G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback - 2022

Department	:	Computer Science
Name of the Alumnae	:	Anusha Motamarri
Father's Name	:	M.Uma Maheswara Rao
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY)	:	28/08/1995
Year of Passing	:	2016
Permanent Address	:	Hyderabad
Email-ID	:	motamairianusha01@gmail.com
Mobile Number	:	919897171787
Present Organization Name	:	Infoworks

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/
Other: s/w Engineer

Designation	:	Senior SW Engineer
Present Location	:	Bangalore

Field of working :Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry ✓/
Administration/ Other:____01_____

Higher Education : Master's Degree ✓/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/
Other:_____

Year of Admission	:	2017
Name of the Programme	:	MTech
Institute Name	:	IIT Kanpur

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good ✓   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good ✓   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good ✓   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good ✓   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [   ]

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Data Analytics courses can be included to make students market ready.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Alumnae Curriculum Feedback - 2022

Department : Computer Science

Name of the Alumnae : Sony Salavadi

Father's Name : S. Vijay

DOB(DD/MM/YYYY) : 07.04.1997

Year of Passing : 2018

Permanent Address : D-18, HCU Staff Quarters, Gachibowli
500046

Email-ID : sonysalavadi@gmail.com

Mobile Number : 9618515838

Present Organization Name : Dell Technologies

Present Organization Type : Self Employed/ Public Sector/ Academics/
Other: Private

Designation : Inside Sales Rep - I

Present Location : Hyderabad

Field of working :Core/Inter-Disciplinary/ITIndustry/
Administration/ Other: Service

Higher Education : Master's Degree/ Ph.D./ Not Applicable/
Other:_____

Year of Admission : 2021

Name of the Programme : M.Tech

Institute Name : JNTUH

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

5. Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
   (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [b]

10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
    (a) Excellent   (b) Very Good   (c) Good   (d) Average   (e) Poor   [a]

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Early Internship oppurtunities should be added more from 2nd year.

Sony
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
### Academic Year: 2020-2021

Department: CSE
Program Name: M.Tech
Name of the Faculty: Dr. Raghanuredy K·V
Designation: Assoc Prof

Date: 14|8|2021

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   - ☑ Excellent
   - ☐ Very Good
   - ☐ Good
   - ☐ Average

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   - ☑ Excellent
   - ☐ Very Good
   - ☐ Good
   - ☐ Average

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   - ☑ Excellent
   - ☐ Very Good
   - ☐ Good
   - ☐ Average

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you)
   - ☑ Excellent
   - ☐ Very Good
   - ☐ Good
   - ☐ Average

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   - ☑ Excellent
   - ☐ Very Good
   - ☐ Good
   - ☐ Average

6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   - ☐ Excellent
   - ☑ Very Good
   - ☐ Good
   - ☐ Average

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   - ☑ Excellent
   - ☐ Very Good
   - ☐ Good
   - ☐ Average

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   - ☑ No Burden
   - ☐ Moderate Burden
   - ☐ High Burden
   - ☐ Over Burden

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   - ☑ Excellent
   - ☐ Very Good
   - ☐ Good
   - ☐ Average

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    - ☐ Excellent
    - ☑ Very Good
    - ☐ Good
    - ☐ Average

11. Any other suggestion(s):
    More trainings on Advanced Courses can be included.

Signature 14|8|2021

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2020-2021

Department: CSE   Date: 21/8/21

Program Name: M. Tech

Name of the Faculty: J. Padmavathi

Designation: Asst. Prof

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you)
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
   ☐ No Burden   ☑ Moderate Burden   ☐ High Burden   ☐ Over Burden

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
   ☐ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☑ Good   ☐ Average

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
    ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

11. Any other suggestion(s):
    Industry collaborated workshops can be included.

Signature

Name: K.Srikanth

Date: 13/10/2022

Name of the Organization/Institution: Smart Bridge

Designation: Machine Learning Engineer

Higher Education: MBA - Business Analytics & Marketing

Experience: 2 Years

Mail ID: Srikanth@.the smartbridge.com

Phone No: 9912022308

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

Any other suggestion(s):

Advanced courses can be introduced - industry specific courses.

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Employer Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2021-2022

Name: Ch. Mahidhar Reddy   Date: 13/00/2022

Name of the Organization/Institution: Smart Bridge

Designation: ML Engineer

Higher Education: M, Teen

Experience: 2 ycas

Mail ID: mahidhar @ thesmartbridge.com

Phone No: 9642330084

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
   ☑ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.
   ☑ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.
   ☑ Excellent   ☐ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.
   ☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

Any other suggestion(s):

_____
_____
_____

Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Student Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name of the Student: Tabassum Sultana   **Date:** 27/12/22

Email: tabassumsultana999@gmail.com

Academic Year: 2020-2022

Roll Number: 2025ID5808

Mobile No: 7680969656

Year/Semester: II Yr MTech

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): MTech

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   - ☐ Excellent ☑ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   - ☑ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   - ☐ Excellent ☑ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.
   - ☑ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

5. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   - ☐ Excellent ☑ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements .
   - ☐ Excellent ☑ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   - ☑ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☐ Good Average ☐

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   - ☐ Excellent ☑ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   - ☑ Excellent ☐ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

Any other suggestion(s):

   Internships can be improved.

Signature 27/12

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Student Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name of the Student: Javeriya Saleem   Date: 27/12/22

Email: javeriyaSaleem16 @ gmail.com

Academic Year: 2020- 2022

Roll Number: 20251D5805

Mobile No: 8686 288 360

Year/Semester: II year/ II -Sem

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): M.Tech

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
   - [ ] Excellent   - [x] Very Good   - [ ] Good   - [ ] Average

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
   - [ ] Excellent   - [x] Very Good   - [ ] Good   - [ ] Average

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   - [x] Excellent   - [ ] Very Good   - [ ] Good   - [ ] Average

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course.
   - [ ] Excellent   - [x] Very Good   - [ ] Good   - [ ] Average

5. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
   - [ ] Excellent   - [x] Very Good   - [ ] Good   - [ ] Average

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements .
   - [ ] Excellent   - [ ] Very Good   - [x] Good   - [ ] Average

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
   - [ ] Excellent   - [x] Very Good   - [ ] Good Average   - [ ]

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
   - [ ] Excellent   - [x] Very Good   - [ ] Good   - [ ] Average

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
   - [ ] Excellent   - [x] Very Good   - [ ] Good   - [ ] Average

Any other suggestion(s):
_____
_____
_____

Javeriya 27/12/22
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science
(For Women)
Curriculum Parent Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2021-2022

Name of the Student: VSai sri

Email: satyaev2@gmail.com

Father's Name: V. Ramesh

Occupation: Profenu

Mother's Name: V. Ramani

Occupation: Home malin.

Permanent Address:

Year/Semester: II-I

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech):

Date: 20/8/22

Mobile No:

Mobile No: 9949912132

1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [x] Good
   - [ ] Average

4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, caste, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

6. Rate the Outcomes that your ward has achieved from the course.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies.
   - [x] Excellent
   - [ ] VeryGood
   - [ ] Good
   - Average

8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards' self-growth.
   - [ ] Excellent
   - [x] Very Good
   - [ ] Good
   - [ ] Average

9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.

☐ Excellent   ☑ Very Good   ☐ Good   ☐ Average

10. Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course.

☑ Excellent   ☐ VeryGood   Good ☐ Average   ☐

Any other suggestion(s):

overall curriculum is good .

Signature

Name of the Student: A. Sravani   Date:

Email:

Father's Name: A. Srinivas Reddy   Mobile No: 8637675192

Occupation: Engineer.

Mother's Name: A. Srijana   Mobile No: 8637675198

Occupation: Home maker.

Permanent Address:

Year/Semester: II–II

Name of the Programme (B.Tech/M.Tech): ✓

1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different Semesters.
   - [ ] Excellent   [✓] Very Good   [ ] Good   [ ] Average

2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.
   - [ ] Excellent   [✓] Very Good   [ ] Good   [ ] Average

3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
   - [✓] Excellent   [ ] Very Good   [ ] Good   [ ] Average

4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, caste, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation
   - [✓] Excellent   [ ] Very Good   [ ] Good   [ ] Average

5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.
   - [✓] Excellent   [ ] Very Good   [ ] Good   [ ] Average

6. Rate the Outcomes that your ward has achieved from the course.
   - [ ] Excellent   [✓] Very Good   [ ] Good   [ ] Average

7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies.
   - [✓] Excellent   [ ]   [ ] VeryGood   [ ] Good   Average

8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards' self-growth.
   - [ ] Excellent   [✓] Very Good   [ ] Good   [ ] Average

9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.

☐ Excellent ☑ Very Good ☐ Good ☐ Average

10. Rate the transformation of your ward after the completion of the course.

☑ Excellent ☐ VeryGood Good ☐ Average ☐

Any other suggestion(s):

_____

_____

_____

Signature