



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)**

**Curriculum Parent Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2021-2022**

Name of the Student: N Sanjuna Grace Date: 18/5/2022
Email: sanjunagracc25118@gmail.com
Father's Name: N Mare Prabhakar Mobile No: 9949902705
Occupation: Asst. Supervisor, A.G. office, Hyd
Mother's Name: N Grace Mary Mobile No: 9550122005
Occupation: Home Maker
Permanent Address: C-277, A.G. colony, Hyderabad.
Year/Semester: III / II ✓
Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): B.Tech

1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, caste, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the Outcomes that your ward has achieved from the course.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards' self-growth.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

10. Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

overall Curriculum is good, but for some subjects, some topics can be reduced as they are very lengthy

N. Manoj
Signature



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)**

**Curriculum Parent Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2021-2022**

Name of the Student: P. Sini Naidu

Date: 26/5/22

Email: Prasadamsini@gmail.com

Father's Name: P. Raghav

Mobile No: 9948812322

Occupation: Private Employee

Mother's Name: Sree Rekha

Mobile No: 9848988199

Occupation: House wife

Permanent Address: Rajdeep villa, Marikonda

Year/Semester: III - I

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): Computer Science (Data Science)

1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, caste, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the Outcomes that your ward has achieved from the course.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards' self-growth.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.

Excellent

Very Good

Good

Average

10. Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course.

Excellent

Very Good

Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

Curriculum is good and satisfactory.

P. Rajan
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)

Curriculum_Faculty Feedback

Department: CSE

Program Name: B.Tech

Name of the Faculty: R. PALLAVI REDDY

Designation: Assistant Professor

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you)
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
 No Burden Moderate Burden High Burden Over Burden
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
11. Any other suggestion(s):

Industry Collaborated activities and Courses
can be introduced.

R. Pallavi Reddy
(Signature) 17/9/2020

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2020-2021

Department: CSE
Program Name: B.Tech
Name of the Faculty: R. Mamatha
Designation: Asst. Professor

Date: 12/7/2021

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you)
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
 No Burden Moderate Burden High Burden Over Burden
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

11. Any other suggestion(s):

Advanced courses can be introduced through NPTEL like online resources.


Signature



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)**

**Curriculum Student Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Department of Computer Science and Engineering**

Name of the Student: K. Poojitha
Email: poojitha.chemikala@gmail.com
Academic Year: 18-22
Roll Number: 18251A0503
Mobile No: 9381424051
Year/Semester: 4/2
Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): C.S.E

Date: 7/06/22

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

More Industry Specific subjects should be included.

Poojitha
Signature



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)**

Curriculum Student Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Name of the Student: VANGA ALEKHYA

Date: 28/05/2022

Email: vangaalekhya2001@gmail.com

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Roll Number: 18251A05H8

Mobile No: 6303849926

Year/Semester: IV / II semester

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): B.Tech

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

5. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

Final years should be given more provision
of internships.

V. Alekhya
Signature



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)**

**Curriculum Employer Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Department of Computer Science and Engineering**

Employ

Name: **SONY SALAVADI**

Date: **21 08 2021**

Name of the Organization/Institution: **BookMyStall.in**

Designation: **Pan India Manager**

Higher Education: **M.Tech - Computer Science**

Experience: **3 years**

Mail ID: **sonysalavadi@gmail.com**

Phone No: **9618 515 838**

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

**Need to give internship opportunity
for 2nd B.Tech year students.**

Sony
Signature



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)**

Curriculum Employer Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Name: Suma Prakash
Name of the Organization/Institution: Deeploop
Designation: CEO
Higher Education: B.Tech
Experience: 8 years
Mail ID: suryaprakash@deeploop.tech
Phone No:

Date: 21/5/22

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

Pre-installation of softwares.
Introduce to metaverse

Suma
Signature



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Alumnae Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2021-2022**

Department : CSE
Name of the Alumnae : Mrunalini Reddy
Father's Name : Jagadeshwar Reddy
DOB (DD/MM/YYYY) : 01/07/2000
Year of Passing : 2022
Permanent Address : HNO: 12-1-23, Vijaya gardens colony,
nagole
Email-ID : derreddymrunalini@gmail.com
Mobile Number : 8977015363
Present Organization Name : Novartis
Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/
Other: Private Sector
Designation : Associate Analyst
Present Location : Hyd
Field of Working : Core/ Inter-Disciplinary/IT Industry/
Administration/other _____
Higher Education : Master's Degree/Ph.D/Not Applicable/ : Other:

Year of Admission :
Name of the Programme :
Institute Name :

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

useful tools like tableau, power BI more AI related
courses can be introduced.

Murali

Signature



**G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)**

**Curriculum Alumnae Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2021-2022**

Department : Computer Science and Engineering
Name of the Alumnae : Venna Sai Rachana
Father's Name : Venna Buchi Reddy
DOB (DD/MM/YYYY) : 22/10/1998
Year of Passing : 2020
Permanent Address : 8-7-93/NE/37, Hasthapuram North
Extension, near Santhoshimatha
temple, L.B. Nagar, Hyderabad.
Email-ID : venna.sairachana@gmail.com
Mobile Number : 9491880346
Present Organization Name : Micron Technology
Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/
Other: _____
Designation : Software Engineer
Present Location : Hyderabad
Field of Working : Core/ Inter-Disciplinary/IT Industry/
Administration/other _____
Higher Education : Master's Degree/Ph.D/Not Applicable/ : Other:

Year of Admission : 2023
Name of the Programme : Masters In Computer Science
Institute Name : Planning to study in the US

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.

Excellent Very Good Good Average

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average

Any other suggestion(s):

Security coding using Demisto tool would be an
added advantage. Swagger & Postman tools
knowledge would help with API's development.

SaiRachana
Signature