4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing emplo	yability. Average
Excellent Very Good Good	
5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of	findustry/society.
Excellent Very Good Good	Average
	. / dia a a towards recruitment
6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the studer	Average
Excellent Very Good Good	
7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity	in the curriculum.
7. Rate the provision of invalidating statement Good Good	Average
	. —
	`
Any other suggestion(s): Need to give in for 2nd B Tech year studen	nternship oppurlunity
and a Tech was etuden	Is · · · · · · · · · · ·
- Joy de la fette state	
<i>V</i>	

Govy Signature



Curriculum Employer Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum) Academic Year: 2021-2022

Name of the Organization:	Name: Suma Protection: Deeploop Designation: (F) Higher Education: 5. Tech Experience: 5. Tech						
Mail ID: Surya	grakashedeep	og.tech					
1. Rate the adequa Excellent	teness of the course Very Good	es offered in the pro	ogram. Average				
2. Rate the Opport Excellent	tunity for developing Very Good		ulum. Average				
3. Rate the depth	of the curriculum. Very Good	Good	☐ Average				
4. Rate the relevant Excellent	nce of the course for Very Good		ability. Average				
/	of syllabus in creating Very Good		ndustry/society. Average				
	,	bus for the student Good	ts' readiness towards recruitment. Average	•			
7. Rate the provis Excellent	ion of inculcating st Very Good	udents' creativity in Good Average					
Any other sugg	Any other suggestion(s): Pre-installation of Software.						



Curriculum Alumnae Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum) Academic Year: 2021-2022

	205
Department	: CSE : Horunaling Reddy
Name of the Alumnae	: Howalla
Father's Name	: Toogdeshwan Reddy
DOB (DD/MM/YYYY)	: 01/07/2000 colony
Year of Passing	: 7010 30 James
Permanent Address	: 4013 -1-73, 12, and dagree apoint
	nogole
Email-ID	: dereddynseerolinia grod. com
Mobile Number	: 897701536 ³
Present Organization Name	: Novositis
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/
	Other: Private Sector
Designation	: Hospiate Analyst
Present Location	: Hyd
Field of Working	: Core/ Inter-Disciplinary/IT Industry/
	Administration/other
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/Ph.D/Not Applicable/ : Other:
Year of Admission	:
Name of the Programme	:
Institute Name	: , , ,
1. Rate the adequateness of the co	
Excellent Very Good	Good Average
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus of	ontent to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
Excellent Very Good	Good Average
	your current professional standards. Good Average
Excellent Very Good	GoodAverage
4. Rate the skills acquired from the	curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
Excellent Very Good	Gcod Average

5. Rate the unstitu	ite's laboratory and	l equipment ad	equateness for practical exposure. Average	
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	
6. Rate the offerin	g of electives in relatives	ation to techno Good	logy advancements. Average	
7. Rate the design Excellent	of the courses in te	erms of extra le	arning or self-learning. Average	
8. Rate the training Excellent	g and placement ce Wery Good	II in getting am ☐Good	ple placement opportunities. []Average	
9. Rate the compet	☐Very Good	☐Good	Average	
10. Rate the institute	e's support and cor	ntribution for th	ne overall development of students.	
Excellent	☐Very Good	Good	Average	
Any other sugges	tion(s):			
wester tools	like tables	u jomis	to si more At related	
(Jours	Com be	Whogue	lea.	

Muralli



Curriculum Alumnae Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum) Academic Year: 2021-2022

Department	: Computer Science and Engineering				
Name of the Alumnae	: Venna Sai Rachana				
Father's Name	: Venna Buchí Reddy				
DOB (DD/MM/YYYY)	: 22/10/1998				
Year of Passing	: 2020				
Permanent Address	: 8-7-93/NE/37, Hasthapuram No				
	Extension, near Santhoshimathe				
	temple, L.B. Nagar, Hyderabad.				
Email-ID	: Venna saisrachana gmail com				
Mobile Number	: 9491880346				
Present Organization Name	: Micron Technology				
Present Organization Type	: Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/				
	Other:				
Designation	: Software Englineer				
Present Location	: Hyderabad .				
Field of Working	: Core/ Inter-Disciplinary/IT Industry/				
	Administration/other				
Higher Education	: Master's Degree/Ph.D/Not Applicable/ : Other:				
Year of Admission	: 2023				
Name of the Programme	: Marters in Computer Science				
Institute Name	: Planning to study in the US				
	V				
1. Rate the adequateness of the co	<u> </u>				
☐ Excellent ☐ Very Good	☐Good ☐Average				
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.					
☐Excellent ☐Very Good	☐Good ☐Average				
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to	o your current professional standards.				
Excellent • ✓ Very Good	☐Good ☐Average				
4. Rate the skills acquired from the	curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.				
Excellent Very Good	Good Average				

			equipment adequate Good ion to technology ac	ness for practical exposure. Average dvancements.			
	Excellent	Very Good	∑300d				
_	7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.						
	■ Excellent	Very Good	™Goog				
•	3. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.						
8.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average			
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.							
Э.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average			
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.							
10	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average			
Any other suggestion(s): Security coding using Demisto tool would be an added advantage. Swagger & Postman tools knowledge would help with API'S development.							